



This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Simón-Carrasco L, Jiménez G, Barbacid M, Drosten M. The Capicua tumor suppressor: a gatekeeper of Ras signaling in development and cáncer. *Cell Cycle*. 2018 17(6):702-711.

which has been published in final form at: [doi: 10.1080/15384101.2018.1450029](https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2018.1450029).

**The Capicua tumor suppressor:  
a gatekeeper of Ras signaling in development and cancer**

by

Lucía Simón-Carrasco<sup>a,\*</sup>, Gerardo Jiménez<sup>b,c</sup>, Mariano Barbacid<sup>a</sup> and Matthias Drosten<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Molecular Oncology Programme, Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Oncológicas (CNIO), Melchor Fernández Almagro 3, 28029 Madrid, Spain*

<sup>b</sup>*Institut de Biologia Molecular de Barcelona-CSIC, Parc Científic de Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

<sup>c</sup>*ICREA, Pg. Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain*

<sup>\*</sup>*Current address: Biotech Research and Innovation Centre (BRIC), University of Copenhagen, Ole Maaløes Vej 5, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark*

**Contact:** Gerardo Jiménez ([gjcbmc@ibmb.csic.es](mailto:gjcbmc@ibmb.csic.es)) and Matthias Drosten ([mdrosten@cnio.es](mailto:mdrosten@cnio.es))

**Keywords:** CIC, Ras signaling, T-ALL, mouse models, Etv4

## **Abstract**

The transcriptional repressor Capicua (CIC) has emerged as an important rheostat of cell growth regulated by RAS/MAPK signaling. Cic was originally discovered in *Drosophila*, where it was shown to be inactivated by MAPK signaling downstream of the RTKs Torso and EGFR, which results in signal-dependent responses that are required for normal cell fate specification, proliferation and survival of developing and adult tissues. CIC is highly conserved in mammals, where it is also negatively regulated by MAPK signaling. Here, we review the roles of CIC during mammalian development, tissue homeostasis, tumor formation and therapy resistance. Available data indicate that CIC is involved in multiple biological processes, including lung development, liver homeostasis, autoimmunity and neurobehavioral processes. Moreover, CIC has been shown to be involved in tumor development as a tumor suppressor, both in human as well as in mouse models. Finally, several lines of evidence implicate CIC as a determinant of sensitivity to EGFR and MAPK pathway inhibitors, suggesting that CIC may play a broader role in human cancer than originally anticipated.

## **Introduction**

Capicua (CIC) is a transcriptional repressor of the HMG-box family which binds specific DNA sites in target genes. Cic was first identified in *Drosophila*, where it was shown to control embryonic pattern formation downstream of the receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)/RAS/ERK signaling pathway.<sup>1</sup> Since then, Cic has been extensively studied in other *Drosophila* processes regulated by RTK signaling such as cell fate specification, proliferation and tissue homeostasis (Fig. 1).<sup>2</sup> In addition, a recent study has identified an RTK-independent activity of Cic downstream of Toll/Interleukin-1 signaling.<sup>3</sup>

CIC proteins are highly conserved in mammals and, in recent years, this repressor has also emerged as a tumor suppressor whose function is directly controlled by RTK/RAS/ERK signaling, one of the most important pathways associated with cellular growth and cancer (Fig. 1).<sup>2</sup> Mutations that promote excess RAS signaling are associated with a wide range of human tumors, but how these signals drive cellular transformation and tumorigenesis remains unclear after decades of study. To a large extent, this can be attributed to the fact that RAS/ERK signaling leads to phosphorylation of over 100 substrates, which can in turn interact with other signaling and regulatory inputs. Therefore, defining these targets and their activities is an important step towards understanding the biology and pathobiology of RAS signaling.

### **Cic restricts cellular growth in *Drosophila***

Cic was discovered almost two decades ago as a regulator of embryonic patterning in *Drosophila*.<sup>1</sup> Fly embryos devoid of maternally contributed Cic activity lack most of their trunk and abdominal regions while maintaining the presumptive head and telson; hence the name *capicua*, a term derived from the words “head” (*cap*) and “tail” (*cua*) in Catalan. *Drosophila* Cic acts as a default repressor of genes regulated by RTK/Ras signaling. In

the absence of signaling, Cic binds to and represses those genes, whereas activation of the pathway leads to phosphorylation and inactivation of Cic via degradation or relocalization from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (Fig. 2A).<sup>1-8</sup> For example, Cic is fully degraded in response to RTK activation at the anterior and posterior poles of the embryo, creating local gradients of Cic nuclear concentration that are complementary to the input gradients of ERK activity.<sup>1,5,9</sup> In contrast, RTK activation in ovarian follicle cells promotes nuclear export of Cic and its partial redistribution to the cytoplasm.<sup>5</sup> As a result of these inhibitory effects, Cic-mediated repression is prevented, allowing activation of its target genes by tissue-specific or ubiquitous transcription factors. This transcriptional switch operates downstream of at least two different RTKs, Torso and EGFR, resulting in signal-dependent responses that are required for normal cell fate specification, proliferation and survival of developing and adult tissues. In particular, EGFR-dependent signaling is essential for growth of larval tissues that will form adult structures such as the wings and eyes. Similarly, EGFR signaling promotes the proliferation of intestinal stem cells that is needed for regeneration of the adult midgut epithelium. In both cases, EGFR signaling acts, at least in part, by downregulating Cic.<sup>6,8,10</sup> Indeed, loss of Cic activity via mutation enables cell proliferation in both contexts even in the absence of a functional EGFR signal, whereas overexpression of wild-type or phosphorylation-insensitive forms of Cic block EGFR/Ras-induced proliferation.<sup>6,8</sup> Cic appears to exert these effects by directly repressing a battery of target genes encoding cell cycle regulators and factors involved in DNA replication such as String/Cdc25 and Cyclin E.<sup>8,10,11</sup>

Additional studies in *Drosophila* also suggest a more complex role of Cic at the intersection between Ras signaling and other growth control pathways. For instance, two targets regulated by Cic, *Cyclin E* and the microRNA gene *bantam*, are also regulated by

the Retinoblastoma fly ortholog and by the Hippo pathway, respectively (Fig. 2B).<sup>8,10,12</sup> Indeed, Cic converges with Hippo signaling on a larger set of cell proliferation genes to downregulate their expression, allowing instead for the establishment of cellular differentiation programs.<sup>11</sup> In turn, *bantam* appears to regulate Cic expression levels producing a negative feedback loop.<sup>10</sup> These observations suggest the existence of elaborate control mechanisms in which Cic activity cooperates with other inputs to regulate cell cycle progression during fly development. In fact, Cic might itself integrate some of these signals directly, since recent data shows that Cic is phosphorylated and downregulated by Minibrain/DYRK1A, a kinase involved in growth control that would affect Cic in parallel with ERK-mediated inhibition.<sup>13</sup>

