Long-term exposure to trihalomethanes in drinking water and breast cancer in the Spanish multicase-control study on cancer (MCC-SPAIN)[☆]

Laia Font-Ribera^{a,b,c,d}, Esther Gràcia-Lavedan^{a,c,d}, Nuria Aragonés^{d,e}, Beatriz Pérez-Gómez^{d,e}, Marina Pollán^{d,e,f}, Pilar Amiano^{d,g}, Ana Jiménez-Zabala^g, Gemma Castaño-Vinyals^{a,b,c,d}, Aina Roca-Barceló^h, Eva Ardanaz^{d,i}, Rosana Burgui^{d,i}, Antonio José Molina^j, Tania Fernández-Villa^j, Inés Gómez-Acebo^k, Trinidad Dierssen-Sotos^k, Victor Moreno^{l,m,d,n}, Guillermo Fernandez-Tardon^{d,o}, Rosana Peiró^{d,p}, Manolis Kogevinas^{a,b,c,d}, Cristina M. Villanueva^{a,b,c,d,*}

^a ISGlobal, Barcelona, Spain

^b IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute), Barcelona, Spain

^c Department of Experimental and Health Sciences, Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain

^d CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

^e Cancer and Environmental Epidemiology Unit, National Centre for Epidemiology, Carlos III Institute of Health, Madrid, Spain

^f Cancer Epidemiology Research Group, Oncology and Hematology Area, IIS Puerta De Hierro, Madrid, Spain

^g Public Health Division of Gipuzkoa, Biodonostia Research Institute, San Sebastian, Spain

^h Epidemiology Unit and Girona Cancer Registry, Oncology Coordination Plan, Department of Health, Autonomous Government of Catalonia, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Girona, Spain.

ⁱ Instituto de Salud Pública y Laboral de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

^j Grupo de Investigación en Interacciones Gen-Ambiente y Salud, Universidad de León, Spain

^k Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Cantabria, Santander, Spain

^l Unit of Biomarkers and Susceptibility, Cancer Prevention and Control Program, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain

^m Colorectal Cancer Group, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain

ⁿ Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^o University of Oviedo, Asturias, Spain

^p Centre for Research in Public Health, Valencia, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Trihalomethanes
Drinking water
Long-term exposure
Exposure routes
Case-control study

ABSTRACT

Background: Exposure to trihalomethanes (THMs) in drinking water has consistently been associated with an increased risk of bladder cancer, but evidence on other cancers including the breast is very limited.

Objectives: We assessed long-term exposure to THMs to evaluate the association with female breast cancer (BC) risk.

Methods: A multi case-control study was conducted in Spain from 2008 to 2013. We included 1003 incident BC cases (women 20–85 years old) recruited from 14 hospitals and 1458 population controls. Subjects were interviewed to ascertain residential histories and major recognized risk factors for BC. Mean residential levels of chloroform, brominated THMs (Br-THMs) and the sum of both as total THM (TTHMs) during the adult-lifetime were calculated.

Results: Mean adult-lifetime residential levels ranged from 0.8 to 145.7 µg/L for TTHM (median = 30.8), from 0.2 to 62.4 µg/L for chloroform (median = 19.7) and from 0.3 to 126.0 µg/L for Br-THMs (median = 9.7). Adult-lifetime residential chloroform was associated with BC (adjusted OR = 1.47; 95%CI = 1.05, 2.06 for the highest (> 24 µg/L) vs. lowest (< 8 µg/L) quartile; p-trend = 0.024). No association was detected for residential Br-THMs (OR = 0.91; 95%CI = 0.68, 1.23 for > 31 µg/L vs. < 6 µg/L) or TTHMs (OR = 1.14; 95%CI = 0.83, 1.57 for > 48 µg/L vs. < 22 µg/L).

Conclusions: At common levels in Europe, long-term residential total THMs were not related to female breast cancer. A moderate association with chloroform was suggested at the highest exposure category. This large

[☆] Conflicts of interest: none.

* Corresponding author at: Barcelona Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal), Doctor Aiguader, 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail address: cristina.villanueva@isglobal.org (C.M. Villanueva).

epidemiological study with extensive exposure assessment overcomes several limitations of previous studies but further studies are needed to confirm these results.

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the first cancer in incidence and mortality among women world-wide (GLOBOCAN, 2012), with an increasing incidence during the last decades, also in Spain (Pollán et al., 2009). BC is more common in western countries and among favoured socioeconomic status (Brody and Rudel, 2003). Main recognized risk factors affect endogenous estrogenic levels (Hankinson et al., 2004) and include sex, age, body mass index, early age at menarche, advanced age at first delivery and at menopause, life-style factors such as alcohol consumption and low physical activity, and drugs with estrogenic action before or after menopause (Hankinson et al., 2004). Established risk factors explain approximately 50% of the variability in BC incidence, and other environmental factors may partly explain the remaining variation (Brody et al., 2007). Toxicological studies, and to a lesser extend epidemiological studies, have related some environmental exposures to BC (Macon and Fenton, 2013), mainly through endocrine disruption (Brody and Rudel, 2003). Drinking water disinfection by-products (DBP) are among the chemicals suggested by toxicologic research as potentially related to BC that have not been investigated enough in epidemiologic studies (Brody et al., 2007).

