

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Detection of environmental SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a high prevalence setting in Spain

Isabel G Fernández-de-Mera, Francisco J Rodríguez Del-Río, José de la Fuente, Marta Pérez-Sancho, Dolores Hervás, Inmaculada Moreno, Mercedes Domínguez, Lucas Domínguez, Christian Gortázar.

Transbound Emerg Dis. 2020 Sep 7.

which has been published in final form at

https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13817

1 TBED – Environmental detection of SARS-C	oV	-2
--	----	----

3 Detection of environmental SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a high prevalence

4 setting in Spain

- 5 Running title: Detection of environmental SARS-CoV-2 RNA
- 6 Authors: Isabel G. Fernández-de-Mera^{#1}, Francisco J Rodríguez del-Río^{#2}, José de la
- 7 Fuente^{1, 3}, Marta Pérez-Sancho⁴, Dolores Hervás², Inmaculada Moreno⁵, Mercedes
- 8 Domínguez⁵, Lucas Domínguez⁴, Christian Gortázar^{*1}
- 9 [#]These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 10 1.- SaBio Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos IREC (UCLM & CSIC),
- 11 Ciudad Real, Spain
- 12 2.- Local Medical Service Horcajo de los Montes, Ciudad Real, Spain
- 13 3.- Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Center for Veterinary Health Sciences,
- 14 Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA
- 15 4.- VISAVET and Department of Animal Health-Faculty of Veterinary Medicine.
- 16 Universidad Complutense Madrid. Avenida Puerta de Hierro, s/n Madrid, Spain
- 17 5.- Servicio de Inmunología Microbiana, Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto de
- 18 Salud Carlos III, Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain
- 19
- *Corresponding author: Prof. Christian Gortázar. IREC, UCLM-CSIC. Ronda de 20 21 Toledo 12. Ciudad Real 13005, Spain. Tel. 0034 610 444 316. 22 christian.gortazar@uclm.es
- 23
- 24

25 Abstract

26 Since March 2020, Spain (along with many other countries) has been severely affected 27 by the ongoing coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by the rapid 28 spread of a new virus (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SARS-CoV-2). 29 As part of global efforts to improve disease surveillance, we investigated how readily 30 SARS-CoV-2 RNA could be detected in environmental samples collected from an 31 isolated rural community in Spain with a high COVID-19 prevalence (6% of the 32 population of 883 inhabitants). The first diagnosis of COVID-19-compatible symptoms 33 in the village was recorded on March 3, 2020 and the last known active case resolved on 34 June 5, 2020. By May 15, two months after strict movement constraints were imposed 35 ("lockdown") the cumulative number of symptomatic cases had increased to 53. Of 36 those cases, 22 (41%) had been tested and confirmed by RT-PCR. On May 13 and June 37 5, samples were collected from high-use surfaces and clothes in the homes of 13 38 confirmed cases, from surfaces in nine public service sites (e.g. supermarket and petrol 39 station), and from the wastewater of the village sewage system. SARS-CoV-2 RNA was 40 detected in 7 of 57 (12%) samples, including three households and three public sites. 41 While there is not yet sufficient evidence to recommend environmental surveillance as a 42 standard approach for COVID-19 surveillance, environmental surveillance research 43 may contribute to advance knowledge about COVID-19 by further elucidating virus 44 shedding dynamics and environmental contamination, including the potential 45 identification of animal reservoirs.

46 Keywords: COVID-19; Environmental pathogen monitoring; Risk hotspot
47 identification; Rural Spain; SARS-CoV-2

48

51 Introduction

52 Coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) has spread globally during early 2020. By July 28, 53 over 16 million COVID-19 cases had been reported from 188 countries, causing more 54 than 654,000 deaths worldwide, including 286,718 confirmed cases and 28,432 55 officially recorded fatalities in Spain (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html; last access 56 28/07/2020). The responses to this unprecedented challenge include travel bans, social 57 distancing, and even stay-at-home ('lockdown') orders (Pung et al., 2020). These 58 responses caused drastic changes in human behavior resulting in severe effects on the 59 economy and many other areas (Gortazar & de la Fuente, 2020).