### **Conserved and unique features of CIC in mammals**

CIC proteins are highly conserved across mammals (Fig. 3). Human and murine orthologs were identified in 2002 as novel *Sox*-related genes expressed during neural development.<sup>14</sup> However, CIC expression in mammals is not restricted to the brain and is found in a variety of organs including thymus and lung.<sup>15</sup> At the molecular level, mammalian and fly CIC proteins show the highest similarity in their HMG-box and C-terminal domains (Fig. 3). In addition, similar to *Drosophila*, both humans and mice express at least two isoforms, CIC-L (long) and CIC-S (short), with different N-terminal regions.<sup>16</sup> Interestingly, the exons encoding the N-terminal regions of the *Drosophila* and mammalian CIC-S isoforms appear to have originated independently during evolution, suggesting that they may exert at least some distinct molecular functions.<sup>17</sup> For instance, *Drosophila* Cic-S harbors a unique N-terminal motif, only present in dipteran insects, that allows its association with the Groucho (Gro) corepressor, an activity therefore unlikely to be present in its mammalian counterpart (Fig. 3).<sup>17</sup>

As in *Drosophila*, mammalian CIC proteins bind to highly conserved octameric sites in target genes via their HMG-box and C1 domains.<sup>18-21</sup> In all cases analyzed so far, such binding leads to repression of CIC targets, which include members of the *PEA3* family (see below).<sup>15,18,22</sup> Similarly, CIC is also negatively regulated by ERK-mediated phosphorylation in mammalian cells, which prevents binding of the importin KPNA3 to CIC and ultimately leads to derepression of CIC targets.<sup>22</sup> Recently, photocrosslinking studies have identified an ERK docking site in human CIC that is different from the site characterized in the *Drosophila* protein.<sup>5,23</sup> In addition, the direct ERK substrate p90RSK can phosphorylate CIC on residues adjacent to the HMG box, thereby creating docking sites for 14-3-3 proteins, which, in turn, appear to decrease the interaction of CIC with DNA.<sup>22</sup> Yet, the regulation of CIC function remains poorly defined in mammals (see below), and it is not clear whether there are additional ERK-dependent or -independent mechanisms controlling CIC stability, localization or DNA binding in mammalian cells.

### **Specific roles of CIC in mammalian development and homeostasis**

Mammalian CIC proteins form nuclear protein complexes with ATAXIN-1 (ATXN1) and its related factor ATAXIN-1-LIKE (ATXN1L).<sup>16</sup> ATXN1 is best known for its role in Spinocerebellar Ataxia Type 1 (SCA1), which is caused by the expansion of a polyglutamine tract in this protein.<sup>24</sup> While the functional significance of CIC-ATXN1/ATXN1L complexes is not fully understood, several lines of evidence suggest that ATXN1 and ATXN1L help stabilize the CIC protein and also serve as CIC corepressors.<sup>15,16,24-26</sup> For instance, reducing *Atxn1/Atxn1L* gene dosage in mice caused a decline in CIC protein levels and derepression of CIC target genes,<sup>15</sup> although the mechanism by which ATXN1/ATXN1L proteins control CIC stability is currently unknown. Also, the interaction of ATXN1 with CIC is required for disease manifestation,

since ATXN1<sup>S776A</sup>, a mutant that cannot bind CIC, is not pathogenic.<sup>16</sup> Moreover, disruption of the ATXN1-CIC complex has been shown to have a therapeutic effect in SCA1.<sup>28</sup> This was demonstrated by breeding the SCA1 gain-of-function mouse model *Atn1*<sup>154Q</sup> with a *Cic-L*<sup>-/-</sup> strain (Table 1). This strain carries a genetrap cassette introduced downstream of exon 1A, thereby selectively eliminating the CIC-L isoform, while at the same time reducing the expression of CIC-S.<sup>15</sup> The majority of *Cic-L*<sup>-/-</sup> mice died before weaning, but reduced CIC activity in *Cic-L*<sup>+/-</sup> mice bred with *Atn1*<sup>154Q</sup> mutants was sufficient to ameliorate the SCA1 phenotypes.<sup>28</sup> This improvement was also observed by subjecting the mice to an exercise routine, which led to a reduction of CIC levels through activation of EGFR signaling in the brainstem.<sup>28</sup> Remarkably, glutamine-expanded ATXN1 tends to form more organized and less toxic fibrillar aggregates in the context of reduced CIC expression levels.<sup>29</sup> Moreover, a screen for ATXN1 regulators that could provide potential therapeutic options against SCA1 yielded several components of the MAPK pathway.<sup>30</sup> ATXN1 protein stability was shown to be directly controlled by the MAPK pathway via MSK1-mediated phosphorylation, and downregulation of RAS-ERK-MSK1 activity decreased the levels and toxicity of glutamine-expanded ATXN1.<sup>30</sup> Thus, although further research is needed to fully elucidate the complex interplay between RAS-ERK signaling, ATXN1 and CIC, these and other data discussed below offer promising prospects for developing treatments against SCA1 and at least some cancers.

Furthermore, while the interaction of CIC with gain-of-function ATXN1 contributes to SCA1, wildtype CIC-ATXN1/ATAXIN1L complexes are required to prevent neurobehavioral defects.<sup>31</sup> Zoghbi and co-workers assessed the effects of deleting *Cic* in different regions of the brain using a *Cic* conditional allele carrying *loxP* sites flanking exons 9-11, whose deletion causes truncated CIC proteins due to incorporation of a



premature stop codon (Table 1). *Cic* ablation driven by the *Emx1-Cre* strain, which expresses Cre activity in the forebrain, resulted in hyperactivity as well as learning and memory defects. In contrast, when conditional *Cic* ablation was driven by the *Opt-Cre* allele, which is active in the hypothalamus and medial amygdala, mice developed defects in social interaction related to autism spectrum disorders.<sup>31</sup> Consistent with these phenotypes, *CIC* mutations have been associated with mental and developmental retardation as well as intellectual disability in humans.<sup>31-33</sup>

As mentioned above, *CIC* expression is not restricted to the brain and it exerts additional developmental and physiological roles in other tissues. Ablation of full-length *Cic* isoforms using the same conditional strain in cells of hematopoietic lineage (by crossing with *Vav1-Cre*) or in T lymphocytes (by crossing with *Cd4-Cre*) increases the population of follicular helper T cells (T<sub>FH</sub>) via derepression of *Etv5* along with the subsequent induction of its target gene *Maf*.<sup>34</sup> The increase in T<sub>FH</sub> cells also caused an expansion of germinal center B-cells and revealed autoimmunity phenotypes such as enlarged secondary lymphoid organs and infiltration of immune cells into tissues.<sup>34</sup>

*CIC* has also been implicated in liver homeostasis, as surviving 18-day-old *Cic-L*<sup>-/-</sup> mice show increased levels of bile acid in the liver and enhanced inflammatory responses owing to increased hepatic interleukin-6 and TNF $\alpha$  levels.<sup>35</sup> Absence of *CIC-L* did not translate in hepatic damage by itself but cooperated with a 1% cholic acid diet to produce hepatic injury.<sup>35</sup> Whether this phenotype is a consequence of reduced total *CIC* protein levels or a property selectively attributed to the *CIC-L* isoform remains to be determined. In addition, *Cic-L*<sup>-/-</sup> mice displayed lung alveolarization defects accompanied by MMP9 overexpression at P20.<sup>15</sup> A similar phenotype was found in *Atxn1L* null mice and it has been proposed that loss of either ATXN1L or *CIC* causes

derepression of ETV4, a PEA3 family activator of matrix metalloprotease genes such as *Mmp9* that would affect the alveolarization process.