DBPs are a mixture of hundreds of chemicals formed in water during the disinfection process. This is a ubiquitous exposure through ingestion of tap water, inhalation and dermal exposure during showering, bathing or washing dishes (Villanueva et al., 2015). The most prevalent DBP in drinking water are trihalomethanes (THM), which are the only DBP group regulated in the EU with a maximum contaminant level of 100 µg/L. Several DBPs have been shown to be genotoxic in *in vitro* assays and carcinogenic in animal experiments (Richardson et al., 2007) and the WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classifies chloroform and other widespread DBP as possible humans carcinogens (Villanueva et al., 2015). Several epidemiological studies have related exposure to DBPs and cancer risk, being the most consistent evidence for bladder cancer and in a lower extent for colon and rectal cancer (Villanueva et al., 2015). Only sporadic epidemiological studies have assessed the impact of DBPs on other cancer sites including the breast (Villanueva et al., 2015).

Among the few epidemiological studies on DBP exposure and BC, some detected a positive association (Doyle et al., 1997; Gottlieb et al., 1982; Koivusalo et al., 1997; Wilkins and Comstock, 1981), while others did not (Kanarek and Young, 1982; Marcus et al., 1998; Vinceti et al., 2004; Young et al., 1981; Zierler et al., 1986). These are studies conducted 20 years ago (Doyle et al., 1997; Koivusalo et al., 1997; Marcus et al., 1998) or 30 (Gottlieb et al., 1982; Kanarek and Young, 1982; Wilkins and Comstock, 1981; Young et al., 1981; Zierler et al., 1986) with only one exception (Vinceti et al., 2004) and had important methodological limitations, including an ecological design (Marcus et al., 1998; Vinceti et al., 2004; Wilkins and Comstock, 1981), a poor control for confounding and a very limited exposure assessment based on surrogates of DBP exposure (Doyle et al., 1997; Gottlieb et al., 1982; Kanarek and Young, 1982; Koivusalo et al., 1997; Young et al., 1981). Furthermore, evidence that 3-Chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5H)-furanone (MX), a major mutagenic constituent of DBP, causes mammary tumours in rats (Komulainen et al., 1997) also suggests that the association between DBP exposure and BC should be further investigated in epidemiological studies overcoming the limitations of previous studies (Brody et al., 2007).

We aim to provide new epidemiological evidence on the association between lifetime exposure to DBPs and female BC risk in a large case-control study, including areas with contrasting THM concentrations in Spain and evaluating different routes of exposure and THM species.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and population

A multi case-control study was conducted from 2008 to 2013 in twelve provinces of Spain (MCC-Spain project) (Castaño-Vinyals et al., 2015). Women 20–85 years old with histologically confirmed incident BC (International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision [ICD-10]: C50, D05.1, D05.7) without personal cancer history were recruited from oncologic and surgical services in fourteen hospitals from eight provinces. Controls were selected randomly from the rosters of General Practitioners at the Primary Health Centers participating in the study covering nearly all the population living in the corresponding area, allowing to identify population-based controls from the same study base as cases. Controls were frequency matched to cases by age in 5-year age groups and study area. They were contacted on behalf of their General Practitioner and invited to participate in the study. Subjects with serious barriers to communication were excluded. Average response rate was 71% for cases and 53% for controls. The study protocol was approved by the ethical review board from participating centers and all participants signed an informed consent before recruitment.

2.2. Individual information

A structured computerized questionnaire was administered by trained personnel in face-to-face interviews to collect data on residential history, water source in each residence (bottled, tap, other) and frequency and duration of bathing, showering and hand dish-washing. Several potential risk factors were also collected including age (continuous), educational level (less than primary school, primary school, secondary school, university), occupational status (working, not working, housewife, retired), race (white, others), weight and height to compute body mass index (BMI; < 25, 25–29.9, ≥ 30), family history of BC (yes, no), menopausal status (pre, post), menopause treatment (ever, never), oral contraceptive use (never, ever), nulliparity (yes, no), age at menarche (continuous, and categorized to: ≤ 12, 13–14, > 14 years), age at first birth (continuous, further categorized to: < 25, 25–28, > 28 years), breastfeeding (continuous, further categorized to: 0, > 0–6, > 6–12, > 12 months), smoking (never, former, current), average leisure physical activity in the last 10 years (continuous frequency and duration converted to metabolic equivalents of task (METs)/hour/week). Diet habits and alcohol consumption was reported through a self-administered semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire and current energy intake (< 1500, 1500–2000, > 2000 kcal/day) and alcohol intake in the past (0, 0–5.5, > 5.5 g/day) were calculated. Long-term waterborne ingested nitrate was also estimated (Espejo-Herrera et al., 2016) and levels were categorized in quartiles. Missing data in categorical variables were classified as a separate category.

2.3. Historical trihalomethane levels in the study area

We used trihalomethanes as a surrogate of DBPs. We collected historical information on water source, treatment and routine THM measurements in the study areas through water utilities, local authorities and health authorities. Historical THM levels back to 1940 were modeled at water zone level, the minimum geographic unit with homogeneous water source, treatment and THM levels (corresponding to municipality in most cases). Annual average THM levels were calculated using available measurements. For years when THM measurements were absent, available THM levels were averaged and imputed if

water source and treatment were unchanged. Proportion of surface water and type of treatment were used as a weight to this average in the event of changes in water source and treatment. Before chlorination started, THM levels were assumed to be zero. Total THMs (TTHMs) was calculated summing up the concentrations of the four THMs (chloroform, bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane, and bromoform). Brominated THMs (Br-THMs) were calculated as the TTHMs excluding chloroform. Correlation between residential levels of chloroform, Br-THMs and TTHMs was explored with Spearman correlation.