60 The causative agent of COVID-19 is a recently emergent zoonotic coronavirus officially 61 named the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Ward et 62 al., 2020). This virus is transmitted not only by aerosols, but also indirectly through 63 contaminated objects and surfaces, including human skin, on which it can survive for 64 hours or days (Wakida et al., 2020; Eslami & Jalili, 2020; Ren et al., 2020; Kampf et al., 65 2020; Yan et al., 2020). The detection of RNA from SARS-CoV-2 in surfaces from 66 supermarket trolleys, doorknobs, or garbage container handles, as well as the body 67 surfaces or clothing of infected people, indicates the presence of potentially viable 68 infective virus particles (Van Doremalen et al., 2020). Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 RNA 69 has also been detected in wastewater (Rimoldi et al., 2020).

In Spain, a recent serosurvey showed a 5% average antibody prevalence in the national population, with up to 11% prevalence in the most affected provinces (Pollán et al., 2020). These results strongly suggest that the antibody prevalence in Spanish population is still well below the threshold believed to be required for herd immunity, which in turn suggests that the COVID-19 epidemic could potentially continue for many months or

even years if not controlled. As the number of confirmed cases in Spain is well below 5% of the population, the serosurvey results also imply that there have been many more cases of infection than those detected by PCR and officially recorded, indicating an urgent need for greater diagnostic effort.

79 Unfortunately, testing is often limited to severe symptomatic cases, and contact tracing 80 was not yet in place in some Spanish regions. As of May 16, 2020, the regional health 81 authority was still in the process of recruiting and training 400 healthcare workers for 82 contact tracing of known COVID-19 cases. Efforts to control the spread of SARS-CoV-83 2 are therefore hampered by incomplete information on where and when the virus is 84 present. Pathogen nucleic acids can be sampled in the environment for detection and 85 monitoring purposes (Martínez-Guijosa et al., 2020). Environmental RNA surveillance 86 could therefore contribute to improved spatial-temporal assessment of COVID-19 risk 87 by monitoring suspected contaminated environments such as shopping malls, health 88 centers, nursing homes, or households of people who have passed COVID-19. We 89 hypothesized that nucleic acids of SARS-CoV-2 would be detectable in sites with 90 known recent virus circulation. If true, environmental RNA sampling could contribute 91 to monitoring of virus circulation, thereby identifying targets for a more efficient 92 COVID-19 control.

93 Material and Methods

94 Study site

The village of Horcajo de los Montes (883 inhabitants in 2019; 4.6/km²) is part of the Ciudad Real province in Castilla – La Mancha (CLM), southern Spain. It is about 80 km away from the provincial capital, Ciudad Real, and the Hospital General Universitario Ciudad Real (HGUCR). As most villages in rural Spain, the population is steadily declining (10% loss in the last decade) and ageing (59% >65 years). Before lockdown, 100 Ciudad Real was one of the Spanish provinces with the highest human movement to and 101 from Madrid (180 km from the study village), so had a high risk of SARS-CoV-2 102 introduction at the onset of the COVID-19 epidemic in Spain (Mazzoli et al., 2020). The 103 first COVID-19 case recorded by the Horcajo de los Montes local medical services was 104 diagnosed on March 3, 2020, 12 days before lockdown was imposed throughout Spain 105 on March 15, 2020. By May 16, 2020, the cumulative number of symptomatic cases had 106 reached 53 (6%; 3 cases remaining active), of which 22 (41%) had been confirmed by 107 RT-PCR as SARS-CoV-2 infected. The last active case of the outbreak resolved on 108 June 5, 2020.

