

Laboratory management of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus infections: perspectives from two European networks

Barbara Bartolini¹, Cesare EM Gruber¹, Marion Koopmans², Tatjana Avšič³, Sylvia Bino⁴, Iva Christova⁵, Roland Grunow⁶, Roger Hewson⁷, Gulay Korukluoglu⁸, Cinthia Menel Lemos⁹, Ali Mirazimi^{10,11,12}, Anna Papa¹³, Maria Paz Sanchez-Seco¹⁴, Aisha V. Sauer¹⁵, Hervé Zeller¹⁶, Carla Nisii¹, Maria Rosaria Capobianchi¹, Giuseppe Ippolito¹, Chantal B. Reusken^{2,17,18}, Antonino Di Caro^{1,18}

1. 'L. Spallanzani' National Institute for Infectious Diseases IRCCS (INMI), WHO Collaborating Center for Clinical Care, Diagnosis, Response and Training on Highly Infectious Diseases, Rome, Italy
2. Erasmus MC, Department of Viroscience, WHO Collaborating Centre for Arbovirus and Viral Hemorrhagic Fever Reference and Research, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
3. Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ljubljana, Slovenia
4. Control of Infectious Diseases Department Institute of Public Health, Tirana, Albania
5. National Center of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Sofia, Bulgaria
6. Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany
7. Public Health England, National Infection Service WHO Collaborating Centre for Virus Reference and Research (Special Pathogens), Porton Down, Salisbury, United Kingdom
8. Public Health General Directorate of Turkey, Ankara City, Turkey
9. Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency (CHAFEA), Luxembourg, Luxembourg
10. Public Health agency of Sweden, Solna, Sweden
11. National Veterinary Institute, Uppsala, Sweden
12. Department of Laboratory Medicine, Clinical Microbiology, Karolinska Institute and Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden
13. Department of Microbiology, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
14. National Centre of Microbiology, Institute of Health Carlos III, Madrid, Spain
15. European Commission, Directorate General for Health and Food Safety, Unit for Crisis Management and Preparedness in Health, Luxembourg, Luxembourg
16. European Center for Disease Prevention and Control, Office of the Chief Scientist, Stockholm, Sweden
17. Centre for Infectious Disease Control, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands
18. Authors contributed equally to the work and share last authorship

Correspondence: Antonino Di Caro (antonino.dicaro@inmi.it)

Citation style for this article:

Bartolini Barbara, Gruber Cesare EM, Koopmans Marion, Avšič Tatjana, Bino Sylvia, Christova Iva, Grunow Roland, Hewson Roger, Korukluoglu Gulay, Lemos Cinthia Menel, Mirazimi Ali, Papa Anna, Sanchez-Seco Maria Paz, Sauer Aisha V., Zeller Hervé, Nisii Carla, Capobianchi Maria Rosaria, Ippolito Giuseppe, Reusken Chantal B., Di Caro Antonino. Laboratory management of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus infections: perspectives from two European networks. Euro Surveill. 2019;24(5):pii=1800093. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.5.1800093>

Article submitted on 02 Jan 2018 / accepted on 18 Jul 2018 / published on 31 Jan 2019

Background: Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) is considered an emerging infectious disease threat in the European Union. Since 2000, the incidence and geographic range of confirmed CCHF cases have markedly increased, following changes in the distribution of its main vector, *Hyalomma* ticks. **Aims:** To review scientific literature and collect experts' opinion to analyse relevant aspects of the laboratory management of human CCHF cases and any exposed contacts, as well as identify areas for advancement of international collaborative preparedness and laboratory response plans. **Methods:** We conducted a literature review on CCHF molecular diagnostics through an online search. Further, we obtained expert opinions on the key laboratory aspects of CCHF diagnosis. Consulted experts were members of two European projects, EMERGE (Efficient response to highly dangerous and emerging pathogens at EU level) and EVD-LabNet (Emerging Viral Diseases-Expert Laboratory Network). **Results:** Consensus was reached on relevant


and controversial aspects of CCHF disease with implications for laboratory management of human CCHF cases, including biosafety, diagnostic algorithm and advice to improve lab capabilities. Knowledge on the diffusion of CCHF can be obtained by promoting syndromic approach to infectious diseases diagnosis and by including CCHFV infection in the diagnostic algorithm of severe fevers of unknown origin. **Conclusion:** No effective vaccine and/or therapeutics are available at present so outbreak response relies on rapid identification and appropriate infection control measures. Frontline hospitals and reference laboratories have a crucial role in the response to a CCHF outbreak, which should integrate laboratory, clinical and public health responses.

Introduction

Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) is a tick-borne pathogen that causes a frequently lethal disease in humans and is considered to be a major

FIGURE 1

Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis for complete S segment of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus (n = 65)



Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus strains with complete S segment available as at 5 December 2017, were collected from GenBank database and clustered at 100% with CD-HIT v4.6. Sequences were aligned with MAFFT v7.123b. Phylogenetic analysis were performed using RAxML v8.2.10 with GTRGAMMA model and 1,000 bootstrap inferences. For graphical exemplification, only representative sequences in each clade for each country were selected and reported in the phylogenetic tree.


Branches owing to different clades are presented in the following colours: Africa: clade I (red), clade II (light green) and clade III (brown); Asia: clade IV (dark green); Europe: clade V (purple), clade VI (light blue) and clade VII (blue). For each strain we reported GenBank ID, isolate ID, host, country and collection date, if present. Bootstrap values are shown for each clade.

emerging infectious disease threat spreading to and within Europe [1-3].

The severity of the disease, the presence of domestic and wild animal reservoirs and/or vectors, a large population of susceptible humans, limited diagnostic capacities and resources for epidemiological/

FIGURE 2

World map of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus distribution (n = 163)



Based on all available complete S segment CCHFV genomes (163 sequences as at 5 December 2017) retrieved from GenBank.

For all strains analysed, the collection countries are presented in the following colours: Africa: clade I (red), clade II (light green) and clade III (brown); Asia: clade IV (dark green); Europe: clade V (purple), clade VI (light blue) and clade VII (blue).

ecological investigation, as well as the absence of effective prophylaxis and treatment render CCHFV a pathogen with outbreak potential [4].

Since 2000, the incidence and geographic range of CCHF cases have markedly increased [5,6] following an expanding distribution of its main vector, ticks of the genus *Hyalomma*, specifically the *Hyalomma marginatum* species [1,7,8]. In Turkey, nearly 900 new CCHF cases occur annually, with a total of 9,787 cases reported from 2002–15 [9]. CCHF is endemic in the Balkan region, in Kosovo, 228 cases were reported from 1995–2013 [10], in Bulgaria, over 1,500 cases have been reported from 1952 [11]. In the European region, cases of human infection have also been reported from Albania, Russian Federation, Georgia, Greece, and Ukraine [12]. Imported cases have been reported in France [13], United Kingdom [14], Greece [15] and Germany [16]. A detailed review of other outbreaks has been recently published by Papa et al. [11].

Public health systems (including diagnostic laboratories) should be prepared to respond to the increased circulation of the virus in endemic EU countries, the potential for importation of human CCHF cases or the emergence of virus circulation in new areas e.g. Spain [17].