2.4. Individual exposure in the study population

THM levels and subjects' personal data were linked by year and water zone of residence to obtain an annual average THM level in the residences where subjects lived from age 18 to 2 years before the interview. Average levels in all residences with THM estimates was then calculated and referred as adult-lifetime residential levels. Average residential THM levels in the last 10 years were also calculated as an alternative exposure window with more accurate exposure estimates. The type of water consumed in the residence and liters/day ingested were used to calculate ingested THM levels, by multiplying residential levels if tap water was consumed, and a zero THM level if water ingested was bottled (Font-Ribera et al., 2010). When water consumed was from private wells, levels assigned were 0.3, 0.3, 0.8, and 1.8 µg/L respectively for chloroform, bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane and bromoform, according to unpublished records from wells in the study areas. Average ingested TTHMs and Br-THMs level in the residences was calculated for the years with available data and expressed as µg/day. Exposure through showering, bathing and hand dish-washing was estimated by multiplying minutes/week of each activity by the residential TTHMs or Br-THMs level and expressed as µg/L × min/week. When gloves were used “most of the time” for hand dish-washing (16.9% of subjects), half of the THM exposure was assigned.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The initial sample of BC cases and controls in the study areas with modeled THM was 3322 (1582 cases and 1740 controls). Only subjects with known THM concentrations in the residential tap water for at least 70% of the years between age 18 to 2 years before the interview (87% of interviewed subjects) were included. In order to have a similar geographical distribution of cases and controls, only municipalities with at least one case and one control were included and 9 controls and 278 cases living in 117 small municipalities not accomplishing these criteria were excluded. One subject with unreliable interview was further excluded, as well as fourteen controls that had missing data in physical activity, a variable included in all final models. Analyzed sample included 2461 subjects, 1003 cases and 1458 controls.

The main models estimated the association between BC and average adult-lifetime residential TTHMs, chloroform and Br-THMs levels. Generalized additive models (GAM) were used to evaluate the exposure-response relationships on continuous variables. Exposure variables were categorized into quartiles defined according to the exposure distribution among controls. We estimated odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of BC using mixed models with recruitment area as random effect. Additional models explored the association with residential THM levels in the last 10 years, mutual adjustment between residential chloroform and residential Br-THMs as well as interaction by menopausal status. We estimated OR of BC for specific exposure routes: drinking water source in the longest residence, time showering, time washing dishes by hand, THM exposure through ingestion, through showering and through hand dish-washing.

All models were adjusted for age, area and education. Further adjustment included known risk factors for BC that were significant in the

Table 1

Description of the study population. N = 2461.

	Controls		Cases		p-Value
	N	%	N	%	
Total	1458		1003		
Area					
Asturias	90	6.2	62	6.2	
Barcelona A	140	9.6	114	11.4	
Barcelona B	89	6.1	45	4.5	
Barcelona C	93	6.4	47	4.7	
Cantabria	149	10.2	86	8.6	
Guipuzcoa	239	16.4	119	11.9	
León	151	10.4	128	12.8	
Madrid	305	20.9	236	23.5	
Navarra	150	10.3	115	11.5	
Valencia	52	3.6	51	5.1	
Age, years					
Mean (SD)	59.4	(12.8)	57.1	(12.0)	
≤ 50	412	28.3	334	33.3	
51–60	346	23.7	278	27.7	
61–70	366	25.1	246	24.5	
> 70	334	22.9	145	14.5	
Education					
< Primary school	243	16.7	144	14.4	0.417
Primary school	465	31.9	331	33.0	
Secondary school	448	30.7	325	32.4	
University	302	20.7	203	20.2	
Menopausal status					
Post	1063	72.9	671	66.9	0.005
Pre	393	27.0	331	33.0	
DK/M	2	0.1	1	0.1	
Family history of breast cancer					
No	1149	78.8	651	64.9	< 0.001
Yes	252	17.3	321	32.0	
DK/M	57	3.9	31	3.1	
Body mass index, kg/m ²					
< 25	745	51.1	477	47.6	0.189
25–29.9	452	31.0	342	34.1	
30 or more	261	17.9	184	18.3	
Occupational status					
Working	556	38.1	483	48.2	< 0.001
Not working	87	6.0	71	7.1	
Housewife	480	32.9	247	24.6	
Retired	335	23.0	202	20.1	
Oral contraceptive use					
Never	805	55.2	560	55.8	0.353
Ever	652	44.7	440	43.9	
DK/M	1	0.1	3	0.3	
Menopause treatment					
Ever	294	20.2	150	15.0	< 0.001
Never	765	52.5	515	51.4	
Missing/Pre-menopause	399	27.4	338	33.7	
Physical activity ^a , METs					
0	891	61.1	676	67.4	0.002
> 0 to 8	272	18.7	162	16.2	
> 10 to 16	121	8.3	84	8.4	
> 16	174	11.9	81	8.1	
Energy intake, kcal/day					
< 1500	452	31.0	251	25.0	0.007
1500–2000	485	33.3	352	35.1	
> 2000	351	24.1	284	28.3	
DK/M	170	11.7	116	11.6	

DK/M: Don't know or missing.