109 Efforts to control COVID-19 spread in the village included not only the national 110 lockdown and associated measures (home confinement or hospitalization of all known 111 symptomatic cases, personal hygiene measures such as frequent handwashing, hand and 112 household disinfection, and facemask use), but also, of particular relevance to this 113 study, hypochlorite disinfections of public spaces. These disinfections by village 114 municipality and a firm hired by the CLM authorities, started with disinfection of streets 115 on March 14 and of public service sites on March 22. According to municipal records, 116 disinfection with sprayed 2% hypochlorite took place 1 to 3 times weekly and included 117 the exteriors of the medical center (12 times; occasionally including the inside), 118 pharmacy (3 times, outside only), petrol station (7 times, outside only), and supermarket 119 (8 times, outside only). The community spontaneously organized assistance for home-120 confined COVID-19 suspects, including food delivery, medicine delivery, cleaning 121 service and medical assistance to avoid unnecessary movements, and requested police 122 assistance to enforce home-confinement where needed.

123 Data sources and field sampling

124 We did not use individual patient data. From March 1, the local physician recorded all 125 suspected COVID-19 cases along with the official testing results. On May 13, 2020, we 126 sampled surfaces and clothing in 10 households (2 with PCR-confirmed active cases; 6 127 with PCR-confirmed recovered cases; 2 with not PCR-tested recovered cases). We also 128 sampled 6 public service sites, and in addition the wastewater from the village sewage 129 system. Environmental RNA sampling was repeated on June 5, 2020, re-visiting 9 of 130 the previous sampling sites (4 households and 5 public service sites) and adding 6 new 131 ones (3 households and 3 public service sites). The samples were tested for SARS-CoV-132 2 RNA.

133 Samples were collected using Dry sponges (3MTM Dry-Sponge; 3M-España, Madrid). 134 These sponges were pre-hydrated with 15 ml of an isotonic surfactant and virus-135 inactivating liquid (patent pending) that allows to collect nucleic acids from surfaces 136 and other substrates (Martínez-Guijosa et al., 2020). On each site visited, one to four 137 sponges were gently rubbed over surfaces in contact with people's hands or gloves 138 (Environment, E) and or over the gloves and clothing of the persons present (Clothing, 139 C). Sample sites in households always included the toothpaste tube(s), fridge and oven 140 handles, and the main door handle. Sampling sites in public service areas included 141 surfaces such as keyboards, tables, chairs, refrigerators, and entry door handles. For 142 wastewater sampling, 5 ml of liquid collected from the village's main sewage drain 143 were mixed with an equivalent volume of the liquid used in the sponges. The collected 144 samples were refrigerated until processed in the laboratory.

145 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding146 author upon request.

147 Laboratory procedures

148 Once in the laboratory, 2 ml of retained fluid was extracted from each sponge sample, 149 collected in a screw cap tube and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 10 min. Viral RNA was 150 extracted from 200 µl of solution taken from the bottom of the tube using the 151 NucleoSpin RNA Virus kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) according to the 152 manufacturer's instructions. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was then performed by 153 real-time RT-PCR targeting the envelop protein (E)-encoding gene and two targets (IP2 154 and IP4) of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene (RdRp), according to protocols 155 included in the WHO guidelines (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-156 coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/laboratory-guidance; last access June 1, 2020) 157 (Corman et al., 2020; Grenga et al., 2020). Primer sets used are detailed in Table 1. The 158 positive control for real-time RT-PCR is an in vitro transcribed RNA derived from the 159 strain BetaCoV_Wuhan_WIV04_2019 (EPI_ISL_402124), loaned by the Pasteur 160 Institute (Paris, France). Nuclease free water was used as negative control. Real-time 161 RT-PCR was carried out using the SuperScript III Platinum One-Step qRT-PCR Kit 162 (ThermoFisher, Massachusetts, USA), according to manufacturer's protocol. A CFX96 163 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System Thermal Cycler (BioRad, Berkeley, USA) 164 was used to carry out the reactions.

165 **Results**

In the first sampling event (May 13, 2020) we detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA on clothing in both of the households with known active cases and from a surface in one of the six households with recovered older PCR-confirmed cases (Table 2). SARS-CoV-2 RNA was also detected on surfaces in two of the six public service sites, the petrol station and the pharmacy, but virus RNA was not detected in the two wastewater samples. In the second sampling event (June 5, 2020), we detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA on clothing in one of seven households with recovered older PCR-confirmed cases (one of the positive ones during the first sampling event) and in one public service site (City hall). There
was no difference in SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection between sampling events (Fisher's
test, p=1). Overall, 7 (12.28%) of the 57 samples and 6 (26%) of the sites surveyed were
positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA in at least two of the three RT-PCR reactions
performed, and all samples were positive for the SARS-CoV-2-specific RdRP-IP4 and
RdRP-IP2 PCRs targeting the coronavirus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase.