The objectives of this study were to amalgamate the expertise of two EU expert networks (i) EMERGE (Efficient response to highly dangerous and emerging pathogens at EU level) [18] and (ii) EVD-LabNet (Emerging Viral Diseases Laboratory Network) [19], in order to select and analyse the relevant and some of controversial aspects of CCHF disease diagnostics with implications for laboratory management of human CCHF cases and any exposed contacts.

Methods

We carried out an online research of published paper related to CCHFV molecular detection methods. References were obtained by an online search in PubMed using an intentionally wide search-query to

ensure that a large number of papers was retrieved also for a rare disease such as CCHF.

The query produced a large number of papers, 20% of them were discarded after a narrative review, as they did not contain a detailed description of the detection methods employed including the nucleotide sequences of primers and/or probes. The search was done by one author and the results discussed among the authors. Papers related on non-previously retrieved molecular detection methods or to others relevant aspects discussed in this report have been directly provided by experts. For phylogenetic analysis all available CCHF virus genomes by 5 December 2017 were retrieved from GenBank (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide>), using 'txid1980519(Organism)' as term of query. All analyses have been focused only on CCHFV S-segment, because it resulted as the most conserved gene across CCHFVs [8,20] and also because mostly all retrieved molecular methods has S segment as target. CCHF virus strains with complete S segment were selected and clustered at 100% with CD-HIT v4.6. A total of 163 sequences available at 5 December 2017 were obtained and aligned with MAFFT v7.123b in local pair mode. Phylogenetic analysis were performed with RAXML v8.2.10 using GTRGAMMA model and 1000 bootstrap inferences.

A preliminary text was drafted and discussed among the experts by email and during EMERGE and EVD-LabNet networks' 2017 and 2018 annual meetings. Most of the relevant and some of controversial aspects of CCHF disease with implications for laboratory management have been selected and analysed in the following sections. In the present paper, all the expressed opinions take into account both published data and personal experience of the experts.

Results

Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus clades distribution

CCHFV (family *Nairoviridae*, genus *Orthonairovirus*) is tick-borne and is maintained in a tick-vertebrate-tick cycle with *Hyalomma marginatum*, the main vector species in Europe. Given the wide distribution of its vector, CCHFV has been detected over a wide geographic range: Africa, Europe, Asia and the Middle East [5,21].

CCHFV is an enveloped, tri-partite, negative-sense, RNA virus. The large genome segment (L) encodes the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L protein), the medium segment (M) encodes the glycoproteins GN and GC, while the small segment (S) encodes the nucleocapsid protein (N).

Phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) was built, including only 65 of 163 representative strains with reported location of provenance either in GenBank records or in the associated papers. Taking into account similarity and geographic locations of the different viral lineages, seven

genetic clades were identified: three prevalently diffused in Africa (clades I-III), three in Europe (clades V, VI and VII) and one in the south of Asia (clade IV).

Most of the isolates causing outbreaks in eastern Europe belong to clade V, whereas clade VI and VII include largely divergent strains isolated from ticks in Greece (including the prototype strain AP92) [21,22] and Russia (GenBank accession number KR814833 and KR814835).

Moreover, isolates belonging to the African clade III were collected from infected ticks in 2010 and 2014, and recently [23] a virus aligning to this clade was the cause of an outbreak in Spain [17].

For all strains analysed, the collection country was recorded and represented on the world map in Figure 2.

Transmission mode

Human infections are usually observed as single, sporadic cases when people in rural areas are bitten by ticks that have become infected by feeding on virae-mic wild and domestic animals like hares, hedgehogs, horses, livestock and possibly birds [21]. The infection in animals is generally asymptomatic; at most, a mild fever may be noted.

In addition to tick exposure, CCHF infection can result from direct contact, especially through mucous membranes or skin wounds, with crushed infected ticks or the blood of infected animals (principally among shepherds, farmers, abattoir workers and veterinarians). Person-to-person transmission can also occur through contact with virus-containing bodily fluids of patients during the first 7–10 days of illness [21]. Unprotected contact with other bodily fluids like saliva or urine, may also represent a risk for humans [24]. Nosocomial transmission to healthcare workers, transmission among patients sharing the same room [25] and possible sexual transmission [26,27] have also been reported.

Relevance of viraemia

The typical duration of viraemia ranges from 1–9 days [28,29], and there is so far no evidence of detectable viraemia during the incubation period [30]. However, the positivity of CCHFV RNA in serum has been exceptionally reported up to 36 days from the onset of symptoms [31]. Studies investigating the presence and persistence of CCHFV in other body fluids are limited. Viral RNA was detectable up to 10 days and as late as 25 days after onset of symptoms in saliva [24] and urine [31] respectively, but no data on virus viability are available.

Viral load is the most important prognostic factor: a value of viraemia higher than 10^8 copies/mL is associated with fatal outcomes [32]. Viraemia decreases significantly over time in surviving patients, but remains persistently high in non-survivors [32,33].

TABLE 1A

Published molecular assays for Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus detection as at 31 November 2017

Assay	Reference	Reference testing material	Declared sensitivity/ specificity	Position in CCHFV strain	Primers and probes		
					Type	Name	Sequence
Single round PCR	Drosten 2002 [70]	Human clinical samples	95% detection limit of 2,779 copies per mL of serum	351–579	Forward primer	CCS	ATGCAGGAACCATTAARTCTTTGGGA
					Reverse primer	CCAS1	CTAATCATATCTGACAACATTTC
					Additional reverse primer	CCAS2	CTAATCATGTCTGACAGCATCTC
	Deyde 2006 [71]	Human and animal laboratory isolates	ND	1–1,672	Forward primer	SF	TCTCAAAGAAACACAGTGCCGC
					Reverse primer	SR	TCTCAAAGATATCGTTGCCGC
	Schwarz 1996 [72]	Human serum samples	ND	135–670	Forward out	F2	TGGACACCTTCACAAACTC
Reverse out					R2	GACATCACAAATTTCAACCAGG	
Forward inn					F3	GAATGTGCATGGGTTAGCTC	
Reverse inn					R3	GACAAATTCCCTGCACCA	
Midlii 2007 [73]	Human serum samples	ND	119–762	Forward out	CCF-115F	AARGGAAATGGACTTTRTGGA	
				Forward inn	CCF-131F	TGGAYACYTTTCACAAACTCC	
				Reverse out/inn	CCF-759R	GCAAGGCCCTGWGCRACAAGTGC	
				Forward out	Gre-F1	AATGTGCCGAAC TTGGACAG	
Nested PCR	Midlii 2009 a [74]	Human serum samples	ND	170–751	Reverse out	Gre-R1	TGCGACAAGTGCAATCCCG
					Forward inn	Gre-F2	ATCAGATGGCCAGTGCAACC
					Reverse inn	Gre-R2	ACTCCCTGCACCACCTCAATG
					Forward out	Eecf-F1	TTGTGTTCCAGATGGCCAGC
	Midlii 2009 b [74]	Human serum samples	ND	192–501	Reverse out	Eecf-R1	CTTAAGGCTGCCGTGTTTGC
					Forward inn	Eecf-F2	GAAGCAACCAARTTCTGTGC
					Reverse inn	Eecf-R2	AAACCTATGCTCTTCTCTCC
					Forward out	CCHF1	CTGCTCTGGTGGAGGCAACAA
	Elata 2011 [75]	Human serum samples	ND	249–700	Reverse out	CCHF2_5	TGGGTTGAAGGCCATGATGAT
					Forward inn	CCHFn15	AGGTTTCCGTGTCAATGCAAA
Negredo 2017 [17]	Human serum samples	ND	123–764	Reverse inn	CCHFn25	TTGACAAACTCCCTGCACCAGT	
				Forward out	CrCon1 +	RWAAYGGRC TTRTGGAYACYTTCAC	
				Reverse out	CrCon1-	TRGCAAGRCCKGTWGCACWAGWGC	
				Forward inn	CriCon2 +	ARTGGAGRAARGAYATWGGYTTYCG	
					Reverse inn	CriCon2-	CYTTGAYRAAYTCYCTRCACCABTC

CCHFV: Crimean Congo haemorrhagic fever virus; LAMP: loop-mediated isothermal amplification; ND: not declared; PFU: Plaque Forming Units; RPA: recombinase polymerase amplification; RT: reverse transcription.