^a Physical activity: metabolic equivalents (MET) total h/week; annual median in the last 10 years.

models (p-value < 0.05) and those that changed the risk estimates (beta) > 10%. Main models were adjusted for area, age, educational level, occupational status, family history of BC, BMI, energy intake, physical activity, oral contraceptive use and menopause treatment use. Multicollineality was explored using the variance inflation factor (VIF), having all variable categories a VIF < 4 (except the highest quartile of TTHM and three study areas) with a mean of 2.66 in the model for life-time average residential TTHM. Statistical analyses were performed

using STATA version 12.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

3. Results

1003 cases and 1458 controls were included from ten study areas in Spain (Table 1). After adjusting by area, age and educational level, cases showed higher frequencies of family history of BC, overweight and obesity, occupational status, never use of menopause treatment, never use of oral contraceptives, being physically inactive and high energy intake. The OR of BC for these and other classical BC risk factors can be found in Table S1. Compared to women excluded for the final analysis, the included population had a higher proportion of controls, postmenopausal women, and a lower proportion of women of young age, working status and highest energy intake (Table S1). The geographical distribution of the residencies of cases and controls is shown in Fig. S1.

Average adult-lifetime residential levels of TTHMs ranged from 0.8 to 145.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$ among study participants (Fig. 1), with a median level of 30.8 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (interquartile range (IQR) = 22.3, 51.6) for TTHMs, 19.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (IQR = 7.8, 24.5) for chloroform and 9.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (IQR = 5.3, 28.5) for Br-THMs. Exposure to residential chloroform ranged between 0.8 and 62.4 $\mu\text{g/L}$, while exposure to Br-THMs ranged from 1.9 to 126.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$. The variability of residential THMs within area was small for several

areas, what precluded the estimation of overall effects through meta-analysis. The proportion of chloroform from TTHMs differed among areas, from 11% in Valencia to 88% in Madrid, and the Spearman correlation between chloroform and Br-THMs also differed between areas, being -0.26 overall (Fig. S2).

Generalized additive models showed a positive linear relationship between BC and average adult-lifetime residential levels of TTHMs, chloroform and Br-THM (Fig. 2). When exposure was categorized into quartiles, no significant association was seen between BC and TTHMs or Br-THMs (Table 2). The OR for the highest vs. lowest quartile of TTHM ($> 48.3 \mu\text{g/L}$ vs. $\leq 21.7 \mu\text{g/L}$) was 1.14 (95%CI = 0.83, 1.57). Residential levels of chloroform were related to BC (OR = 1.47 (95%CI = 1.05, 2.06) for the highest vs. lowest quartile ($> 24.3 \mu\text{g/L}$ vs. $\leq 7.6 \mu\text{g/L}$)) and a p-trend value of 0.028. A positive association was also observed with residential chloroform as a continuous variable with an OR of 1.12 (0.98, 1.27) for a 10 $\mu\text{g/L}$ increase. After further adjustment for residential levels of Br-THMs, the association between BC and residential chloroform remained very similar and there was no collinearity in the model (mean VIF for the two variables = 1.89). No significant interaction was observed between residential THM levels and menopausal status on BC risk, although slightly higher associations among pre-menopausal women were found at the highest exposure category (Table S3). Likewise, no interaction was observed between

Fig. 1. Average adult-lifetime residential levels of total trihalomethanes (TTHMs), chloroform and brominated trihalomethanes (Br-THMs) among the study participants in the 10 study areas. N = 2461.

The percentage in italics indicates the proportion of TTHMs that is chloroform. The vertical line inside each box indicates the median value. The lower and upper hinges of the boxes indicate the 25th and 75th percentile.

Fig. 2. Exposure-response curves between adult-lifetime residential THMs ($\mu\text{g/L}$) and breast cancer from generalized additive models. $N = 2461$ (1003 cases and 1458 controls).

Tick marks above the x-axes represent quartiles of exposure. Models adjusted by area, age, educational level, occupational status, family history of BC, BMI, energy intake, physical activity, oral contraceptive use and menopause treatment use. P-values for nonlinearity: 0.616 for TTHMs, 0.473 for chloroform, 0.300 for Br-THMs.

residential TTHMs and chloroform levels and educational level on BC risk, while a significant interaction was detected for Br-THMs level. The OR for BC among those in the highest vs. lowest quartile of residential Br-THMs level was lower among those with primary or less education ($\text{OR} = 0.69$; $95\% \text{CI} = 0.48, 1.01$) than among those with secondary or more education ($\text{OR} = 1.36$; $95\% \text{CI} = 0.83, 2.24$) (Table S4). Further adjustment of the residential THM models by other socioeconomic status variables (partner educational level, social class by the largest occupation and parental socioeconomic status) gave similar results (Table S5).

In the Barcelona metropolitan area, with the highest levels of Br-THMs and TTHMs, exposure to residential Br-THMs and TTHMs were

Table 2

Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of breast cancer associated with adult-lifetime residential levels of total trihalomethanes (TTHMs), chloroform and brominated trihalomethanes (Br-THMs). $N = 2461$.