Although medical records indicate that there were few active cases present in the village at the time of sampling (possibly only three during the first sampling event and none in the second), our environmental RNA sampling indicated that SARS-CoV-2 RNA was not only present in the houses of active cases but in other households with confirmed older cases and in three of nine high-use public service sites.

184 **Discussion**

This small and relatively isolated village suffered a substantial COVID-19 outbreak between early March and mid-May 2020. Efforts to reduce the prevalence and spread of COVID-19 in the village succeeded in driving the number of known active cases to low levels by May 15, 2020. However, medical records indicated a very high likelihood that viable SARS-CoV-2 virus was still present and circulating 2.5 months after the first case was recorded.

Only three samples collected during the first sampling event in May tested positive for all three PCRs, and all Ct values were above 34 (Table 2). High Ct values could be indicative of a partially degraded RNA or a low viral load (Matson et al., 2020; Petrillo et al. 2020). Most research on environmental RNA does not include virus isolation attempts, since this requires biosafety level 3 facilities that are not widely available. Laboratory experiments with spiked samples have shown that SARS-CoV-2 can be stable in a favorable environment and can be isolated in appropriate cell cultures (Chin et al. 2020). However, recent field studies reporting SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection from
environmental samples and attempting virus isolation either failed to induce a
cytopathic effect (Colaneri et al. 2020) or found only weak signals for the presence of
replication competent virus (Santarpia et al. 2020).

202 While we do not know whether the RNA we detected at those sites was from live, 203 potentially infectious viruses, the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA not only in the 204 active- and recovered-case houses but at three of nine public service sites strongly 205 suggested the possibility that live virus was still circulating outside the houses of active 206 cases. This possibility suggests that disinfection activities should be continued and 207 expanded to include the insides of all the public services as was already being done in 208 the medical center, which tested negative despite of being a high-risk site. Households 209 should receive additional information on good disinfection practices.

210 It is important to balance the societal controls required to minimize human-to-human 211 transmission of COVID-19 against the need to minimize the resulting social disruption 212 and adverse economic impact. While the WHO considers that there is not yet sufficient 213 evidence to recommend environmental surveillance as a standard approach for COVID-214 19 surveillance, WHO also states that environmental surveillance research should be 215 seen as an important public health objective to advance knowledge about COVID-19 216 since it could further elucidate virus shedding dynamics (Wu, et al. 2020) and has the 217 potential to detect SARS-CoV-2 shedding from animal sources, such as animal 218 production facilities and wet markets; potentially supporting identification of any 219 animal reservoirs (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/333670/WHO-2019-220 nCoV-Sci Brief-EnvironmentalSampling-2020.1-eng.pdf; last access June 16, 2020).

221 Contributors

FR, IG and CG planned the study. Field data and samples were collected by FR, DH and CG. MD, LD, MP and IM performed laboratory procedures for environmental sampling. IG and JF performed and interpreted the RT-PCR testing. Data analysis was led by CG, IG and FR. All authors interpreted the study findings, contributed to the manuscript, and approved the final version for publication.

227 **Declaration of interests**

228 We declare no competing interests.

229 Acknowledgments

230 This study had no specific funding although we benefitted from Universidad de 231 Castilla-La Mancha support through the COVID Diagnosis Action. We would like to 232 thank the local veterinarian Javier Camarena, the local healthcare staff, and the 233 municipality of Horcajo de los Montes for help in wastewater sampling and logistics, 234 and for providing detailed information on disinfection and patient support activities. 235 Mariana Boadella (Sabiotec, Ciudad Real, Spain) kindly provided the sponges. IGFM is 236 supported by the Research Plan of the University of Castilla- La Mancha (UCLM), 237 Spain.