For each assay, the position of amplicon is reported to respect lba10200 (NCBI reference sequence NC_005302). Names of each primer and probe correspond to those reported in the reference.

TABLE 1B

Published molecular assays for Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus detection as at 31 November 2017

Assay	Reference	Reference testing material	Declared sensitivity/ specificity	Position in CCHFV strain	Primers and probes		
					Type	Name	Sequence
Real-time PCR	Yapar 2005 [76]	Human serum samples	Linear detection 107–102 copies/mL	1,140–1,242	Forward primer	CCRealP1	TCTTYGCHGATGAYTCHTTC
					Reverse primer	CCRealP2	GGGATKGTCCRAAGCA
	Duh 2006 [77]	Human serum samples	Viral RNA was detected until 30 PFU/mL	296–484	Probe	ND	ACASRATCTAYATGCAYCTGC
					Forward primer	CCHFL1	GCTTGGGTGAGCTCTACTGG
					Reverse primer	CCHFD1	TGCATTGACACGGAACCTA
	Wolfel 2007 [40]	Human serum samples	Analytical sensitivity in concentrations ranging from 100,000 –10 copies per mL	1,068–1,248	Probe	CCHFS1	AGAAGGGGCTTGAGTGGTT
					Forward primer	RWCF	CAAGGGGTACCAAGAAATGAAGAAGGC
					Reverse primer	RWCR	GCCACAGGATTGTTCCAAAGCAGAC
					Probe	SEo1	ATCTACATGCACCTGCTGTGTTGACA
					Additional probe	SEo3	ATTACATGCACCTGCCGTGCTTACA
	Garrison 2007 [78]	Laboratory isolates	Limit of detection 10 copies/mL; from 1.18 x 10 ⁶ – 11.8 gene copies were linear	649–705	Additional probe	SEoA	AGCTTCTCCCTCTCATTTGGAGT
					Forward primer	CCHF	GGAGTGGTGACGGGAATTGG
					Reverse primer	CCHF	CAGGGGGGTTGAAAGC
					Probe	CCHF	CAAGGCCAAGTACATCAT
					Forward primer	CC1a_for	GTGCCACTGATGACACAAAGGATTCATCT
	Wolfel 2009 [79]	Laboratory isolates and human serum samples	95% detection limit of 540 copies/mL of serum, corresponding to 6.3 genome copies/reaction	210–489	Reverse primer	CC1a_rev	GTGTTTGCAATTGACACGGAAACCTATGTC
					Probe	ND	ACASRATCTAYATGCAYCTGC
					Additional forward primer	CC1b_for	GTGCCACTGATGACACAAAGGATTCATCT
					Additional reverse primer	CC1c_for	GTGCCACTGATGACACAAAGGACTCCATCT
					Additional reverse primer	CC1b_rev	GTGTTTGCAATTGACACGGAAAGCCTATGTC
	Atkinson 2012 [80]	Laboratory isolates	Ranging from 5x10 ⁵ , down to 0.5 copies of S segment RNA per reaction	1–122	Forward primer	CC1c_rev	GTGTTTGCAATTGACACGGAAACCTATATC
					Forward primer	CCHFS1	TCTCAAGAAACACGTGCC
					Reverse primer	CCHFS122	CCTTTTGAACCTCTTCAAAAC
	Jaaskelainen 2014 [81]	Laboratory isolates and human serum samples	Sensitivity 100%; specificity 97%	460–584	Probe	ND	ACTCAAGGKAACACTGTGGGCGTAAG
					Forward primer	FOR	GGACATAGGTTTCCCGTGCA
					Reverse primer	REV-1	TCCCTTAATCATGCTGTGACAGC
					Additional reverse primer	REV-2	TCTGACAGCATCTCTTTGACAGAC
					Probe	probe1	TGGCGAAATTGTGATGCTCTG
					Additional probe	probe2	CTTGACAGAGTACAAGGTT
					Additional probe	probe3	TRAGCAACAAAGTCCT

CCHFV: Crimean Congo haemorrhagic fever virus; LAMP: loop-mediated isothermal amplification; ND: not declared; PFU: Plaque Forming Units; RPA: recombinase polymerase amplification; RT: reverse transcription.

For each assay, the position of amplicon is reported to respect lBAr10200 (NCBI reference sequence NC_005302). Names of each primer and probe correspond to those reported in the reference.

TABLE 1C

Published molecular assays for Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus detection as at 31 November 2017

Assay	Reference	Reference testing material	Declared sensitivity/ specificity	Position in CCHFV strain	Primers and probes		
					Type	Name	Sequence
Real-time PCR	Kamboj 2014 [82]	Animal	Sensitivity from 7.6 x 10 ⁹ – 7.6 copies: specificity 100%	32–137	Forward primer	ND	AGTGTCTCTTGTAGTGCTA
					Reverse primer	ND	CCACAAGTCATTTCCTT
					Probe	ND	ATCTCATCTTTGTTGTTCACTC
	Pang 2014 [83]	Laboratory isolates	Limit of detection from 133 RNA copies/ PCR	726–889	Forward primer	ND	GCCGTTTCAGGAATAGCACTTGT
					Reverse primer	ND	TGTTATCATGCTGTCGGCRCT
Sybrgreen Real Time	Schneeberger 2017 [84]	Laboratory isolates	ND	86–244	Probe	ND	CAACAGGCCCTTGCYAAAGCTYGCAGAGAC
					Forward primer	ND	GATGAGATGAACAAGTGTTTGAAGA
					Reverse primer	ND	GATAGTGGATCCTTTTGTCATCAT
					Forward outer primer	F3	ACAGCCAAGAGGTACCAAGA
					Reverse outer primer	B3	GCAGCATCATCAGGGTTGG
LAMP	Osman 2013 [85]	Human serum samples	Sensitivity in detecting 0.1 fg of viral RNA 100%	1,063–1,266	Inner primers	F1c	TCTGCTGAGCACCCCAAT
						F2	TGCTGGAAAGAAATCGTCGGCAA
						B2	TCCCAAAGCAGACTCCCAT
						B1c	ATCTACATGCACCTGCCGTG
					Loop primers	LF	TCATAAAGTTTCTTCCCCACTTC
RPA	Bonney 2017 [86]	Laboratory isolates	Limit of detection from 5x10 ⁶ template copies – 50 copies	8–158	Forward primer	LB	CTTACAGCAGGCAAGATCAGTG
						ND	GAAACACGTCCGCTTACGCCACAGTGTT
					Reverse primer	ND	TAGGAGTTTGTGAAAAGTGTCCATAAGTCCATT
						ND	CCGCTTACGCCACACAGTGTCTCTTGAGTGINTGCAAAATGGAAACAAGATCG

CCHFV: Crimean Congo haemorrhagic fever virus; LAMP: loop-mediated isothermal amplification; ND: not declared; PFU: Plaque Forming Units; RPA: recombinase polymerase amplification; RT: reverse transcription.