	Controls	Cases	OR ^a	(95%CI)	OR ^b	95%CI
TTHMs ($\mu\text{g/L}$)						
≤ 21.7	365	209	1			
$> 21.7\text{--}30.5$	364	245	1.15	(0.88, 1.49)		
$> 30.5\text{--}48.3$	365	290	1.09	(0.81, 1.46)		
> 48.3	364	259	1.14	(0.83, 1.57)		
Total	1458	1003	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.503</i>		
Cont. (10 $\mu\text{g/L}$)			1.01	(0.97, 1.05)		
Chloroform ($\mu\text{g/L}$)						
≤ 7.6	365	198	1		1	
$> 7.6\text{--}18.8$	364	233	1.25	(0.95, 1.65)	1.22	(0.92, 1.62)
$> 18.8\text{--}24.3$	365	266	1.29	(0.96, 1.73)	1.25	(0.95, 1.65)
> 24.3	364	306	1.47	(1.05, 2.06)	1.40	(1.01, 1.95)
Total	1458	1003	<i>ptrend</i>	0.028	<i>ptrend</i>	0.038
Cont. (10 $\mu\text{g/L}$)			1.12	(0.98, 1.27)	1.12	(0.98, 1.27)
Br-THMs ($\mu\text{g/L}$)						
≤ 5.5	365	276	1		1	1.00
$> 5.5\text{--}10.1$	364	282	1.08	(0.82, 1.41)	1.16	(0.84, 1.59)
$> 10.1\text{--}31.0$	365	197	0.79	(0.57, 1.10)	0.90	(0.64, 1.25)
> 31.0	364	248	0.91	(0.68, 1.23)	0.95	(0.67, 1.35)
Total	1458	1003	<i>ptrend</i>	0.275	<i>ptrend</i>	0.378
Cont. (10 $\mu\text{g/L}$)			1.00	(0.96, 1.04)	0.99	(0.96, 1.03)

TTHMs: total trihalomethanes. Br-THMs: brominated trihalomethanes. Mixed models with area as random effect. Exposure variables are categorized into quartiles.

In bold are the statistically significant odds ratios. P values for trend are shown in italics.

^a Models adjusted for age, educational level, occupational status, family history of BC, BMI, energy intake, physical activity, oral contraceptive use and menopause treatment use.

^b Models mutually adjusted for chloroform and Br-THMs.

also related to BC ($\text{OR} = 1.76$ ($95\% \text{CI} = 0.80, 3.90$) for the highest ($> 91.8 \mu\text{g/L}$) vs. lowest ($< 48.8 \mu\text{g/L}$) quartiles of Br-THMs and $\text{OR} = 1.72$ ($95\% \text{CI} = 0.79, 3.78$) for the highest ($> 110.5 \mu\text{g/L}$) vs. lowest ($< 71.1 \mu\text{g/L}$) quartiles of TTHMs) (Table S6). Madrid, the largest city in Spain and the study area contributing with more subjects, had very low variability in THM levels and no association between those and BC was detected (Table S6).

Average residential THM levels during the last 10 years were highly correlated to average adult-life levels (spearman correlations of 0.80, 0.92 and 0.85 for TTHMs, Br-THMs and chloroform, respectively (all p-values < 0.001)). OR of BC for residential THM levels in the last 10 years were therefore very similar to those for adult-life time residential THM levels (Table S7).

Approximately 75% of the study population usually drank municipal water in their longest residence (28.4 years duration in average), while 21% drank bottled water, and type of water consumed was not related to BC (Table 3). The median weekly duration for showering and washing dishes by hand was 40 min (IQR = 30, 70) and 140 min (IQR = 35, 210), respectively, and they were not correlated (Spearman correlation = 0.004). Hand dish-washing was associated with BC with an OR of 1.39 ($95\% \text{CI} = 1.05, 1.83$) for the highest vs. lowest quartile (p-trend of 0.013), also after adjusting by residential TTHMs. When combining residential THM levels with water activities, the estimated ingested THM levels were not associated with BC (Table 4). Some intermediate category of exposure to TTHMs and Br-THMs through showering was protective for BC, while exposure through dish washing was positively related to BC ($\text{OR} = 1.93$; $95\% \text{CI} = 1.47, 1.12$ for the highest vs. lowest quartiles of TTHMs). Further adjustment by

Table 3

Frequency of water-related activities in the study population and related odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of breast cancer.

	Controls		Cases		OR	95%CI
	N	%	N	%		
Drinking water source ^a						
Tap/municipal	1101	75.6	749	75.0	1	
Bottled	304	20.9	207	20.7	0.99	(0.79, 1.23)
Wells/springs/other	51	3.5	42	4.2	1.07	(0.68, 1.68)
Total				2454		
Showering (min/week)						
≤ 30	374	26.5	260	26.5	1	
> 30–40	356	25.2	265	27.0	0.95	(0.75, 1.22)
> 40–70	494	35.0	336	34.2	0.83	(0.66, 1.04)
> 70	188	13.3	121	12.3	0.82	(0.61, 1.10)
				2394	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.070</i>
Dish washing by hand (min/week)						
≤ 35	357	25.9	236	26.1	1	
35–140	439	31.9	271	29.9	0.95	(0.75, 1.20)
> 140–210	279	20.2	182	20.1	1.10	(0.84, 1.45)
> 210	303	22.0	216	23.9	1.39	(1.05, 1.83)
				2283	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.013</i>

Mixed models with area as random effect. Exposure variables are categorized into quartiles. Models adjusted for age, educational level, occupational status, family history of BC, BMI, energy intake, physical activity, oral contraceptive use and menopause treatment use.

In bold are the statistically significant odds ratios. P values for trend are shown in italics.

^a Drinking water source in the longest residency.

residential level of chloroform or Br-THMs did not affect the associations (results not shown).

4. Discussion

For the first time, we estimated the association between life-time exposure to THMs in drinking water and female BC in a large case-control study, including areas with contrasting THM concentrations in Spain and evaluating different routes of exposure and THM species. At common levels in Europe, total THM exposure was not related to BC, but a positive association was suggested for exposure to chloroform.