238 Ethical approval

The authors confirm that the ethical policies of the journal, as noted on the journal's author guidelines page, have been adhered to. No ethical approval was required as this study was based on environmental RNA sampling. We used no individual patient data and performed no animal sampling. The corresponding authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

244

245 **References**

- Data availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from thecorresponding author upon reasonable request.
- 248 Corman, V. M., Landt, O., Kaiser, M., Molenkamp, R., Meijer, A., Chu, D.K.W.,
- 249 Bleicker, T., Brünink, S., Schneider, J., Schmidt, M. L., Mulders, D. G. J. C.,
- 250 Haagmans, B. L., Van Der Veer, B., Van Den Brink, S., Wijsman, L., Goderski, G.,
- 251 Romette, J. L., Ellis, J., Zambon, M. & Peiris, M. (2020). Detection of 2019 novel
- coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Eurosurveillance, 25, 2000045. doi:
- 253 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045
- 254 Eslami H, Jalili M. The role of environmental factors to transmission of SARS-CoV-2
- 255 (COVID-19). Version 2. AMB Express. 2020 May 15;10(1):92. doi: 10.1186/s13568256 020-01028-0
- 257 Gandhi, M., Yokoe, D. S. & Havlir, D. V. (2020). Asymptomatic Transmission, the
- 258 Achilles' Heel of Current Strategies to Control Covid-19. The New England Journal of
- 259 Medicine, 382, 2158-2160. *doi*: 10.1056/NEJMe2009758
- 260 Gortázar, C., de la Fuente, J. (2020). COVID-19 is likely to impact animal health.
- 261 Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 180, 105030. *doi*: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2020.105030
- 262 Grenga, L., Gallais, F., Pible, O., Gaillard, J. C., Gouveia, D., Batina, H., Bazaline, N.,
- 263 Ruat, S., Culotta, K., Miotello, G., Debroas, S., Roncato, M. A., Steinmetz, G.,
- 264 Foissard, C., Desplan, A., Alpha-Bazin, B., Almunia, C., Gas, F., Bellanger, L. &
- 265 Armengaud, J. (2020). Shotgun proteomics of SARS-CoV-2 infected cells and its
- application to the optimization of whole viral particle antigen production for vaccines.
- 267 BioRxiv, preprint. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.17.046193

- Kampf, G., Todt, D., Pfaender, S. & Steinmann, E. (2020). Persistence of coronaviruses
 on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. Journal of Hospital
 Infection, 104, 246-251. *doi*: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.022
- 271 Matson, M. J, Yinda, C. K., Seifert, S. N., Bushmaker, T., Fischer, R. J., van
- 272 Doremalen, N., Lloyd-Smith, J. O. & Munster, V. J. (2020). Effect of Environmental
- 273 Conditions on SARS-CoV-2 Stability in Human Nasal Mucus and Sputum. Emerging
- 274 Infectious Diseases, 26(9). doi: 10.3201/eid2609.202267
- 275 Martínez-Guijosa, J., Romero, B., Infantes-Lorenzo, J. A., Díez, E., Boadella, M.,
- 276 Balseiro, A., Veiga, M., Navarro, D., Moreno, I., Ferreres, J., Domínguez, M.,
- 277 Fernández, C., Domínguez, L. & Gortázar, C. (2020). Environmental DNA: a promising
- factor for tuberculosis risk assessment in multi-host settings. PLoS ONE, 15, e0233837.
- 279 *doi*: 10.1371/journal.pone.0233837
- 280 Mazzoli, M., Mateo, D., Hernando, A., Meloni, S. & Ramasco, J. J. (2020). Effects of
- 281 mobility and multi-seeding on the propagation of the COVID-19 in Spain. MedRxiv,
- 282 preprint. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.09.20096339
- 283 Petrillo, S., Carrà, G., Bottino, P., Zanotto, E., De Santis, M. C., Margaria, J. P.,
- 284 Giorgio, A., Mandili, G., Martini, M., Cavallo, R., Barberio, D. & Altruda, F. (2020).
- 285 A Novel Multiplex qRT-PCR Assay to Detect SARS-CoV-2 Infection: High Sensitivity
- and Increased Testing Capacity. Microorganisms, 8, E1064. *doi:*10.3390/microorganisms8071064
- 288 Pollán, M., Pérez-Gómez, B., Pastor-Barriuso, R., Oteo, J., Hernán, M.A., Pérez-
- 289 Olmeda, M., Sanmartín, J.L., Fernández-García, A., Cruz, I., Fernández de Larrea, N.,
- 290 Molina, M., Rodríguez-Cabrera, F., Martín, M., Merino-Amador, P., Paniagua, J.L.,
- 291 Muñóz-Montalvo, J.F., Blanco, F., Yotti, R., ENE-COVID Study Group. (2020).