For each assay, the position of amplicon is reported to respect lba10200 (NCBI reference sequence NC_005302). Names of each primer and probe correspond to those reported in the reference.

Antibody kinetics

All CCHFV genotypes belong to one serogroup [21]. Cross-reactivity between CCHFV and other nairoviruses infecting humans (Erve virus [34]), has not been described, although monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies to the N protein of CCHFV were found to cross-react weakly with Dugbe virus N protein [35].

Nucleocapside-directed IgM antibodies have been identified as the initial serological marker during infection, becoming detectable in a median of 2–3 days after disease onset, followed by glycoprotein precursor (GPC) directed IgM (4–6 days) and IgG antibodies (5–6 days) [28]. In another report, CCHFV IgM was detectable from 4 days after the onset of disease for up to 4 months. The maximum level of antibody titres was usually reached in the second to third week of illness [1]. IgM titre typically declines to undetectable levels 4 months after the onset of symptoms [29].

IgG seroconversion occurs 1–2 days after the IgM response [28] and IgG antibody remains detectable for at least 5 years [29,36].

Antibody production against CCHFV is an important prognostic indicator for survival [37]. Patients with fatal outcome rarely develop measurable antibody responses (reviewed in [37]) and Saksida et al. observed a reverse correlation between viral load and antibody levels in fatal CCHF cases [33], indicating that an impaired immune response leads to uncontrolled replication of the virus. High levels of interleukin-10 (IL-10), an anti-inflammatory cytokine, were detected in patients with fatal outcomes and were lowest in patients with a moderate disease course [33]. It was hypothesised that CCHF could be the result of a delayed and downregulated immune response caused by IL-10, which leads to an increased replication and spread of CCHFV throughout the body [33].

Biosafety

CCHFV is classified as a risk group 4 pathogen. The virus is stable under wet conditions for 7 hours at 37°C, 11 days at 20°C and 15 days at 4°C [20]. Under dry conditions, it is stable for at least 90 min, but less than 24 hours.

However, there is an ongoing debate about the absolute requirement of biosafety levels 4 (BSL4) for handling the virus [38]. Many endemic countries need to work with the virus despite the absence of BSL4 infrastructure. Biosafety and biosecurity procedures are essential for the safe and appropriate management of specimens from suspected/confirmed CCHF patients. All laboratories should refer to national guidelines on the documents ‘CWA 15793:2011 Laboratory bio-risk management’ and ‘CWA 16393:2012 Laboratory bio-risk management - Guidelines for the implementation of CWA 15793:2008’ for a complete guide [39]. The European Check List for Laboratory Biorisk Management developed in the framework of the Joint Actions Quality

Assurance Exercises and Networking on the Detection of Highly Infectious Pathogens (QUANDHIP) project can be helpful for the implementation and evaluation of biorisk management approaches [40].

Inactivation

Like all lipid-enveloped viruses, CCHFV can be readily inactivated by common fixatives such as 2% glutaraldehyde [41], formalin and paraformaldehyde; chlorine-based disinfectants, such as 1% sodium hypochlorite [41,42]; and other disinfectants, such as hydrogen peroxide and peracetic acid [43,44]. Physical inactivation is also effective, like high temperature (56°C for 30 min or 60°C for 15 min) [41], Ultraviolet (1,200 to 3,000 µW/cm²) or low pH (less than 6) [43,45]. The virus does not survive in matured meat (due to low pH) and is also inactivated in 40% ethanol within 2 min [20].

There is a general agreement among the consulted experts that a critical aspect for laboratory biosafety and operation is the proper and reliable inactivation of specimens before they can be removed from the high-level biocontainment environment for further diagnostic testing. Some of these inactivation methods include (i) chemical treatment (i.e. Buffer AVL or Buffer RLT, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) +100% ethanol, SDS, 0.5% Tween-20 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) [46,47] or (ii) heat treatment plus riboflavin (vitamin B₂) [48].

It is opinion of the experts that further evaluation of inactivation procedures are needed, especially for their impact on other laboratory tests necessary for clinical evaluation and increased survival rates of patients. Although there is no direct evidence of its effects on CCHFV, it has been shown that Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA) can decrease the biohazard risk of performing laboratory tests on samples from patients infected with other haemorrhagic fever viruses (i.e. Ebola), without affecting the results of biochemical tests [49–52].

Transport of diagnostic samples

General guidelines for suspected viral haemorrhagic fever infections apply for the transport of diagnostic samples from CCHF-suspected cases; these are listed under guidelines as Category A, Infectious Substances Affecting Humans UN 2814 and must be transported in packaging that meets the United Nations class 6.2 specifications and complies with Packing Instruction P620 [53].

Additionally, as for other biological resources, the exchange of CCHF samples or virus strains needs to comply with the Nagoya protocol on access to genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from their utilisation, which regulates transnational exchange between countries [54].

TABLE 2

Commercial serological assays for Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus detection as at 5 December 2017

Assay	Detection mode	Diagnostic kit producers	Comments/Target antigen
IgG ELISA	Qualitative	Vector-Best, Novosibirsk, Russia	Unknown
IgM ELISA			
IgG IFA test			
Ag detection	Qualitative	Euroimmun, Luebeck, Germany	CCHFV GPC and CCHFV N
IgG ELISA			
IgM ELISA			
IgG IFA	Quantitative	Crimean-Congo ELISA Kits, Diagen Biyoteknolojik Sistemleri A.Ş., Ankara, Turkey	Unknown
IgG ELISA			
IgM ELISA			
Ag ELISA	Qualitative	Abbexa Ltd, Cambridge, United Kingdom	For research use only, not for diagnostic use.
IgG ELISA			
IgM ELISA			
Elisa	Quantitative	Alpha Diagnostic Intl. Inc., San Antonio, Texas, United States(US)	For research use only, not for diagnostic or therapeutic use.
IgG ELISA			
IgM ELISA			
IgA, IgG, IgM ELISA	Qualitative	ELISA Kit, Antibody-Sunlong Biotech Co.,Ltd, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China	CCHFV NP
IgG ELISA	Qualitative		Unknown
ELISA			
IgG ELISA	Qualitative	Creative Diagnostics, Shirley, New York, USA	CCHFV NP
IgM ELISA	Quantitative, qualitative		

CCHFV: Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; IFA: immunofluorescent assay; USA: United States of America.

Diagnosis

The choice of which CCHF detection assays should be used for diagnostics with maximum sensitivity and specificity depends on the stage of disease and the specimens available.