Finally, germline expression of CIC isoforms lacking the HMG-box in mice (*Cic*<sup>Δ2-6/Δ2-6</sup> strain) leads to perinatal lethality and abnormal terminal differentiation of the respiratory epithelium during late embryonic development (Table 1).<sup>36</sup> The observed defects in these mice correlate with a dramatic increase in proliferating cells and persistent TTF-1 expression. Furthermore, these mice display a reduction in the numbers of type II alveolar cells, suggesting that CIC loss-of-function embryos are incapable of producing enough surfactant for postnatal survival. These observations and those made in *Cic-L*<sup>-/-</sup> mice suggest that CIC activity is particularly relevant for late stage lung development, with phenotypes becoming apparent at the relatively advanced saccular and alveolar stages in *Cic*<sup>Δ2-6/Δ2-6</sup> and *Cic-L*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos, respectively.<sup>15,36</sup> Additionally, these *Cic*<sup>Δ2-6/Δ2-6</sup> embryos displayed omphalocele with high frequency, a defect also shared by *Atxn1/Atxn1L* double KO embryos, suggesting that the ATXN1/ATXN1L-CIC complexes may be needed for retraction of the gut from the umbilical cord.<sup>36</sup> Collectively, these evidences indicate that ATXN1 and ATXN1L are key factors modulating the levels and activity of CIC in multiple settings, which emphasizes the need for further mechanistic studies dissecting the roles of CIC-ATXN1/ATXN1L complexes in normal and pathological conditions.

### **Role of CIC in RAS-driven proliferation in mammalian cells**

*Cic* has been shown to play a key role in Ras-driven proliferation in flies. Ablation of the single *Ras* locus in *Drosophila* results in small, poorly growing cell clones in their imaginal discs. Concomitant inactivation of the *cic* gene restored this defect leading to the generation of normal clones indistinguishable from those expressing wild-type *Ras*.<sup>6</sup>

Likewise, depletion of Ras prevents mitotic divisions in intestinal stem cells and simultaneous inhibition of Cic expression rescues the proliferation defects.<sup>8</sup> These observations suggest that, at least in these fly tissues, Cic is the key mediator of Ras-driven cell proliferation. In contrast, inactivation of mammalian CIC in mouse embryonic fibroblasts lacking the three RAS isoforms, *Hras*, *Nras* and *Kras*,<sup>37-39</sup> failed to induce proliferation, indicating a more complex interpretation of RAS signaling in these mammalian cells.<sup>36</sup> In this regard, it has been shown that ERK proteins phosphorylate and inactivate additional repressors such as ERF or ETV6 (also known as TEL).<sup>40,41</sup> Therefore, it will be interesting to determine whether the combined inactivation of CIC, ERF and ETV6 may be sufficient for RAS-independent cell proliferation, or whether additional factors are also involved.

### ***CIC* is a tumor suppressor**

More recently, CIC has been found to be involved in cancer development. Oligodendrogliomas, a type of low-grade brain tumor, had long been recognized to harbor loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of chromosome arms 1p and 19q. In a large-scale sequencing effort to identify potential tumor suppressor genes in these chromosomal deletions, Bettgowda and colleagues identified recurrent mutations in *CIC* (70% of cases) in the remaining allele on chromosome 19q, suggesting that *CIC* acts as a tumor suppressor gene.<sup>42</sup> In most cases, these mutations co-occur with mutations in *IDH1* and/or, less frequently, in *FUBP1* or the *TERT* promoter.<sup>43,44</sup> *IDH1* mutations are known to change the catalytic properties of the mitochondrial enzyme isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH). Whereas the wild-type enzyme is implicated in metabolic processes by converting isocitrate into  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate, the mutated version acquires a new catalytic activity and further converts  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate into 2-hydroxyglutarate (2HG).<sup>45</sup> More recently, 2HG

has been recognized as an “oncometabolite”, thus contributing to oncogenic transformation by inhibiting 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases.<sup>46</sup> Ectopic expression of wild-type or mutant CIC-S proteins has been shown to cooperate with mutant IDH1 to further increase the production of 2HG.<sup>47</sup> Surprisingly, CIC-S proteins have also been detected in the cytoplasm associated with mitochondria, suggesting that this localization could somehow modulate 2HG production by mutant IDH1.<sup>47</sup> However, further studies are required to clarify this intriguing possibility.

Interestingly, the majority of *CIC* missense mutations in oligodendroglioma cluster in two well-defined domains, the HMG-box and a C-terminal motif known as C1, both of which are implicated in DNA binding and, hence, repression of CIC targets (Fig. 4). In addition, a variety of other mutations in these tumors causes premature stop codons, altered splice sites, and frameshift insertions or deletions that are likely to disable CIC’s repressor activity (Fig. 4). More recent studies have identified distinct *CIC* missense mutations within a single tumor, suggesting that selective pressure to inactivate CIC function causes several subclones to acquire distinct mutations independently, thereby contributing to intratumoral heterogeneity.<sup>48</sup> These *CIC* mutations are not always maintained in recurrent oligodendrogliomas, adding further support to the concept that some of these mutations may be subclonal secondary events.<sup>49</sup> Finally, the presence of mutated *CIC* alleles correlates with a more aggressive phenotype when compared to tumors that only harbor the 1p/19q co-deletion, indicating that complete inactivation of CIC function actively contributes to tumor progression.<sup>50</sup>

In mice, elimination of CIC activity in the entire brain by crossing a *Cic*<sup>lox/lox</sup> strain carrying *loxP* sites flanking exons 2-6 with mice expressing a Cre recombinase under the control of the GFAP promoter did not result in any significant alterations at the histopathological level for up to one year of age (Table 1).<sup>36</sup> Likewise, eliminating CIC

activity by targeting exons 9-11 in *Cic*<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice with the *Emx1*- (forebrain) or the *Opt-Cre* (hypothalamus and medial amygdala) strains did not result in brain tumor formation either.<sup>31</sup> These results suggest that inactivation of CIC is not an initiating event in glioma development. However, Yang and colleagues have recently identified an aberrantly proliferating neural population in a germline *Cic*-deficient mouse model in which some mice survived until P4 (Table 1).<sup>51</sup> Similarly, the authors also tested whether absence of CIC modulates glioma formation in mice. To this end, they used a well-characterized model of oligodendroglioma that is driven by overexpression of PDGFB. Neurospheres from *Cic*<sup>+/+</sup> or *Cic*<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice were transduced with retroviruses expressing PDGFB and Cre-expressing adenoviruses to remove the *Cic* conditional alleles and create *Cic*<sup>-/-</sup> neurospheres. These *Cic*<sup>-/-</sup> neurospheres, when implanted into the brain of immunocompromised mice, gave rise to PDGFB-driven gliomas with significantly lower latency than *Cic*<sup>+/+</sup> neurospheres, indicating that absence of CIC potentiates PDGFB-driven glioma formation.<sup>51</sup> Taken together, these studies indicate that loss of CIC activity is likely not sufficient on its own to initiate brain tumorigenesis, but it may accelerate the growth of brain tumors driven by other cancer drivers. Whether the aberrantly proliferating neural cell population identified by Yang and colleagues in *Cic*-deficient mice can play a role in tumor initiation awaits further clarification.