- 292 Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-COVID): a nationwide, population-based
 293 seroepidemiological study. Lancet. S0140-6736(20)31483-5. *doi*: 10.1016/S0140294 6736(20)31483-5.
- 295 Pung, R., Chiew, C. J., Young, B. E., Chin, S., Chen, M. I., Clapham, H. E., Cook, A.
- 296 R, Maurer-Stroh, S., Toh, M. P. H. S., Poh, C, Low, M., Lum, J., Koh, V. T. J., Mak, T.
- 297 M., Cui, L., Lin, R. V. T. P., Heng, D., Leo, Y. S., Lye, D. C., Lee, V. J. M., Kam, K.
- 298 Q. & Kalimuddin, S. (2020). Investigation of three clusters of COVID-19 in Singapore:
- implications for surveillance and response measures. The Lancet, 395, 1039-1046. doi:
- 300 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30528-6
- 301 Ren SY, Wang WB, Hao YG, Zhang HR, Wang ZC, Chen YL, Gao RD. Stability and
- 302 infectivity of coronaviruses in inanimate environments. World J Clin Cases. 2020 Apr
- 303 26;8(8):1391-1399. doi: 10.12998/wjcc.v8.i8.1391
- 304 Rimoldi, S. G., Stefani, F. & Gigantiello, A. (2020). Presence and vitality of SARS-
- 305 CoV-2 virus in wastewaters and rivers. MedRxiv, preprint. *doi*:
 306 10.1101/2020.05.01.20086009
- 307 Van Doremalen, N., Bushmaker, T., Morris, D. H., Holbrook, M. G., Gamble, A.,
- 308 Williamson, B. N., Tamin, A., Harcourt, J. L., Thornburg, N. J., Gerber, S. I., Lloyd-
- 309 Smith, J. O., De Wit, E. & Munster, V. J. (2020). Aerosol and Surface Stability of
- 310 SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. The New England Journal of Medicine,
- 311 382, 1564-1567. *doi*: 10.1056/NEJMc2004973
- 312 Ward, M. P., Li, X. & Tian, K. (2020). Novel coronavirus 2019, an emerging public
- health emergency. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 67, 469-470. *doi*:
 10.1111/tbed.13509

- 315 Xiao, Y. & Torok, M. E. (2020). Taking the right measures to control COVID-19. The
- 316 Lancet Infectious Diseases, 20, 523-524. *doi:* 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30152-3
- 317 Yan, Y., Chen, H., Chen, L., Cheng, B., Diao, P., Dong, L., Gao, X., Gu, H., He, L., Ji,
- 318 C., Jin, H., Lai, W., Lei, T., Li, L., Li, L., Li, R., Liu, D., Liu, W., Lu, Q., Shi, Y., Song,
- 319 J., Tao, J., Wang, B., Wang, G., Wu, Y., Xiang, L., Xie, J., Xu, J., Yao, Z., Zhang, F.,
- 320 Zhang, J. & Zhong, S. (2020). Consensus of Chinese experts on protection of skin and
- 321 mucous membrane barrier for healthcare workers fighting against coronavirus disease
- 322 2019. Dermatologic Therapy, 13:e13310. *doi*: 10.1111/dth.13310
- 323 Wakida H, Kawata K, Yamaji Y, Hattori E, Tsuchiya T, Wada Y, Ozaki H, Akimitsu N.
- 324 Stability of RNA sequences derived from the coronavirus genome in human cells.
- 325 Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2020 May 6;527(4):993–9. doi:
 326 10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.05.008
- 327

Table 1.- Primer sequences and amplified fragment sizes in base pairs.