Laboratory diagnosis of a patient with a clinical history compatible with CCHF is generally performed during the acute phase of the disease by viral RNA (RT-PCR) detection in blood [21]. In addition to blood (serum, plasma or whole blood), other possible specimens for molecular detection are saliva, urine [24,32] or post mortem biopsy of the liver and bodily fluids (including semen, for infection control purposes) [26,27].

The available data, limited to very few patients, do not allow detailed comparisons of the sensitivity of RT-PCR detection methods performed on different sample types and, in particular, of urine and saliva vs serum samples. In two of six infected patients reported in a review of CCHF cases in Kosovo* [31], viraemia was detectable up to 30 days after the onset of symptoms. In the same investigation, one patient's urine was PCR-positive before the serum, and in another patient viruria continued longer than viraemia; however, more detailed studies on viruria are required. Further, both viruria and viraemia are detectable several days after the appearance of IgG response [31]. No chronological

data are provided about detection of CCHFV RNA in saliva [24].

During the small outbreak in Spain involving two patients in 2016, positive RT-PCR results were obtained via saliva and vaginal swab, but they became negative when viraemia was still detectable [17]. Virus isolation was attempted from these samples, but was not successful (Maria Paz Sanchez-Seco, personal communication, EVD-LabNet 2nd annual meeting, Rotterdam October 2017).

Molecular detection

There is high genetic diversity within the different CCHFV strains (Figure 1), which consequently hampers the performance of molecular tests. As a result, a range of different methods employing varied primer/probe combinations have been developed and a truly universal assay has been difficult to devise. Table 1 lists published molecular assays retrieved by our PubMed search: two single round PCR, six nested PCR, 10 real-time PCR, one loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) and one recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA). Indication on reference testing materials and sensitivity/specificity of the tests are also reported, when declared.

Therefore there is an agreement of experts that is advisable to perform more than one test to avoid exclusive

Box

Criteria proposed for laboratory confirmation of a clinically suspected Crimean Congo haemorrhagic fever case

For laboratory confirmation of a clinical CCHF diagnosis, the expert group opinion is that a CCHFV infection is laboratory confirmed when at least one criteria in the Box is fulfilled.

- Detection by molecular tests of CCHFV RNA, in blood (whole blood, serum or plasma) or in other bodily fluids or tissues;
- Detection of CCHFV IgM or relevant (fourfold) increase in CCHFV IgG titres between two serologic samples (acute and convalescence phases);
- CCHFV isolation and/or detection of CCHF viral antigens in blood (whole blood, serum or plasma).

CCHFV: Crimean Congo haemorrhagic fever virus.

reliance on a single assay and a single target, taking into account the travel history and the geographic distribution of the different strains.

Serological assays

In published investigations, the methods employed for the detection of antibodies are indirect immunofluorescence assays (IFAs) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) [55-57]. Several commercial kits are available (Table 2), but only the performance of Vector-Best CCHF ELISA and Euroimmun CCHF IFA have been tested in a collaborative study conducted by reference centres for CCHF laboratory diagnosis and surveillance in their respective countries [58]. The IgM sensitivity for ELISA and IFA assays were 87.8% (95% CI: 78.6–96.9) and 93.9% (95% CI: 85.8–100.0), respectively. For IgG assays, reported sensitivities were 80.4% (95% CI: 69.5–91.3) for ELISA and 86.1% (95% CI: 74.8–97.4) for IFA. The overall specificity was estimated at 100% for all the tests.


A CCHFV seroneutralisation test is not normally performed for diagnostic purposes; it requires work with an infectious virus, necessitating a BSL₄ laboratory, and is difficult to perform. However, reverse genetic approaches employing non-infectious reporter viruses have been described recently [59], enabling neutralisation to be performed at low containment.

Virus isolation

Viral isolation, i.e. from blood or organ for further characterisation or infectivity studies, is performed under BSL₄ conditions on either LLC-MK₂, Vero, BHK-21 or SW-13.4 cell lines and can be achieved in 2–10 days [60]. CCHFV generally produces no or little cytopathogenic effect and viral growth can be detected by IFA with specific monoclonal antibodies [41] or by molecular tests. When viral isolation on cell cultures fails, it can be attempted in new-born or immunodeficient mice.

FIGURE 3

Algorithm for molecular diagnosis of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever acute infection based on expert opinion



CCHFV: Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus.

^a The preferred biological specimen is blood (serum, plasma or whole blood). Other possible biological specimens are saliva, urine [24,31] and post-mortem biopsy or bodily fluids (including semen, for infection control purposes [26,27]).

^b It is preferable that at least two different targets are tested: The first molecular test should target the S segment, while the second assay should be performed in a different genome region to confirm the absence or presence of CCHF infection, in case of negative result.

^c Sequencing is indicated for viral characterisation and cluster identification, as well as for the confirmation of first cases detected or of discordant results of molecular tests.

^d The molecular test to be performed should take into account epidemiological data.

It is preferable that viral isolation is performed on samples collected during the first 5 days of infection, when the viraemia levels are high [58,61].

Laboratory diagnosis of CCHFV infection

There is no official, agreed-upon case definition for CCHF in the EU, though several case definitions adopted by EU countries were reviewed by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) [62,63]. According to a report published by ECDC in 2014 [60], most countries used, at least for surveillance purposes, the EU case definition established for Viral Haemorrhagic Fever [64].

Taking into account that laboratory screening is usually performed using molecular methods, we propose as expert opinion the following molecular diagnostic

algorithm for patients with suspected CCHF infection (Figure 3). However, it is advisable, especially when the molecular tests are negative, to perform also serological tests on all suspected patients.

Other relevant aspects related to management of CCHF patients as clinical manifestation and discharging criteria are reported in the Supplementary material.

Discussion

CCHF is an important global health threat, as underlined by its inclusion in the list of priority diseases in the WHO document 'An R & D blueprint for action to prevent epidemics' [65].

In Europe, two autochthonous cases in Spain in 2016 [17] and the observed risk of importation of travel-associated cases [66] reinforce the notion that public health systems must be ready to respond to a potential emergence of CCHF.

Prompt and accurate laboratory diagnosis during the first days of the disease is critical to improve patient management, guide infection control measures and reduce case fatality. Early detection of viral RNA in blood is considered the gold standard diagnostic approach in the acute phase of the disease [21]. While the CCHFV RNA RT-PCR diagnostic test is most commonly performed in specialised laboratories, where non-commercial diagnostic assays and related reference biological material are available, this capability is more limited in rural areas and small cities where the majority of reported cases have occurred [9]. The availability of simple to use, commercial diagnostic tests will increase the number of laboratories performing RT-PCR or a similar NA detection strategy. However, laboratory capacity does not automatically mean capability, and laboratories implementing such tests would benefit from external quality assessments (EQA) of their capability to detect CCHFV in clinical samples, including monitoring of the effects of any corrective actions taken. The difficulty of clinical laboratories in establishing the diagnosis a CCHFV infection underlines the need to perform confirmatory tests in reference laboratories for both positive and negative samples collected from patients suspected of CCHF infection.

In addition, appropriate biosafety measures must be in place when performing molecular testing.