*CIC* mutations have subsequently been identified in a variety of other cancers such as stomach adenocarcinomas (12.9%), endometrial carcinomas (6.9%), colorectal carcinomas (6.1%), or melanomas (5.2%).<sup>52,53</sup> Yet, the contribution of *CIC* mutations to these cancers has not been thoroughly investigated. Moreover, inactivation of CIC has been implicated in metastasis formation. In particular, *CIC* mutations were associated with advanced stage lung adenocarcinomas and the inactivation of CIC promoted metastasis in an *in vivo* orthotopic model of lung cancer.<sup>54,55</sup> Interestingly, a variety of

missense mutations identified in advanced stage lung adenocarcinomas affect codons that encode residues of yet uncharacterized protein regions. Likewise, loss of *CIC* has been implicated in metastatic progression of prostate cancer.<sup>56</sup> More recently, absence of *CIC* was found to promote progression and metastasis of hepatocellular carcinomas induced by the chemical carcinogen diethylnitrosamine.<sup>57</sup> Here, *CIC* proteins were deleted specifically from the liver by crossing *Cic*<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice with the *Alb-Cre* strain (Table 1).

From the molecular point of view, most of the available data suggest that *CIC* exerts its tumor suppressive functions by repressing its specific target genes. Mutations in exons encoding the HMG-box or the C1 motif clearly disrupt DNA binding of *CIC*.<sup>21</sup> Since both types of mutations are frequently found in a variety of tumors (usually in combination with LOH of chromosome arm 19q), the loss of DNA binding (and presumably repressor activity) appears to be a key mechanism of *CIC* tumorigenesis. However, it remains unclear whether, and through which mechanisms, other missense mutations identified outside the HMG-box and C1 domains also contribute to tumor progression.

Additionally, *CIC* has been shown to be part of chromosomal translocations that result in oncogenic fusion proteins carrying domains of DUX4 or FOXO4 in Ewing-like sarcomas, or of NUTM1 in brain tumors.<sup>18,58</sup> These chimeric proteins usually retain most parts of *CIC*, including the C1 domain, attached to the various C-terminal regions of their fusion partners. The *CIC*-DUX4 fusion proteins, the best characterized so far, are believed to recognize *CIC* binding elements in target promoters and activate instead of repress gene expression via the DUX4 activation domain.<sup>18,21</sup>

## **Role of *CIC* in T-ALL**

Systemic inactivation of CIC proteins in adult mice using the conditional strain carrying *loxP* sites flanking exons 2-6 led to the development of acute T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-ALL) before one year of age.<sup>36</sup> No other tissue in these mice displayed detectable alterations. Further characterization of the tumors revealed a high degree of malignancy, such as dissemination to other organs, transplantability of the disease, or clonal expansions of T cell populations. Transcriptomal analysis of these tumors by RNA sequencing revealed a variety of highly derepressed CIC targets including the transcription factors ETV4 and, to a lesser extent, ETV5. Notably, inactivation of CIC in an *Etv4*-deficient background dramatically reduced the incidence of T-ALL, indicating that ETV4 is a key effector of T-ALL development. Subsequent studies in which ETV4 expression was downregulated in human T-ALL cell lines also revealed a dependence of this tumor type on ETV4 expression.<sup>36</sup> Induction of T-ALL by expression of an H-RAS<sup>G12V</sup> oncoprotein from the mouse *Kras* locus revealed highly related transcriptional profiles with those induced by inactivation of CIC proteins.<sup>36,59</sup> Similar transcriptional profiles were also observed in human T-ALLs carrying mutations that predict activation of the RAS/MAPK pathway.<sup>36</sup> These results suggest that CIC is a key effector of RAS/MAPK driven T-ALL.

A more recent study utilizing the *Cic*<sup>lox/lox</sup> strain with *loxP* sites flanking exons 9-11 obtained similar results.<sup>60</sup> When the authors systemically eliminated CIC from adult mice, but also specifically in hematopoietic progenitors by crossing with the *Tek-Cre* strain, mice developed fully penetrant T-ALL (Table 1). These important observations indicate that CIC's tumor suppressor activity is inherent to the hematopoietic lineage, since a potential implication of non-hematopoietic tissues cannot be ruled out upon systemic CIC elimination. In this regard, the same study also observed T-ALL formation using the

*Vav1-Cre* line (also active in hematopoietic cells), although much more delayed and with incomplete penetrance. This slower, milder effect may explain why a previous study did not observe lymphoma development using the same Cre recombinase line.<sup>34</sup>

All these data taken together strongly support the notion that CIC's transcriptional repressor activity is crucial to suppress tumorigenesis. However, in contrast to *Drosophila*, inactivation of CIC in mammals does not seem to induce cell proliferation directly. This raises the question of how CIC inactivation contributes to cancer progression. In the majority of the cases described so far, derepression of the PEA3 family of transcription factors was key to tumor development. Thus, it is conceivable that *CIC* mutations impact on other cancer traits that are distinct from mere cell proliferation. Furthermore, at least two lines of evidence support the idea that CIC inactivation particularly affects the late stages of cancer progression. First, *CIC* mutations themselves appear to be a late event in tumor formation, suggesting that they do not play a major role in cancer initiation.<sup>54</sup> Second, PEA3 transcription factors are well-known to control expression of matrix metalloproteases which in turn have been implicated in cancer cell invasion.<sup>15,54</sup> However, it should not be ruled out that other, yet unidentified mechanisms also contribute to tumor growth. Interestingly, *CIC* mutations can also occur in tumors carrying mutations in the RAS pathway,<sup>52,53</sup> suggesting that RAS inputs on other factors can enhance the CIC inactivation phenotype, at least in certain tumors.

### **CIC and therapy resistance**

Despite the generally low frequency of *RAS* mutations in T-ALL, it has been suggested that up to 50% of these tumors display aberrant RAS signaling.<sup>61</sup> Moreover, RAS/MAPK activating mutations are much more prevalent in relapsed cases, suggesting that targeting



RAS/MAPK signaling could be a therapeutic option in a significant percentage of T-ALL patients.<sup>62</sup> Indeed, experiments using mouse models suggest that T-ALLs driven by *Ras* oncogenes are susceptible to MEK inhibition.<sup>63</sup> However, T-ALL cells from tumors obtained upon CIC inactivation do not exhibit increased MAPK activity and are completely resistant to the Mek kinase inhibitor trametinib.<sup>36</sup> Moreover, inactivation of *CIC* from trametinib-sensitive human T-ALL cell lines using CRISPR/Cas9 also makes these cells insensitive to MEK inhibition. These observations suggest that inactivation of *CIC* may render MAPK inhibition inefficient in human cancer. In agreement with these observations, a genetic screen for genes whose absence causes resistance to MEK inhibition in lung and gastric cancer cell lines resulted in the identification of *CIC*.<sup>64</sup> Similarly, *CIC* was also identified as a determinant of sensitivity to blocking EGFR signaling in neural stem cells or NSCLC cell lines.<sup>51,65</sup> Taken together, these studies suggest that absence of *CIC* derepresses a significant fraction of the gene expression program induced by activation of the EGFR/RAS/MAPK pathway. Therefore, the levels of *CIC* activity should be a key biomarker to predict the sensitivity of RAS/MAPK-driven tumors to MEK or ERK inhibitors. In sum, the relevance of *CIC* for cancer progression and therapy resistance is only just emerging and we believe that a better understanding of *CIC* functions could indeed make a significant impact on cancer therapies in the future.