Primer target	Sequence 5'-3'	PCR	fragment	
		size		
Gene RdRp /				
nCoV_IP2				
nCoV_IP2-12669Fw	ATGAGCTTAGTCCTGTTG	108 bp		
nCoV_IP2-12759Rv	CTCCCTTTGTTGTGTGTTGT	-		
nCoV_IP2-12696b	AGATGTCTTGTGCTGCCGGTA	-		
Probe(+)	[5']Hex [3']BHQ-1			
Gene RdRp /				
nCoV_IP4				
nCoV_IP4-14059Fw	GGTAACTGGTATGATTTCG	107 bp		
nCoV_IP4-14146Rv	CTGGTCAAGGTTAATATAGG			
nCoV_IP4-14084	TCATACAAACCACGCCAGG			
Probe(+)	[5']Fam [3']BHQ-1			
Gene E / E_Sarbeco				
E_Sarbeco_F1	ACAGGTACGTTAATAGTTAATA	125 bp		
	GCGT			
E_Sarbeco_R2	ATATTGCAGCAGTACGCACACA	1		
E_Sarbeco_P1	ACACTAGCCATCCTTACTGCGCT	1		
	TCG			
	[5']Fam [3']BHQ-1			

Sampling site	Samples taken	RT-PCR results (Ct values for +)				Remarks
		RdRP- IP4	RdRP- IP2	Egene	Interpretation	
Medical center (May)	E, 2C	-	-	-	Negative	
Medical center (June)	E, 2C	-	-	-	Negative	
Pharmacy (May)	Е	+36.55	+37.73	-	Positive	E positive
Pharmacy (June)	Е	-	-	-	Negative	
Postal office (May)	Е	-	-	-	Negative	
Postal office (June)	Е	-	-	-	Negative	
Petrol station (May)	Е	+38.37	+37.32	-	Positive	E positive
Petrol station (June)	Е	-	-	-	Negative	
Supermarket (May)	Е	-	-	-	Negative	
Supermarket (June)	Е	-	-	-	Negative	
Police (May)	2C	-	-	-	Negative	
City hall (June)	Е	+38.59	+39.1	-	Positive	E positive
Bar/restaurant (June)	Е	-	-	-	Negative	
Vending shop (June)	Е	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 1 (May*)	E, C	+37.71	+36.05	+38.27	Positive	C positive
Household 1 (June**)	E, C	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 2 (May**)	E, 2C	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 2 (June**)	E, C	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 3 (May**)	С	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 4 (May)	С	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 5 (May**)	E, C	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 6 (May**)	E, 3C	+38.26	+34.53	+39.15	Positive	E positive
Household 6 (June**)	E, 2C	+36.11	+41.06	-	Positive	C positive
Household 7 (May**)	E, 2C	-	-	+	Negative	
Household 8 (May**)	E, C	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 9 (May)	С	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 10 (May*)	E, C	+37.26	+37.22	+36.41	Positive	C positive
Household 10 (June**)	E, C	+	-	+	Negative	
Household 11 (June**)	E, C	-	-	+	Negative	
Household 12 (June**)	E, C	-	-	-	Negative	
Household 13 (June**)	E, C	-	-	-	Negative	
Wastewater (May)	2x5ml	-	-	-	Negative	
Total 23 sites	57 samples				7 positive sam	ples; 6 sites

Table 2. Presence or absence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in environmental samples.

Columns show the RT-PCR results for 23 sites or substrates where the environment (E) or gloves and clothing (C) were sampled for SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a rural village in Ciudad Real province, Spain, during the first COVID-19 outbreak. (*) indicates households with active cases on May 13, 2020; (**) indicates households with confirmed older cases.