Proposed measures to improve CCHFV laboratory preparedness and response

Measures to improve CCHFV laboratory preparedness and response should include: improving molecular tests to overcome the lack of sensitivity due to the high variability of the CCHFV genome; providing a positive control panel for molecular diagnostics, including the different CCHFV genotypes (including for serology testing) in order to support the improvement of diagnostic capability of clinical laboratories; enrolling diagnostic laboratories appointed for CCHFV diagnostics regularly in EQA programmes; improvement of

diagnostic algorithms building on clinical experiences; validation of procedures to inactivate infectivity of clinical samples; and establishing of an international biorepository for the collection and storing of clinical samples, with the aim of validating new diagnostic tests and supporting pathogenicity studies. Some of these activities, including the evaluation of laboratory capability [67] and EQA [68] in particular, have been performed within the framework of EMERGE and EVD-LabNet and earlier as part of EU-funded projects such as EuronetP4 (Grant No. 2003214), EnP4Lab (Grant No. 2006208), QUANDHIP (Grant No. 20102102) and ENIVD (Framework Service Contract ref. no. ECDC/2008/011. Similar support of other collaborating EU projects, such as the European Virus Archive (EVAg), could meet these needs in the future.

Conclusions

There are knowledge gaps concerning the putative persistence of the CCHFV in various body compartments of survivors and the related consequences for infection transmission. Basic knowledge is needed to provide evidence to better inform hospital discharge guidelines and these issues need further research. Furthermore, a One Health approach is required for adequate public health preparedness for CCHF, and relevant measures should include vector and animal surveillance, focusing particularly on migratory birds [5,9,69]. Greater awareness of the circulation of CCHFV in vectors/animals in specific geographic areas is fundamental in order to alert public health systems. Information on the circulation of CCHFV can be obtained by a syndromic approach and by including CCHFV testing in the diagnostic algorithm of severe febrile infectious diseases of unknown origin.

Until an effective vaccine and/or therapeutics have been developed, the CCHFV outbreak response will continue to rely on rapid identification and appropriate infection-control measures. Front-line hospitals, as well as reference laboratories, have a crucial role in the outbreak response, which should integrate laboratory, clinical and public health responses.

Note

*This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with United Nations Security Council Resolution 1244/99 and the International Court of Justice Opinion on the Kosovo Declaration of Independence.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Health programme 2014–2020, from the European Commission; EMERGE Joint Action grant number: 677066. INMI received 'Ricerca Corrente, Linea 1, Patogeni ad alto impatto sociale, emergenti, tropicali, MDR, negletti' grants from the Italian Ministry of Health. This work was supported by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) under the EVD-LabNet Framework contract ECDC/2016/002. This work was supported by the CCHVaccine project 2 'the European

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Authors' contributions

BB data analysis, coordinating the activities and writing manuscript; GCEM data analysis and writing manuscript; CBR and ADC study coordinator, data analysis and writing manuscript. BB, CEMG, MK, TA, SB, IC, RG, RH, GK, CML, AM, AP, MPSS, AVS, HZ, CN, MRC, GI, CBR, and ADC contributed to the conception and design of the work, the interpretation of data, the revision of the manuscript and the approval of the final version.

References

- Mertens M, Schmidt K, Ozkul A, Groschup MH. The impact of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus on public health. *Antiviral Res.* 2013;98(2):248-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.02.007> PMID: 23458713
- Dreshaj S, Ahmeti S, Ramadani N, Dreshaj G, Humolli I, Dedushaj I. Current situation of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Southeastern Europe and neighboring countries: a public health risk for the European Union? *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2016;14(2):81-91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2016.03.012> PMID: 27044611
- Yon L, Duff JP, Ågren EO, Erdélyi K, Ferroglio E, Godfroid J, et al. Recent changes in infectious diseases in European wildlife. *J Wildl Dis.* 2018; (Forthcoming). PMID: 30284963
- Nisii C, Grunow R, Brave A, Ippolito G, Jacob D, Jureen P, et al. EMERGE Viral Pathogens Working Group. Prioritization of High Consequence Viruses to Improve European Laboratory Preparedness for Cross-Border Health Threats. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2017;972:123-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/5584_2016_152 PMID: 28032326
- Al-Abri SS, Abaidani IA, Fazlalipour M, Mostafavi E, Leblebicioglu H, Pshenichnaya N, et al. Current status of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in the World Health Organization Eastern Mediterranean Region: issues, challenges, and future directions. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2017;58:82-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2017.02.018> PMID: 28259724
- Papa A, Weber F, Hewson R, Weidmann M, Koksai I, Korukluoglu G, et al. Meeting report: First International Conference on Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever. *Antiviral Res.* 2015;120:57-65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2015.05.005> PMID: 26022198
- Gargili A, Estrada-Peña A, Spengler JR, Lukashev A, Nuttall PA, Bente DA. The role of ticks in the maintenance and transmission of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus: A review of published field and laboratory studies. *Antiviral Res.* 2017;144:93-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2017.05.010> PMID: 28579441
- Papa A, Tsergouli K, Tsioka K, Mirazimi A. Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever: Tick-Host-Virus Interactions. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* 2017;7:213. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00213> PMID: 28603698
- Leblebicioglu H, Ozaras R, Irmak H, Sencan I. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Turkey: Current status and future challenges. *Antiviral Res.* 2016;126:21-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2015.12.003> PMID: 26695860
- Fajš L, Jakupi X, Ahmeti S, Humolli I, Dedushaj I, Avšič-Županc T. Molecular epidemiology of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus in Kosovo. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2014;8(1):e2647. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002647> PMID: 24416468
- Spengler JR, Bente DA, Bray M, Burt F, Hewson R, Korukluoglu G, et al. Second International Conference on Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever. *Antiviral Res.* 2018;150:137-47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2017.11.019> PMID: 29199036
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Annual Epidemiological Report 2016 - Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever. Stockholm: ECDC. 2016. Available from: <https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/documents/CrimeanCongo%20haemorrhagic%20fever%20AER.pdf>
- Jauréguiberry S, Tattevin P, Tarantola A, Legay F, Tall A, Nabeth P, et al. Imported Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic Fever. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2005;43(9):4905-7. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.9.4905-4907.2005> PMID: 16145173
- Lumley S, Atkinson B, Dowall S, Pitman J, Staplehurst S, Busuttil J, et al. Non-fatal case of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever imported into the United Kingdom (ex Bulgaria), June 2014. *Euro Surveill.* 2014;19(30):20864. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES2014.19.30.20864> PMID: 25108534
- Papa A, Markatou F, Maltezou HC, Papadopolou E, Terzi E, Ventouri S, et al. Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in a Greek worker returning from Bulgaria, June 2018. *Euro Surveill.* 2018;23(35):1800432. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.35.1800432> PMID: 30180928
- Conger NG, Paolino KM, Osborn EC, Rusnak JM, Günther S, Pool J, et al. Health care response to CCHF in US soldier and nosocomial transmission to health care providers, Germany, 2009. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2015;21(1):23-31. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2101.141413> PMID: 25529825
- Negredo A, de la Calle-Prieto F, Palencia-Herrejón E, Mora-Rillo M, Astray-Mochales J, Sánchez-Seco MP, et al. Crimean Congo Hemorrhagic Fever@Madrid Working Group. Autochthonous Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever in Spain. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377(2):154-61. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1615162> PMID: 28700843
- Efficient response to highly dangerous and emerging pathogens at EU level (Emerge). Berlin: Emerge; 2018. Available from: http://www.emerge.rki.eu/Emerge/EN/Home/Homepage_node.html
- EVD-LabNet. Rotterdam: EVD-Labnet. [Accessed 21 Jun 2018]. Available from: <https://www.evd-labnet.eu>
- Hardestam J, Simon M, Hedlund KO, Vaheri A, Klingström J, Lundkvist A. Ex vivo stability of the rodent-borne Hantaan virus in comparison to that of arthropod-borne members of the Bunyaviridae family. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2007;73(8):2547-51. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02869-06> PMID: 17337567
- Bente DA, Forrester NL, Watts DM, McAuley AJ, Whitehouse CA, Bray M. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever: history, epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical syndrome and genetic diversity. *Antiviral Res.* 2013;100(1):159-89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.07.006> PMID: 23906741
- Papa A, Chaligiannis I, Kontana N, Sourba T, Tsioka K, Tsatsaris A, et al. A novel AP92-like Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus strain, Greece. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.* 2014;5(5):590-3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2014.04.008> PMID: 24953797
- Cajimat MNB, Rodriguez SE, Schuster IUE, Swetnam DM, Ksiazek TG, Habela MA, et al. Genomic Characterization of Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever Virus in Hyalomma Tick from Spain, 2014. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2017;17(10):714-9. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2017.2190> PMID: 28836897
- Bodur H, Akinci E, Ongürü P, Carhan A, Uyar Y, Tanrıci A, et al. Detection of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus genome in saliva and urine. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2010;14(3):e247-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2009.04.018> PMID: 19656706
- Gürbüz Y, Sencan I, Öztürk B, Tütüncü E. A case of nosocomial transmission of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever from patient to patient. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2009;13(3):e105-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2008.08.002> PMID: 18948048
- Pshenichnaya NY, Sydenko IS, Klinovaya EP, Romanova EB, Zhuravlev AS. Possible sexual transmission of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2016;45:109-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.02.1008> PMID: 26972040
- Ergonul O, Battal I. Potential sexual transmission of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever infection. *Jpn J Infect Dis.* 2014;67(2):137-8. <https://doi.org/10.7883/yoken.67.137> PMID: 24647261
- Ergunay K, Kocak Tufan Z, Bulut C, Kinikli S, Demiroz AP, Ozkul A. Antibody responses and viral load in patients with Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever: a comprehensive analysis during the early stages of the infection. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;79(1):31-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013.12.015> PMID: 24630756
- Ergönül O. Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2006;6(4):203-14. PMID: 16554245
- Tishkova FH, Belobrova EA, Valikhodzhaeva M, Atkinson B, Hewson R, Mullojonova M. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Tajikistan. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2012;12(9):722-6. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2011.0769> PMID: 22217164
- Thomas S, Thomson G, Dowall S, Bruce C, Cook N, Easterbrook L, et al. Review of Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever infection in Kosovo in 2008 and 2009: prolonged viremia and virus detected in urine by PCR. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2012;12(9):800-4. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2011.0776> PMID: 22925025

