Section I: Attitudes towards the use of physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients.

Each question in this section refers to using physical restraints (mittens, wrist restraints, chest restraint...) in critically ill paediatric patients during their stay in the PICU.

The responses employ a seven-point rating scale, which has to be interpreted as follows.

Example: In my opinion, wearing a seat belt when travelling in a car is:

| Unsafe | 1 Extremely | 2 Quite | 3 Slightly | 4 Indistinct (neither safe nor unsafe) | 5 Slightly | | 6 Quite | | 7 Extremely | Safe |
|--------|----------------|------------|---------------|---|---------------|---|------------|---|----------------|------|
| | | | | | | _ | | _ | | · |

If you think that wearing a seat belt when travelling in a car is quite safe, you must draw a circle around number 6.

Please read the following statements carefully and draw a circle around the number that best describes your opinion about each question.

| 1. In my opinion, the use of physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients is | Unsafe | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Safe |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|
| 2. In my opinion, the use of physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients is | Unnecessary | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Necessary |
| 3. In my opinion, the use of physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients is | Harmful | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Beneficial |
| 4. In my opinion, the use of physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients is | Unacceptable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Acceptable |
| 5. If I use physical restraints in a critically ill paediatric patient, I will prevent self- extubation. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 6. If I use physical restraints in a critically ill paediatric patient, I will prevent self-removal of catheters/tubes. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 7. If I use physical restraints in a critically ill paediatric patient, I will prevent falls. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 8. If I cannot permanently monitor a critically ill paediatric patient, I will feel more relieved when they are wearing physical restraints. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 9. If I use physical restraints, I will have more time to perform my tasks. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 10. If I use physical restraints, the critically ill paediatric patient becomes more agitated. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 11. The use of physical restraints in a critically ill paediatric patient can cause skin injuries. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 12. The use of physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients upsets me. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 13. In my opinion, preventing self-extubation in critically ill paediatric patients is | Extremely undesirable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Extremely desirable |
| 14. In my opinion, preventing self-removal of catheters and probes in critically ill paediatric patients is | Extremely undesirable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Extremely desirable |
| 15. In my opinion, preventing intubated critically ill paediatric patients from falling is | Extremely undesirable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Extremely desirable |
| 16. In my opinion, feeling relieved whenever I cannot monitor a critically ill paediatric patient is | Extremely undesirable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Extremely desirable |
| 17. In my opinion, having more time to perform my tasks is | Extremely undesirable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Extremely desirable |
| 18. In my opinion, if a critically ill paediatric patient becomes more agitated due to the use of physical restraints, it is | Extremely undesirable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Extremely desirable |
| 19. In my opinion, if physical restraints injure a critically ill paediatric patient's skin, it is | Extremely undesirable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Extremely desirable |
| 20. In my opinion, if using physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients makes me feel unease, it is | Extremely undesirable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Extremely desirable |

Section II: Subjective norms about the use of physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients.

| 21. I use physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients because professionals with whom I work think that they must be used. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
|--|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|
| 22. I feel under social pressure when I don't use mechanical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 23. Other professionals in my place use mechanical restraints on paediatric critical patients. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 24. I am expected to use physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 25. My nursing colleagues disapprove of me using physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 26. My unit supervisor disapproves of me using physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 27. My doctor colleagues disapprove of me using physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 28. Patients' relatives disapprove of me using physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients. | Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| 29. My nursing colleagues' approval of my practice towards the use of physical restraints is important to me. | Not at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Very much |
| 30. My nursing supervisor's approval of my practice towards the use of physical restraints is important to me. | Not at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Very much |
| 31. My doctor colleagues' approval of my practice towards the use of physical restraints is important to me. | Not at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Very much |
| 32. Patients' relatives' approval of my practice towards the use of physical restraints is important to me. | Not at all | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Very much |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Section III: Perceived behavioural control regarding the use of physical restraints in critically ill paediatric patients.

| Strongly | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Strongly agree |
| | disagree disagree Strongly disagree | disagree1disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1Strongly disagree1 | disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12Strongly disagree12 | disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123Strongly disagree123 | disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234Strongly disagree1234 | disagree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly disagree 1 2 3 4 5 | disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 Strongly disagree | disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 |

Section IV: Simulated clinical scenarios: Intention to use physical restraints.