### **Open questions**

The involvement of *CIC* in several human diseases has stimulated substantial interest in understanding its multiple biological functions. Yet, despite the rapid progress made in recent years, the mechanisms of *CIC* activity and regulation are far from being fully understood. Thus, apart from the points discussed earlier, many open questions remain that need to be addressed in the future. For example, the functional differences between

both CIC isoforms, CIC-L and CIC-S, remain largely uncharacterized. Related to this question, it will also be important to determine the precise molecular mechanisms of CIC repression and the role of ATXN proteins in this context. Most CIC functions appear to rely on this repressor activity, but does CIC possess activator or even transcription-independent functions? In this regard, further studies are also needed to clarify the potential role of CIC-S in mitochondria. Furthermore, from a biological perspective, it is evident that CIC has evolved independent functions in flies and mammals, which often depend on distinct sets of target genes, but is there a common, ancestral function shared across species? Questions also remain concerning the roles of CIC in cancer. It is striking that *CIC* mutations cluster into the HMG-box and the C1 motif only in oligodendroglioma. What is then the significance of the more even distribution of *CIC* mutations throughout the entire coding region in other cancers? Are all of these mutations merely passenger events or could they reflect tissue-specific differences in protein activity or sensitivity to mutagenesis? One initial study already suggested that all tested *CIC* mutations found in lung cancer, independently of where they occur, produce loss-of-function proteins.<sup>54,55</sup> Moreover, it has been suggested that *CIC* haploinsufficiency might cause predisposition to cancer and could represent in itself a RASopathy syndrome,<sup>60</sup> an idea that warrants further investigation. Furthermore, it is unclear how loss of *CIC* function contributes to resistance to MAPK pathway inhibition, beyond causing derepression of the PEA3 family of transcription factors. Finally, it is expected that answers to these questions will provide a first step towards the bigger challenge of translating all that knowledge into novel therapies for *CIC*-related diseases.

## **Funding**

This work has been supported by grants from the Fundació La Marató de TV3 (20131730/1) to G.J. and M.B., from the European Research Council (ERC-AG/250297-RAS AHEAD and ERC-AG/695566-THERACAN), the Autonomous Community of Madrid (S2011/BDM-2470/ONCOCYCLE) and the Foundation of the *Asociación Española contra el Cáncer* (AECC) (GC16173694BARB) to M.B, and the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (SAF2014-59864-R to M.B. and BFU2014-52863-P to G.J.). M.B. is the recipient of an Endowed Chair from the AXA Research Fund. L.S.C. has been supported by a fellowship from the Programa de Formación de Personal Investigator (FPI) of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness.

## **Disclosure of interest**

The authors report no conflict of interest.

## References

- (1) Jiménez G, Guichet A, Ephrussi A, Casanova J. Relief of gene repression by Torso RTK signaling: role of capicua in Drosophila terminal and dorsoventral patterning. *Genes Dev* 2000;14:224-31. PMID: 10652276.
- (2) Jiménez G, Shvartsman SY, Paroush Z. The Capicua repressor – a general sensor of RTK signaling in development and disease. *J Cell Sci* 2012;125:1383-9. PMID: 22526417.
- (3) Papagianni A, Forés M, Shao W, He S, Koenecke N, Andreu MJ, Samper N, Paroush Z, González-Crespo S, Zeitlinger J, et al. Capicua controls Toll/IL-1 signaling targets independently of RTK regulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2018;115:1807-12. PMID: 29432195.
- (4) Roch F, Jiménez G, Casanova J. EGFR signaling inhibits Capicua-dependent repression during specification of Drosophila wing veins. *Development* 2002;129:993-1002. PMID: 11861482.
- (5) Astigarraga S, Grossman R, Díaz-Delfin J, Caelles C, Paroush Z, Jiménez G. A MAPK docking site is critical for downregulation of Capicua by Torso and EGFR RTK signaling. *EMBO J* 2007;26:668-77. PMID: 17255944.
- (6) Tseng AS, Tapon N, Kanda H, Cigizoglu S, Edelmann L, Pellock B, White K, Hariharan IK. Capicua regulates cell proliferation downstream of the receptor tyrosine kinase/ras signaling pathway. *Curr Biol* 2007;17:728-33. PMID: 17398096.
- (7) Lim B, Samper N, Lu H, Rushlow C, Jiménez G, Shvartsman SY. Kinetics of gene derepression by ERK signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013;110:10330-5. PMID: 23733957.

- (8) Jin Y, Ha N, Forés M, Xiang J, Glässer C, Maldera J, Jiménez G, Edgar BA. EGFR/Ras signaling controls *Drosophila* intestinal stem cell proliferation via *Capicua*-regulated genes. *PLoS Genet* 2015;11:e1005634. PMID: 26683696.
- (9) Grimm O, Sanchez Zini V, Kim Y, Casanova J, Shvartsman SY, Wieschaus E. Torso RTK controls *Capicua* degradation by changing its subcellular localization. *Development* 2012;139:3962-8. PMID: 23048183.
- (10) Herranz H, Hong X, Cohen SM. Mutual repression by bantam miRNA and *Capicua* links the EGFR/MAPK and Hippo pathways in growth control. *Curr Biol* 2012;22:651-7. PMID: 22445297.
- (11) Pascual J, Jacobs J, Sansores-Garcia L, Natarajan M, Zeitlinger J, Aerts S, Halder G, Hamaratoglu F. Hippo reprograms the transcriptional response to Ras signaling. *Dev Cell* 2017;42:667-680. PMID: 28950103.
- (12) Krivy K, Bradley-Gill MR, Moon NS. *Capicua* regulates proliferation and survival of RB-deficient cells in *Drosophila*. *Biol Open* 2013;2:183-90. PMID: 23429853.
- (13) Yang L, Paul S, Trieu KG, Dent LG, Froidi F, Forés M, Webster K, Siegfried KR, Kondo S, Harvey K, et al. Minibrain and Wings apart control organ growth and tissue patterning through down-regulation of *Capicua*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016;113:10583-8. PMID: 27601662.
- (14) Lee CJ, Chan WI, Cheung M, Cheng YC, Appleby VJ, Orme AT, Scotting PJ. CIC, a member of a novel subfamily of the HMG-box superfamily, is transiently expressed in developing granule neurons. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* 2002;106:151-6. PMID: 12393275.
- (15) Lee Y, Fryer JD, Kang H, Crespo-Barreto J, Bowman AB, Gao Y, Kahle JJ, Hong JS, Kheradmand F, Orr HT, et al. ATXN1 protein family and CIC regulate