32. Hasanoglu I, Guner R, Carhan A, Kocak Tufan Z, Yagci-Caglayik D, Guven T, et al. Crucial parameter of the outcome in Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever: Viral load. *J Clin Virol.* 2016;75:42-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2015.12.006> PMID: 26780111
33. Saksida A, Duh D, Wraber B, Dedushaj I, Ahmeti S, Avsic-Zupanc T. Interacting roles of immune mechanisms and viral load in the pathogenesis of crimean-congo hemorrhagic fever. *Clin Vaccine Immunol.* 2010;17(7):1086-93. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00530-09> PMID: 20484568
34. Dilcher M, Koch A, Hasib L, Dobler G, Hufert FT, Weidmann M. Genetic characterization of Erve virus, a European Nairovirus distantly related to Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus. *Virus Genes.* 2012;45(3):426-32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-012-0796-8> PMID: 22864548
35. Ward VK, Marriott AC, Polyzoni T, el-Ghorr AA, Antoniadis A, Nuttall PA. Expression of the nucleocapsid protein of Dugbe virus and antigenic cross-reactions with other nairoviruses. *Virus Res.* 1992;24(2):223-9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1702\(92\)90009-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1702(92)90009-X) PMID: 1529645
36. Zeller H. Laboratory diagnosis of crimean congo hemorrhagic fever. In: O. Ergonul, C.A. Whitehouse, editors. *Crimean Congo Hemorrhagic Fever: A Global Perspective*. Dordrecht, NL: Springer; 2007. p. 233-243.
37. Akinci E, Bodur H, Leblebicioglu H. Pathogenesis of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2013;13(7):429-37. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2012.1061> PMID: 23663164
38. Weidmann M, Avsic-Zupanc T, Bino S, Bouloy M, Burt F, Chinikar S, et al. Biosafety standards for working with Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus. *J Gen Virol.* 2016;97(11):2799-808. <https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.000610> PMID: 27667586
39. European Committee for Standardisation (CEN). CWA 15793:2011 D/E/F. CEN Workshop Agreement. Brussels: CEN; 2011. Available from: <http://www.cen.eu/CEN/sectors/technicalcommitteesworkshops/workshops/Pages/ws31.aspx>
40. Efficient response to highly dangerous and emerging pathogens at EU level (Emerge). Integrated European Checklist for Laboratory Biorisk Management in Handling of High Consequence Risk Group 3 and 4 Agents (ECL-Biorisk). Berlin: Emerge; 2016. Available from: https://www.emerge.rki.eu/Emerge/EN/Content/Topics/Rules/ECL_Biorisk.html
41. Appannanavar SB, Mishra B. An update on crimean congo hemorrhagic fever. *J Glob Infect Dis.* 2011;3(3):285-92. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-777X.83537> PMID: 21887063
42. Smither S, Phelps A, Eastaugh L, Ngugi S, O'Brien L, Dutch A, et al. Effectiveness of Four Disinfectants against Ebola Virus on Different Materials. *Viruses.* 2016;8(7):E185. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v8070185> PMID: 27399759
43. Krauss H, Weber A, Appel M, Enders B, Isenberg HD, Schiefer HG, et al. Viral zoonoses. *Zoonoses. Infectious Diseases Transmissible from Animals to Humans.* 3rd ed. Washington, D.C: ASM Press; 2013. p. 172.
44. Stadlander CTKH. Control of Communicable Diseases Manual. David L. Heymann. Washington DC, USA: American Public Health Association, 18th (edn). *Int J Epidemiol.* 2004;34(6):35-7.
45. Hoogstraal H. The epidemiology of tick-borne Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Asia, Europe, and Africa. *J Med Entomol.* 1979;15(4):307-417. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/15.4.307> PMID: 113533
46. Haddock E, Feldmann F, Feldmann H. Effective Chemical Inactivation of Ebola Virus. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2016;22(7):1292-4. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2207.160233> PMID: 27070504
47. Cutts T, Grolla A, Jones S, Cook BW, Qiu X, Theriault SS. Inactivation of Zaire ebolavirus Variant Makona in Human Serum Samples Analyzed by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay. *J Infect Dis.* 2016;214(suppl 3):S218-21. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiw289> PMID: 27571899
48. Cap AP, Pidcock HF, Keil SD, Staples HM, Anantpadma M, Carrion R Jr, et al. Treatment of blood with a pathogen reduction technology using ultraviolet light and riboflavin inactivates Ebola virus in vitro. *Transfusion.* 2016;56(Suppl 1):S6-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/trf.13393> PMID: 27001363
49. Lau R, Wang A, Chong-Kit A, Ralevski F, Boggild AK. Evaluation of Ebola virus inactivation procedures for Plasmodium falciparum malaria diagnostics. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2015;53(4):1387-90. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00165-15> PMID: 25631810
50. Mifsud A, Peelen D, Brincat P, Abela S, Debattista N, Laspina S, et al. A feasibility study on the effects of Triton X-100 for the in vitro inactivation of Ebola virus on haematological assays. *J Clin Pathol.* 2016;69(7):637-42. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jclinpath-2015-203331> PMID: 26670745
51. Tempestilli M, Pucci L, Notari S, Di Caro A, Castilletti C, Rivelli MR, et al. Diagnostic performances of clinical laboratory tests using Triton X-100 to reduce the biohazard associated with routine testing of Ebola virus-infected patients. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2015;53(12):1967-73. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2015-0119> PMID: 26053010
52. van Kampen JJA, Tintu A, Russcher H, Fraaij PLA, Reusken CBEM, Rijken M, et al. Ebola Virus Inactivation by Detergents Is Annulled in Serum. *J Infect Dis.* 2017;216(7):859-66. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jix401> PMID: 28961947
53. World Health Organisation (WHO). Guidance on regulations for the transport of infectious substances 2013–2014. WHO/HSE/GCR/2012.12. Geneva: WHO; 2012. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/78075/WHO_HSE_GCR_2012.12_eng.pdf;jsessionid=FEEA1509AA9DBFD27F8B1F1EBBA622E1?sequence=1
54. United Nations (UN). Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, United Nations Environmental Programme. Nagoya Protocol on Access to genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from their utilization to the convention on biological diversity. Quebec: UN; 2011. Available from: <https://www.cbd.int/abs/doc/protocol/nagoya-protocol-en.pdf>
55. Schuster I, Mertens M, Köllner B, Korytář T, Keller M, Hammerschmidt B, et al. A competitive ELISA for species-independent detection of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus specific antibodies. *Antiviral Res.* 2016;134:161-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2016.09.004> PMID: 27623345
56. Dowall SD, Richards KS, Graham VA, Chamberlain J, Hewson R. Development of an indirect ELISA method for the parallel measurement of IgG and IgM antibodies against Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever (CCHF) virus using recombinant nucleoprotein as antigen. *J Virol Methods.* 2012;179(2):335-41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2011.11.020> PMID: 22155577
57. Emmerich P, Avsic-Zupanc T, Chinikar S, Saksida A, Thomé-Bolduan C, Parczany-Hartmann A, et al. Early serodiagnosis of acute human Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus infections by novel capture assays. *J Clin Virol.* 2010;48(4):294-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2010.05.002> PMID: 20541967
58. Vanhomwegen J, Alves MJ, Zupanc TA, Bino S, Chinikar S, Karlberg H, et al. Diagnostic assays for Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2012;18(12):1958-65. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1812.120710> PMID: 23171700
59. Zivcec M. Structure-Function Assays for Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever Virus Polymerase. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2018;1604:229-35. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6981-4_17 PMID: 28986838
60. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever - Annual Epidemiological Report for 2014. Stockholm: ECDC; 2016. Available from: <https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/crimean-congo-haemorrhagic-fever-annual-epidemiological-report-2016-2014-data>
61. Ergonul O. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus: new outbreaks, new discoveries. *Curr Opin Virol.* 2012;2(2):215-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2012.03.001> PMID: 22482717
62. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Meeting Report. Consultation on Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever prevention and control. Stockholm: ECDC; 2009. Available from: https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/0809_MER_Crimean_Congo_Haemorrhagic_Fever_Prevention_and_Control.pdf
63. European Commission. The list of diseases and their case definitions are based on the Commission Implementing Decision on the communicable diseases and related special health issues to be covered by epidemiological surveillance – Annex 1 (replacing Commission Decision No 2000/96/EC). Available from: <https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/surveillance-and-disease-data/eu-case-definitions>. Available from: http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_threats/com/docs/1589_2008_en.pdf
64. European Commission. Decisions Commission Implementing Decision of 8 August 2012 amending Decision 2002/253/EC laying down case definitions for reporting communicable diseases to the Community network under Decision No 2119/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (notified under document C(2012) 5538) (Text with EEA relevance) (2012/506/EU). Available from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32012D0506&qid=1428573336660&from=EN#page=38>
65. World Health Organization (WHO). An R&D blueprint for action to prevent epidemics. Geneva: WHO; 2016. Available from: http://www.who.int/entity/blueprint/about/r_d_blueprint_plan_of_action.pdf?ua=1
66. Leblebicioglu H, Ozaras R, Fletcher TE, Beeching NJESCMID Study Group for Infections in Travellers and Migrants (ESGITM). Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in travellers: A systematic