Table 4

Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of breast cancer associated with adult-lifetime exposure to total trihalomethanes (TTHMs), chloroform and brominated trihalomethanes (Br-THMs) through different exposure situations. N = 2461.

TTHMs	Contr.	Cases	OR	95%CI	CHCl ₃	Contr.	Cases	OR	95%CI	Br-THMs	Contr.	Cases	OR	TTHMs
Ingestion (µg/day)														
≤ 15.0	366	254	1		≤ 5.5	365	248	1		≤ 3.6	366	254	1	
> 15.0–23.8	363	204	0.91	(0.71, 1.18)	> 5.5–13.4	364	201	0.88	(0.68, 1.13)	> 3.6–6.7	363	273	1.04	(0.81, 1.32)
> 23.8–32.3	365	262	1.00	(0.77, 1.29)	> 13.4–23.1	365	275	1.16	(0.90, 1.50)	> 6.7–12.6	365	233	0.94	(0.72, 1.22)
> 32.3	364	282	1.05	(0.82, 1.35)	> 23.1	364	278	0.95	(0.71, 1.27)	> 12.6	364	242	1.06	(0.81, 1.39)
Total	1458	1002	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.650</i>	Total	1458	1002	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.851</i>	Total	1458	1002	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.839</i>
Showering (µg/L * h/week)														
≤ 13.6	353	243	1		≤ 5.6	353	202	1		≤ 3.9	353	299	1	
13.6–23.1	353	270	0.99	(0.77, 1.26)	5.6–11.8	353	248	1.19	(0.92, 1.53)	3.9–7.6	353	236	0.72	(0.56, 0.92)
> 23.1–40.0	353	202	0.65	(0.50, 0.85)	> 11.8–21.0	353	285	1.16	(0.88, 1.52)	> 7.6–18.9	353	192	0.59	(0.45, 0.78)
> 40.0	353	267	0.91	(0.69, 1.20)	> 21.0	353	247	0.93	(0.70, 1.24)	> 18.9	353	255	0.76	(0.57, 1.01)
Total	1412	982	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.084</i>	Total	1412	982	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.497</i>	Total	1412	982	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.018</i>
Dishwashing (µg/L * h/week)														
13.6	345	222	1		≤ 4.8	345	211	1		≤ 3.8	345	245	1	
13.6–55.7	344	198	0.96	(0.74, 1.25)	4.8–26.5	344	196	1.05	(0.80, 1.36)	3.8–18.1	344	223	0.90	(0.70, 1.15)
> 55.7–119.9	345	210	1.01	(0.78, 1.30)	> 26.5–57.7	345	241	1.20	(0.93, 1.55)	> 18.1–58.3	345	173	0.80	(0.61, 1.05)
> 119.9	344	275	1.47	(1.12, 1.93)	> 57.7	344	257	1.34	(1.03, 1.73)	> 58.3	344	264	1.41	(1.04, 1.92)
Total	1378	905	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.009</i>	Total	1378	905	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.017</i>	Total	1378	905	<i>ptrend</i>	<i>0.154</i>

TTHMs: total trihalomethanes. Br-THMs: brominated trihalomethanes. Mixed models with recruitment area as random effect. Exposure variables are categorized into quartiles. Models adjusted by age, educational level, occupational status, family history of BC, BMI, energy intake, physical activity, oral contraceptive use and menopause treatment use.

Captions indicating sections (e.g. Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion) are in bold. P values for trend are shown in italics.

Another novelty of the present study is the evaluation of individual patterns of water use such as type of ingested water or the frequency and duration of showering and hand dish-washing. Different health effects could be expected by these water activities since they reflect different exposure routes (ingestion, dermal absorption or inhalation) and different THM uptakes (Gordon et al., 2006). The significant association detected between BC and exposure to chloroform was seen for residential levels but not for ingested chloroform. Similarly, residential TTHM levels has been the exposure indicator more related to bladder cancer (Costet et al., 2011; Villanueva et al., 2007) and ingested TTHM was also not related to this cancer in the largest international meta-analysis (Costet et al., 2011). On one hand, residential THM levels are considered an indicator of global exposure regardless of the route (Costet et al., 2011) and on the other hand, the lack of association between cancer and ingested THM levels could be attributed to limitations in the measurement of the indicator more than to a real lack of effect of ingested DBPs (Costet et al., 2011). This is the first study on THM exposure and cancer risk to consider exposure through hand dish-washing and it was positively related to BC. Although THM uptake is lower when washing dishes by hand than when showering (Gordon et al., 2006), the duration of exposure and variability was much higher for hand dish-washing than showering (median of 140 and 40 min/week, respectively) in this study population limited to women. However, the fact that some protective association was seen between BC and showering and that hand dish-washing was related to BC risk beyond THM levels, suggests potential confounding by other unmeasured factors.

The modelling of historical THM levels allowed us to estimate exposure for different temporal windows. However, very similar results were found between adult-lifetime exposure and exposure during the last 10 years, probably due to the high correlation between the levels at different exposure periods. Although the present study has done a huge improvement in exposure assessment compared to previous studies, some measurement error is probably still present due to the limited historical THM measurements. To minimize this, we exclude subjects having estimated THM levels for < 70% of the exposure window. Inability to account for THM exposure outside the home may have introduced error in the estimation of ingested THM levels, although most of total water was consumed at home (74%). Selection bias might be another concern, since response rates were low especially among controls that were population-based and shared for different cancer sites within a larger multi-case control study, leading a slightly different age distribution than cases and higher educational level than general population. However, we assume that probability of participation is independent from the exposure, and we don't expect an impact on the results due to response rates. Finally, we cannot rule out uncontrolled confounding by other water contaminants beyond DBPs. However, long-term exposure assessment to nitrate was conducted in this study (Espejo-Herrera et al., 2016) and adjusting models by nitrate exposure did not modified the results. Furthermore, unpublished data on selected pesticides in drinking water in the study area (e.g., simazine, atrazine, terbutylazine) showed levels below or around the quantification limit. Residual confounding by socioeconomic status could be another concern, although different sensitivity analyses indicate no major effects of socioeconomic status on the estimated risks.