- extracellular matrix remodeling and lung alveolarization. *Dev Cell* 2011;21:746-57. PMID: 22014525.
- (16) Lam YC, Bowman AB, Jafar-Nejad P, Lim J, Richman R, Fryer JD, Hyun ED, Duvick LA, Orr HT, Botas J, et al. ATXIN-1 interacts with the repressor Capicua in its native complex to cause SCA1 neuropathology. *Cell* 2006;127:1335-47. PMID: 17190598.
- (17) Forés M, Ajuria L, Samper N, Astigarraga S, Nieva C, Grossman R, González-Crespo S, Paroush Z, Jiménez G. Origins of context-dependent gene repression by capicua. *PLoS Genet* 2015;11:e1004902. PMID: 25569482.
- (18) Kawamura-Saito M, Yamazaki Y, Kaneko K, Kawaguchi N, Kanda H, Mukai H, Gotoh T, Motoi T, Fukayama M, Aburatani H, et al. Fusion between CIC and DUX4 up-regulates PEA3 family genes in Ewing-like sarcomas with t(4;19)(q35;q13) translocation. *Hum Mol Genet* 2006;15:2125-37. PMID: 16717057.
- (19) Löhr U, Chung HR, Beller M, Jäckle H. Antagonistic action of Bicoid and the repressor Capicua determines the spatial limits of *Drosophila* head gene expression domains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009;106:21695-700. PMID: 19959668.
- (20) Ajuria L, Nieva C, Winkler C, Kuo D, Samper N, Andreu MJ, Helman A, González-Crespo S, Paroush Z, Courey AJ, et al. Capicua DNA-binding sites are general response elements for RTK signaling in *Drosophila*. *Development* 2011;138:915-24. PMID: 21270056.
- (21) Forés M, Simón-Carrasco L, Ajuria L, Samper N, González-Crespo S, Drosten M, Barbacid M, Jiménez G. A new mode of DNA binding distinguishes Capicua

- from other HMG-box factors and explains its mutation patterns in cancer. *PLoS Genet* 2017;13:e1006622. PMID: 28278156.
- (22) Dissanayake K, Toth R, Blakey J, Olsson O, Campbell DG, Prescott AR, MacKintosh C. ERK/p90(RSK)/14-3-3 signalling has an impact on expression of PEA3 Ets transcription factors via the transcriptional repressor capicua. *Biochem J* 2011;433:515-25. PMID: 21087211.
- (23) Futran AS, Kyin S, Shvartsman SY, Link AJ. Mapping the binding interface of ERK and transcriptional repressor Capicua using photocrosslinking. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2015;112:8590-5. PMID: 26124095.
- (24) Banfi S, Servadio A, Chung MY, Kwiatkowski TJ Jr, McCall AE, Duvick LA, Shen Y, Roth EJ, Orr HY, Zoghbi HY. Identification and characterization of the gene causing type 1 spinocerebellar ataxia. *Nat Genet* 1994;7:513-20. PMID: 7951322.
- (25) Crespo-Barreto J, Fryer JD, Shaw CA, Orr HT, Zoghbi HY. Partial loss of ataxin-1 function contributes to transcriptional dysregulation in spinocerebellar ataxia type 1 pathogenesis. *PLoS Genet* 2010;6:e1001021. PMID: 20628574.
- (26) Tsai CC, Kao HY, Mizutani A, Banayo E, Rajan H, McKeown M, Evans RM. Ataxin 1, a SCA1 neurodegenerative disorder protein, is functionally linked to the silencing mediator of retinoid and thyroid hormone receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004;101:4047-52. PMID: 15016912.
- (27) Mizutani A, Wang L, Rajan H, Vig PJ, Alaynick WA, Thaler JP, Tsai CC. Boat, an AXH domain protein, suppresses the cytotoxicity of mutant ataxin-1. *EMBO J* 2005;24:3339-51. PMID: 16121196.

- (28) Fryer JD, Yu P, Mandel-Brehm C, Carter AN, Crespo-Barreto J, Gao Y, Flora Y, Shaw C, Orr HT, Zoghbi HY. Exercise and genetic rescue of SCA1 via the transcriptional repressor Capicua. *Science* 2011;334:690-3. PMID: 22053053.
- (29) Lasagna-Reeves CA, Rousseaux MW, Guerrero-Muñoz MJ, Park J, Jafar-Nejad P, Richman R, Lu N, Sengupta U, Litvinchuk A, Orr HT, et al. A native interactor scaffolds and stabilizes ATAXIN-1 oligomers in SCA1. *Elife* 2015;4; doi: 10.7554/eLife.07558. PMID: 25988806.
- (30) Park J, Al-Ramahi I, Tan Q, Mollema N, Diaz-Garcia JR, Gallego-Flores T, Lu HC, Lagalwar S, Duvick L, Kang H, et al. RAS-MAPK-MSK1 pathway modulates ataxin 1 protein levels and toxicity and SCA1. *Nature* 2013;498:325-31. PMID: 23719381.
- (31) Lu HC, Tan Q, Rousseaux MW, Wang W, Kim JY, Richman R, Wan YW, Yeh SY, Patel JM, Liu X, et al. Disruption of the ATXN1-CIC complex causes a spectrum of neurobehavioral phenotypes in mice and humans. *Nat Genet* 2017;49:527-36. PMID: 28288114.
- (32) Vissers LE, de Ligt J, Gilissen C, Janssen I, Steehouwer M, de Vries P, van Lier B, Arts P, Wieskamp N, del Rosario M, et al. A de novo paradigm for mental retardation. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:1109-12. PMID: 21076407.
- (33) Athanasakis E, Licastro D, Faletta F, Fabretto A, Dipresa S, Vozzi D, Morgan A, d'Adamo AP, Pecile V, Biarnés X, et al. Next generation sequencing in nonsyndromic intellectual disability: from a negative molecular karyotype to a possible causative mutation detection. *Am J Med Genet A* 2014;164A:170-6. PMID: 24307393.
- (34) Park S, Lee S, Lee CG, Park GY, Hong H, Lee JS, Kim YM, Lee SB, Hwang D, Choi YS, et al. Capicua deficiency induces autoimmunity and promotes



- follicular helper T cell differentiation via derepression of ETV5. *Nat Commun* 2017;8:16037. PMID: 28855737.
- (35) Kim E, Park S, Choi N, Lee J, Yoe J, Kim S, Jung HY, Kim KT, Kang H, Fryer JD, et al. Deficiency of Capicua disrupts bile acid homeostasis. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:8272. PMID: 25653040.
- (36) Simón-Carrasco L, Graña O, Salmón M, Jacob HKC, Gutierrez A, Jiménez G, Drosten M, Barbacid M. Inactivation of Capicua in adult mice causes T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma. *Genes Dev* 2017;31:1456-68. PMID: 28827401.
- (37) Drosten M, Dhawahir A, Sum EY, Urosevic J, Lechuga CG, Esteban LM, Castellano E, Guerra C, Santos E, Barbacid M. Genetic analysis of Ras signaling pathways in cell proliferation, migration and survival. *EMBO J* 2010;29:1091-104. PMID: 20150892.
- (38) Drosten M, Sum EY, Lechuga CG, Simón-Carrasco L, Jacob HK, García-Medina R, Huang S, Beijersbergen RL, Bernards R, Barbacid M. Loss of p53 induces cell proliferation via Ras-independent activation of the Raf/Mek/Erk signaling pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014;111:15155-60. PMID: 25288756.
- (39) Lechuga CG, Simón-Carrasco L, Jacob HK, Drosten M. Genetic validation of cell proliferation via Ras-independent activation of the Raf/Mek/Erk pathway. *Methods Mol Biol* 2017;1487:269-276. PMID: 27924574.
- (40) Le Gallic L, Sgouras D, Beal G Jr, Mavrothalassitis G. Transcriptional repressor ERF is a Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase target that regulates cellular proliferation. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999;19:4121-33. PMID: 10330152.
- (41) Maki K, Arai H, Waga K, Sasaki K, Nakamura F, Imai Y, Kurokawa M, Hirai H, Mitani K. Leukemia-related transcription factor TEL is negatively regulated