| SCENARIO 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|----|-----|---|---------------|---|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| A 6-year-old male child with Down syndrome and a medical history of atrioventricular canal defect is admitted to the PICU (private room) following surgery to close a ventricular septal defect using sutures and an atrial septal defect using a patch. Upon admission, the patient is equipped with an orotracheal tube connected to mechanical ventilation, a central venous catheter inserted through the right jugular, a left radial arterial catheter, a nasogastric tube, a urinary | scenario? | | | | | | | | | | |
| catheter, an external pacemaker lead, and thoracic drains (pleural and pericardial) connected to an aspiration system. Hemodynamically, the patient remains stable, albeit supplemented with low-dose inotropic support. Upon admission to the unit, the process of weaning is initiated with the progressive withdrawal of analgo-sedation. The patient gradually emerges from sedation, displaying responsive behaviours such as eye-opening and consistent movement of all four limbs and the head. The patient intermittently interacts with the orotracheal tube by tactile manipulation and, during one instance, exhibits intentional grasp of the pericardial drainage catheter. The parents are brought to the cubicle. Despite their apprehension, they understand the situation and try to help the patient relax. | In no case | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | In all cases | | |
| SCENARIO 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A 16-year-old female patient with no history of pathology is admitted to the PICU (private room) following ingestion of toxic substances and alcohol and a fall from 5 metres. Subsequent imaging reveals a severe head injury necessitating high-impact head trauma management, which includes orotracheal intubation, mechanical ventilation, | Would you use physical restraints in this scenario? | | | | | | | | | | |
| and a combination of medical and surgical interventions spanning 10 days. Over the past week, concurrent with the withdrawal of analgo-sedation and the initiation of the weaning process, she has required pharmacological intervention with chlorpromazine, diazepam, and quetiapine to mitigate episodes of agitation and disorientation. Presently she remains connected to mechanical ventilation through an endotracheal tube, with parameters set at minimal levels. Additionally, she is equipped with a nasogastric tube and a urinary catheter. While central venous catheters and arterial catheters have not been inserted, two peripheral venous catheters have been placed in the upper extremities. The patient does not connect with her immediate environment and oscillates between periods of agitation alternating and moments of tranquillity. | In no case | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | In all case | | |
| SCENARIO 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A 12-year-old male patient with no history of pathology is admitted to the PICU due to a moderate bronchospasm attack. He is equipped with a peripheral venous catheter and a high concentration mask. Upon admission, he is agitated and displays moderate effort during breathing. In response, non-invasive mechanical ventilation is initiated, featuring an inspiratory pressure of 12cmH2O, an expiratory pressure of 6cmH2O and a FiO2 of 35%. However, | Would you use physical restraints in this scenario? | | | | | | | | | | |
| due to the patient's agitation, the peripheral catheter becomes dislodged and the non-invasive ventilation face mask experiences substantial leakage. Consequently, a new intravenous device is introduced. The parents are distressed by the situation. The attending paediatrician opts to abstain from employing pharmacological interventions and instead endeavours to reassure the patient. | ln no case | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | In all case | | |
| SCENARIO 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A 3-month-old female infant, born prematurely at 32 weeks of gestation with a history of moderate bronchopulmonary dysplasia, necessitating a tracheostomy for home respiratory management, is admitted to the PICU presenting symptoms of vomiting, diarrhoea, water-electrolyte imbalance and a Gorelick scale rating of 6, indicative of severe dehydration. Upon admission, she has a 3.5 tracheostomy cannula with an inflated balloon, remaining tethered to her home ventilator. She receives a 4-litre oxygen intake and 35% FiO2 and is also equipped with a urinary catheter, but it | Would you use physical restraints in thi scenario? | | | | | | | | aints in this | | |
| is not possible to perform blood tests or peripheral catheter placement. After several attempts and employing physical restraint, the procedure is performed successfully, and a catheter is placed in the right upper extremity. Intravenous rehydration using serum therapy is initiated. However, two hours after the start of this treatment, the patient becomes agitated. The help of the parents is requested in trying to calm the infant, but it is not very effective, and the peripheral catheter and the tracheostomy cannula become dislodged. The cannula is re-inserted without incident, and, after several attempts, a new peripheral catheter is successfully inserted using echocardiography. The patient continues to experience episodes of agitation, heightening the risk of falls. The parents react with distress. The attending paediatrician prescribes levopromazine drops to manage the patient's agitation. | In no case | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | In all case | | |
| SCENARIO 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A male neonate of 10 days is admitted from the emergency department, presenting bronchiolitis. He is equipped with high-flow nasal goggles delivering 8 litres of air per minute. Furthermore, a peripheral venous catheter placed in the child's right hand. He displays signs of moderate respiratory distress. Secretion aspiration is performed to acquire | Would you use physical restraints in th scenario? | | | | | | | aints in this | | | |
| specimens for subsequent microbiological culture. Subsequently, the patient is subjected to non-invasive mechanical ventilation, characterised by an inspiratory pressure of 10cmH20, an expiratory pressure of 5cmH20 and an FiO2 of 30%. Initially, the infant exhibits agitation in response to the intervention. However, when the care team stops handling him, he calms down and falls asleep with the assistance of the parents. | In no case | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | In all case | | |
| SCENARIO 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A 3-year-old female child, who has recently been extubated following 7 days of orotracheal intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation, is currently managed with a right jugular central venous catheter featuring two lumens. Additionally, she is equipped with a nasogastric tube and a bladder catheter. She is receiving continuous administration | Wou | ıld y | ou | use | | iysic enai | | | aints in this | | |
| of fentanyl at 1mcg/kg/min, while diazepan drops have been discontinued. Within hours of extubation, the patient manifests episodes of agitation, which can be attributed to pharmacological withdrawal syndrome. A score of 8 on the | | | | | Г | | | | | | |

manifests episodes of agitation, which can be attributed to pharmacological withdrawal syndrome. A score of 8 on the Withdrawal Assessment Tool-1 (WAT-1) scale is recorded. The parents are distressed because they have two more children, the father has to work, and they lack childcare for the other children. For this reason, the patient spends time alone in her cubicle.

To be able to use the Paediatric Physical Restraint-Theory of Planned Behaviour Ouestionnaire designed by Gemma Via Clavero the author who validated the scale in critically ill paediatric patients should always be mentioned; Alejandro Bosch Alcaraz (alejandrobosch@ub.edu)

| In no case | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | In all cases |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|