Gastrointestinal and urinary tract, and not BC, are the cancer sites with higher biological plausibility to be affected by DBP exposure (Koivusalo et al., 1997). However, biological mechanisms have been poorly investigated (Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2009), being genotoxicity and carcinogenicity the most recognized ones (Richardson et al., 2007). Epigenetic changes in DNA methylation have also been suggested as another potential mechanism of DBP toxicity (Salas et al., 2015). DBPs are not considered important endocrine disruptors, but very little toxicological evidence is available (Klinefelter et al., 2004). A toxicological study described a delay in reproductive development in rats due to long-term exposure to brominated haloacetic acids (Klinefelter et al.,

2004) and MX was found to be a potent carcinogen in rats that increased mammary gland tumours in female rats (Komulainen et al., 1997). BC is a heterogeneous disease with potentially different aetiologies in pre- and postmenopausal women and in this study we found slightly higher associations between THM exposure and BC among premenopausal women at the highest exposure category.

DBPs constitute a complex mixture with around 600 identified chemicals with different toxicity (Richardson et al., 2007). THMs are usually the more prevalent DBPs in drinking water but have lower toxicity than other less prevalent DBPs, such as haloacetonitriles or MX (Richardson et al., 2007). During the recruitment period of the present study, several DBPs were analyzed in drinking water of a representative sample across study areas (Villanueva et al., 2012). Haloacetic acids (HAAs) were in a very similar range than TTHMs (median of 26.4 µg/L). Haloacetonitriles, halo ketones, chloropicrin and chloralhydrate were in much lower levels and below the limit of detection in several samples. MX showed a median (range) concentration of 16.7 (0.8–54.1) ng/L. Chloroform concentration was positively correlated to chlorinated HAA and MX levels, while Br-THMs were positively correlated to brominated HAA (Villanueva et al., 2012).

Epidemiological studies on DBP exposure and cancer risk have mainly used TTHMs as an indicator of exposure to the total mixture of DBPs (Villanueva et al., 2015). This may result in the misclassification of exposure to the relevant chemicals for a given health outcome, since the correlation between DBP constituents is complex and varies across areas and over time (Villanueva et al., 2012). Measuring the exposure to chloroform and Br-THMs allows estimating separately the exposure to overall chlorinated DBPs and brominated DBPs, since these species are usually correlated between them (Villanueva et al., 2012). This may be especially relevant in epidemiological studies including areas with different chlorine-bromine speciation, like the present one. In this study, female BC appeared to be associated with lifetime exposure to common levels of chloroform but not Br-THMs or TTHMs. Chlorinated DBPs are usually found in higher concentrations in drinking water than brominated DBPs, but toxicological evidence indicates that the brominated are more genotoxic and cytotoxic than their chlorinated analogues (Richardson et al., 2007). Furthermore, current concentrations of MX in the study area were positively correlated to current chloroform level and negatively correlated to Br-THMs (Villanueva et al., 2012).

5. Conclusions

At common levels in Europe, long-term residential total THMs were not related to female breast cancer. A moderate association with chloroform was suggested at the highest exposure category. These results should be confirmed in future large and well design epidemiological studies, since they would have a large public health impact due to the ubiquity of DBP exposure and the health burden of BC.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate the contribution of the institutions and local governments that provided data on municipal water.

Funding

This work was supported by the Acción Transversal del Cáncer del Consejo de Ministros del 11/10/2007, from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III-FEDER (PI08/1770, PI08/0533, PI11/00226, PI11/02213, PI14/00613) FIS grants and Hiwate EU project (036224), Catalan Government DURSI grant 2014SGR647. ISGlobal is a member of the CERCA Programme, Generalitat de Catalunya.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://>

doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.12.031.