- through extracellular signal-regulated kinase-induced phosphorylation. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004;24:3227-37. PMID: 15060146.
- (42) Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Jiao Y, Sausen M, Wood LD, Hruban RH, Rodriguez FJ, Cahill DP, McLendon R, Riggins G, et al. Mutations in CIC and FUBP1 contribute to human oligodendroglioma. *Science* 2011;333:1453-5. PMID: 21817013.
- (43) Yip S, Butterfield YS, Morozova O, Chittaranjan S, Blough MD, An J, Birol I, Chesnelong C, Chiu R, Chuah E, et al. Concurrent CIC mutations, IDH mutations, and 1p/19q loss distinguish oligodendrogliomas from other cancers. *J Pathol* 2012;226:7-16. PMID: 22072542.
- (44) Killela PJ, Reitman ZJ, Jiao Y, Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Diaz LA Jr, Friedman AH, Friedman H, Gallia GL, Giovannella BC, et al. TERT promoter mutations occur frequently in gliomas and a subset of tumors derived from cells with low rates of self-renewal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013;110:6021-6. PMID: 23530248.
- (45) Dang L, White DW, Gross S, Bennett BD, Bittinger MA, Driggers EM, Fantin VR, Jang HG, Jin S, Keenan MC, et al. Cancer-associated IDH1 mutations produce 2-hydroxyglutarate. *Nature* 2009;462:739-44. PMID: 19935646.
- (46) Xu W, Yang H, Liu Y, Yang Y, Wang P, Kim SH, Ito S, Yang C, Wang P, Xiao MT, et al. Oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate is a competitive inhibitor of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases. *Cancer Cell* 2011;19:17-30. PMID: 21251613.
- (47) Chittaranjan S, Chan S, Yang C, Yang KC, Chen V, Moradian A, Firme M, Song J, Go NE, Blough MD, et al. Mutations in CIC and IDH1 cooperatively regulate

- 2-hydroxyglutarate levels and cell clonogenicity. *Oncotarget* 2014;5:7960-79. PMID: 25277207.
- (48) Suzuki H, Aoki K, Chiba K, Sato Y, Shiozawa Y, Shiraishi Y, Shimamura T, Niida A, Motomura K, Ohka F, et al. Mutational landscape and clonal architecture in grade II and grade III gliomas. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:458-68. PMID: 25848751.
- (49) Aihara K, Mukasa A, Nagae G, Nomura M, Yamamoto S, Ueda H, Tatsuno K, Shibahara J, Takahashi M, Momose T, et al. Genetic and epigenetic stability of oligodendrogliomas at recurrence. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 2017;5:18. PMID: 28270234.
- (50) Gleize V, Alentorn A, Connen de Kérillis L, Labussière M, Nadaradjane AA, Mundwiler E, Ottolenghi C, Mangesius S, Rahminian A, Ducray F, et al. CIC inactivating mutations identify aggressive subset of 1p19q codeleted gliomas. *Ann Neurol* 2015;78:355-74. PMID: 26017892.
- (51) Yang R, Chen LH, Hansen LJ, Carpenter AB, Moure CJ, Liu H, Pirozzi CJ, Diplas BH, Waitkus MS, Greer PK, et al. Cic loss promotes gliomagenesis via aberrant neural stem cell proliferation and differentiation. *Cancer Res* 2017;doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-1018. PMID: 28939681.
- (52) Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, Jacobsen A, Byrne CJ, Heuer ML, Larsson E, et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov* 2012;2:401-4. PMID: 22588877.
- (53) Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, Sun Y, Jacobsen A, Sinha R, Larsson E, et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer

- genomics and clinical profiles using cBioPortal. *Sci Signal* 2013;6:p11. PMID: 23550210.
- (54) Okimoto RA, Breitenbuecher F, Olivás VR, Wu W, Gini B, Hofree M, Asthana S, Hrustanovic G, Flanagan J, Tulpule A, et al. Inactivation of Capicua drives cancer metastasis. *Nat Genet* 2017;49:87-96. PMID: 27869830.
- (55) Okimoto RA, Bivona TG. Metastasis: From head to tail. *Cell Cycle* 2017;16:487-8. PMID: 28055306.
- (56) Seim I, Jeffery PL, Thomas PB, Nelson CC, Chopin LK. Whole-genome sequence of the metastatic PC3 and LNCaP human prostate cancer cell lines. *G3 (Bethesda)* 2017;7:1731-41. PMID: 28413162.
- (57) Kim E, Kim D, Lee JS, Yoe J, Park J, Kim CJ, Jeong D, Kim S, Lee Y. Capicua suppresses hepatocellular carcinoma progression by controlling ETV4-MMP1 axis. *Hepatology* 2017;Dec 18:doi:10.1002/hep.29738. PMID: 29251790.
- (58) Sturm D, Orr BA, Toprak UH, Hovestadt V, Jones DTW, Capper D, Sill M, Buchhalter I, Northcott PA, Leis I, et al. New brain tumor entities emerge from molecular classification of CNS-PNETs. *Cell* 2016;164:1060-72. PMID: 26919435.
- (59) Drosten M, Simón-Carrasco L, Hernández-Porras I, Lechuga CG, Blasco MT, Jacob HK, Fabbiano S, Potenza N, Bustelo XR, Guerra C, et al. H-Ras and K-Ras oncoproteins induce different tumor spectra when driven by the same regulatory sequences. *Cancer Res* 2017;77:707-18. PMID: 27872088.
- (60) Tan Q, Brunetti L, Rousseaux MWC, Lu HC, Wan YW, Revelli JP, Liu Z, Goodell MA, Zoghbi HY. Loss of Capicua alters early T cell development and predisposes mice to T cell lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2018;115:E1511-E1519. PMID: 29382756.

- (61) Von Lintig FC, Huvar I, Law P, Diccianni MB, Yu AL, Boss GR. Ras activation in normal white blood cells and childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000;6:1804-10. PMID: 10815901.
- (62) Oshima K, Khiabani H, da Silva-Almeida AC, Tzoneva G, Abate F, Ambesi-Impiombato A, Sanchez-Martin M, Carpenter Z, Penson A, Perez-Garcia A, et al. Mutational landscape, clonal evolution patterns, and role of RAS mutations in relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016;113:11306-11. PMID: 27655895.
- (63) Dail M, Li Q, McDaniel A, Wong J, Akagi K, Huang B, Kang HC, Kogan SC, Shokat K, Wolff L, et al. Mutant *Ikzf1*, *KrasG12D*, and *Notch1* cooperate in T lineage leukemogenesis and modulate responses to targeted agents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010;107:5106-11. PMID: 20194733.
- (64) Wang B, Krall EB, Aguirre AJ, Kim M, Widlund HR, Doshi MB, Sicinska E, Sulahian R, Goodale A, Cowley GS, et al. *ATXN1L*, *CIC*, and *ETS* transcription factors modulate sensitivity to MAPK pathway inhibition. *Cell Rep* 2017;18:1543-57. PMID: 28178529.
- (65) Liao S, Davoli T, Leng Y, Li MZ, Xu Q, Elledge SJ. A genetic interaction analysis identifies cancer drivers that modify EGFR dependency. *Genes Dev* 2017;31:184-96. PMID: 28167502.
- (66) Samee MA, Lim B, Samper N, Lu H, Rushlow CA, Jiménez G, Shvartsman SY, Sinha S. A systematic ensemble approach to thermodynamic modeling of gene expression from sequence data. *Cell Syst* 2015;1:396-407. PMID: 27136354.
- (67) Liang HL, Nien CY, Liu HY, Metzstein MM, Kirov N, Rushlow C. The zinc-finger protein Zelda is a key activator of the early zygotic genome in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 2008;456:400-3. PMID: 18931655.

- (68) Nolo R, Morrison CM, Tao C, Zhang X, Halder G. The bantam microRNA is a target of the hippo tumor-suppressor pathway. *Curr Biol* 2006;16:1895-904. PMID: 16949821.
- (69) Thompson BJ, Cohen SM. The Hippo pathway regulates the bantam microRNA to control cell proliferation and apoptosis in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 2006;126:767-74.
- (70) Oh H, Irvine KD. Cooperative regulation of growth by Yorkie and Mad through bantam. *Dev Cell* 2011;20:109-22. PMID: 21238929.
- (71) Degoutin JL, Milton CC, Yu E, Tipping M, Bosveld F, Yang L, Bellaiche Y, Veraksa A, Harvey KF. Riquiqui and minibrain are regulators of the hippo pathway downstream of Dachshous. *Nat Cell Biol* 2013;15:1176-85. PMID: 23955303.
- (72) Kim E, Lu HC, Zoghbi HY, Song JJ. Structural basis of protein complex formation and reconfiguration by polyglutamine disease protein Ataxin-1 and Capicua. *Genes Dev* 2013;27:590-5. PMID: 23512657.

## Figure legends

### Figure 1:

Timeline of key discoveries pertaining to CIC research. Cic was discovered in 2000 in *Drosophila*, and two years later it was identified in mammals. Its implication in cancer was originally reported in 2006 as a component of oncogenic fusions, but inactivating point mutations were not found until 2011. The last year has been particularly prolific in new findings about CIC function in mammalian development and cancer, including its roles in metastasis formation and therapy resistance. References are indicated in each box.

### Figure 2:

Role of Cic in Ras-MAPK signaling and growth control. (A) Regulation of Cic repressor activity via MAPK signaling in *Drosophila*. In the absence of RTK/RAS/MAPK signaling, Cic acts as a default repressor by binding to specific Cic-binding sites (CBS) in its target genes (left). Upon RTK activation, RAS proteins become GTP-bound and initiate a phosphorylation cascade via the kinases Draf (RAF ortholog), Dsor (MEK ortholog) and the MAPK Rolled (ERK ortholog) causing phosphorylation of Cic, which in turn results in its degradation and/or nuclear exclusion (right). As a consequence, Cic target genes are transcriptionally induced (derepressed) at specific times and places during development. This induction depends on transcriptional activators (A) which remain only partially characterized. Two confirmed activators of Cic targets are Dorsal and Zelda, which activate the *intermediate neuroblasts defective* gene in the early embryo.<sup>7,20,66</sup> Zelda also appears to activate *tailless*,<sup>67</sup> another embryonic Cic target.<sup>1,19,20</sup> See also panel B and refs. 2 and 11. (B) Summary of Cic regulatory interactions in *Drosophila* growth control. In addition to its role downstream of Ras signaling, Cic mediates cross-interactions with the Hippo (Hpo) pathway and other regulatory inputs.

For example, both Cic and the Sd:Yki co-activator complex regulate a common set of target genes, which become induced upon simultaneous reduction of Hpo signaling (leading to Sd:Yki upregulation) and Cic repressor activity. Some of these targets, including the Ets transcription factor Pnt<sup>8,11</sup> and the *bantam* microRNA,<sup>10,68,69,70</sup> are directly controlled by both Cic and Sd/Yki, whereas the input of Sd:Yki on other targets appears to be indirect, possibly via JAK/STAT signaling.<sup>11</sup> This latter set of targets includes negative feedback regulators of Ras signaling such as Argos and Sprouty, whose activity is represented by a dashed loop. *bantam* has also been proposed to function in a negative feedback loop to downregulate Cic expression levels. Finally, recent evidence linking Mnb kinase activity to both Cic<sup>13</sup> and Hpo signaling<sup>71</sup> (and not shown) implies the existence of additional layers of crosstalk. Cic and Sd are DNA binding proteins and are represented by ovals. The correspondence between *Drosophila* proteins illustrated in the diagram and their mammalian orthologs is indicated on the right. See main text for further details.

**Figure 3:**

Structure and conservation of CIC orthologs from *Drosophila* and humans. Both species express CIC-L and CIC-S isoforms with alternative N-terminal regions. These isoforms display overlapping distributions in multiple tissues (particularly in mammals<sup>15,35</sup>), although very little is known about the mechanisms controlling these patterns of expression both in *Drosophila* and in mammals. Studies in *Drosophila* have revealed a key difference between Cic-S and Cic-L: Cic-S contains a specific motif called N2 which is critical for Gro-mediated repression in the embryo.<sup>17</sup> Conversely, the Cic-L isoforms share a domain of unknown function (N1) in their N-terminal regions.<sup>16,17</sup> Other than that, the functional differences between these isoforms remain poorly understood. All short



and long isoforms share the HMG-box and C1 domains involved in DNA binding. Although the ATXN1 binding domain (BD) characterized in mammalian CIC proteins<sup>16,72</sup> is only moderately conserved in *Drosophila* Cic<sup>16</sup> (not shown), Ataxin-1 has been identified in a screen for Cic interactors in *Drosophila* embryos.<sup>13</sup> The C2 MAPK docking site of *Drosophila* Cic and a distinct ERK BD of human CIC are also indicated.<sup>5,23</sup>

**Figure 4:**

*CIC* mutations in CNS/brain tumors. Number of mutations are plotted along the length of the CIC-S protein (depicted with the HMG-box and C1 domains highlighted in blue and green, respectively). Missense mutations are indicated by red circles and truncating mutations by black circles. Mutation data were obtained from cBioPortal Version v1.8.3, selecting only CNS/brain datasets.