References

- Brody, J.G., Rudel, R.A., 2003. Environmental pollutants and breast cancer. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 111, 1007–1019.
- Brody, J.G., Moysich, K.B., Humblet, O., Attfield, K.R., Beehler, G.P., Rudel, R.A., 2007. Environmental pollutants and breast cancer: epidemiologic studies. *Cancer* 109 (12 Suppl), 2667–2711 (Review).
- Castano-Vinyals, G., Aragonés, N., Pérez-Gómez, B., Martín, V., Llorca, J., Moreno, V., et al., 2015. Population-based multicase-control study in common tumors in Spain (MCC-Spain): rationale and study design. *Gac. Sanit.* 29 (4), 308–315. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2014.12.003>.
- Costet, N., Villanueva, C.M., Jaakkola, J.J., Kogevinas, M., Cantor, K.P., King, W.D., et al., 2011. Water disinfection by-products and bladder cancer: is there a European specificity? A pooled and meta-analysis of European case-control studies. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 68 (5), 379–385.
- Doyle, T.J., Zheng, W., Cerhan, J.R., Hong, C.P., Sellers, T.A., Kushi, L.H., et al., 1997. The association of drinking water source and chlorination by-products with cancer incidence among postmenopausal women in Iowa: a prospective cohort study. *Am. J. Public Health* 87, 1168–1176.
- Espejo-Herrera, N., Gracia-Lavedan, E., Pollan, M., Aragonés, N., Boldo, E., Perez-Gomez, B., et al., 2016. Ingested nitrate and breast cancer in the Spanish Multicase-Control Study on Cancer (MCC-Spain). *Environ. Health Perspect.* 124 (7), 1042–1049.
- Font-Ribera, L., Kogevinas, M., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Grimalt, J.O., Villanueva, C.M., 2010. Patterns of water use and exposure to trihalomethanes among children in Spain. *Environ. Res.* 110, 571–579.
- GLOBOCAN, 2012. International Agency for Research on Cancer 2017. Available online: <http://gco.iarc.fr/today/home>.
- Gordon, S.M., Brinkman, M.C., Ashley, D.L., Blount, B.C., Lyu, C., Masters, J., et al., 2006. Changes in breath trihalomethane levels resulting from household water-use activities. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 114 (4), 514–521.
- Gottlieb, M.S., Carr, J.K., Clarkson, J.R., 1982. Drinking water and cancer in Louisiana: a retrospective mortality study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 116, 652–667.
- Hankinson, S.E., Colditz, G.A., Willett, W.C., 2004. Towards an integrated model for breast cancer etiology: the lifelong interplay of genes, lifestyle, and hormones. *Breast Cancer Res.* 6 (5), 213–218.
- Kanarek, M.S., Young, T.B., 1982. Drinking water treatment and risk of cancer death in Wisconsin. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 46, 179–186.
- Klinefelter, G.R., Strader, L.F., Suarez, J.D., Roberts, N.L., Goldman, J.M., Murr, A.S., 2004. Continuous exposure to dibromoacetic acid delays pubertal development and compromises sperm quality in the rat. *Toxicol. Sci.* 81, 419–429.
- Koivusalo, M., Pukkala, E., Vartiainen, T., Jaakkola, J.J., Hakulinen, T., 1997. Drinking water chlorination and cancer: a historical cohort study in Finland. *Cancer Causes Control* 8, 192–200.
- Komulainen, H., Kosma, V.M., Vaitinen, S.L., Vartiainen, T., Kaliste-Korhonen, E., Lötjönen, S., et al., 1997. Carcinogenicity of the drinking water mutagen 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5H)-furanone in the rat. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 89, 848–856.
- Macon, M.B., Fenton, S.E., 2013. Endocrine disruptors and the breast: early life effects and later life disease. *J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia* 18 (1), 43–61.
- Marcus, P.M., Savitz, D.A., Millikan, R.C., Morgenstern, H., 1998. Female breast cancer and trihalomethane levels in drinking water in North Carolina. *Epidemiology* 9, 156–160.
- Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Grellier, J., Smith, R., Iszatt, N., Bennett, J., Best, N., Toledano, M., 2009. The epidemiology and possible mechanisms of disinfection by-products in drinking water. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 367, 4043–4076.
- Pollán, M., Pastor-Barriuso, R., Ardanaz, E., Argüelles, M., Martos, C., Galcerán, J., et al., 2009. Recent changes in breast cancer incidence in Spain, 1980–2004. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 101 (22), 1584–1591.
- Richardson, S.D., Plewa, M.J., Wagner, E.D., Schoeny, R., Demarini, D.M., 2007. Occurrence, genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water: a review and roadmap for research. *Mutat. Res.* 636 (1–3), 178–242.
- Salas, L.A., Bustamante, M., Gonzalez, J.R., Gracia-Lavedan, E., Moreno, V., Kogevinas, M., et al., 2015. DNA methylation levels and long-term trihalomethane exposure in drinking water: an epigenome-wide association study. *Epigenetics* 10 (7), 650–661.
- Villanueva, C.M., Cantor, K.P., Grimalt, J.O., Malats, N., Silverman, D., Tardon, A., et al., 2007. Bladder cancer and exposure to water disinfection by-products through ingestion, bathing, showering, and swimming in pools. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 165 (2), 148–156.
- Villanueva, C.M., Castano-Vinyals, G., Moreno, V., Carrasco-Turigas, G., Aragonés, N., Boldo, E., et al., 2012. Concentrations and correlations of disinfection by-products in municipal drinking water from an exposure assessment perspective. *Environ. Res.* 114, 1–11.
- Villanueva, C.M., Cordier, S., Font-Ribera, L., Salas, L.A., Levallois, P., 2015. Overview of disinfection by-products and associated health effects. *Curr. Environ. Health Rep.* 2 (1), 107–115.
- Vinceti, M., Fantuzzi, G., Monici, L., Cassinadri, M., Predieri, G., Aggazzotti, G., 2004. A retrospective cohort study of trihalomethane exposure through drinking water and cancer mortality in northern Italy. *Sci. Total Environ.* 330, 47–53.
- Wilkins, J.R., Comstock, G.W., 1981. Source of drinking water at home and site-specific cancer incidence in Washington County, Maryland. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 114, 178–190.
- Young, T.B., Kanarek, M.S., Tsiatis, A.A., 1981. Epidemiologic study of drinking water chlorination and Wisconsin female cancer mortality. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 67 (6), 1191–1198.
- Zierler, S., Danley, R.A., Feinodd, L., 1986. Type of disinfectant in drinking water and patterns of mortality in Massachusetts. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 69, 275–279.