- review. *Travel Med Infect Dis*. 2016;14(2):73-80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2016.03.002> PMID: 26970396
67. Fernandez-García MD, Negredo A, Papa A, Donoso-Mantke O, Niedrig M, Zeller H, et al. European survey on laboratory preparedness, response and diagnostic capacity for Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever, 2012. *Euro Surveill*. 2014;19(26):20844. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES2014.19.26.20844> PMID: 25011064
 68. Escadafal C, Olschläger S, Avšič-Županc T, Papa A, Vanhomwegen J, Wölfel R, et al. First international external quality assessment of molecular detection of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2012;6(6):e1706. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001706> PMID: 22745842
 69. Gale P, Stephenson B, Brouwer A, Martinez M, de la Torre A, Bosch J, et al. Impact of climate change on risk of incursion of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus in livestock in Europe through migratory birds. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2012;112(2):246-57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05203.x> PMID: 22118269
 70. Drosten C, Götting S, Schilling S, Asper M, Panning M, Schmitz H, et al. Rapid detection and quantification of RNA of Ebola and Marburg viruses, Lassa virus, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, Rift Valley fever virus, dengue virus, and yellow fever virus by real-time reverse transcription-PCR. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2002;40(7):2323-30. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.7.2323-2330.2002> PMID: 12089242
 71. Deyde VM, Khristova ML, Rollin PE, Ksiazek TG, Nichol ST. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus genomics and global diversity. *J Virol*. 2006;80(17):8834-42. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00752-06> PMID: 16912331
 72. Schwarz TF, Nsanze H, Longson M, Nitschko H, Gilch S, Shurie H, et al. Polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis and identification of distinct variants of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus in the United Arab Emirates. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1996;55(2):190-6. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1996.55.190> PMID: 8780459
 73. Midilli K, Gargili A, Ergonul O, Sengöz G, Ozturk R, Bakar M, et al. Imported Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever cases in Istanbul. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2007;7(1):54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-7-54> PMID: 17553137
 74. Midilli K, Gargili A, Ergonul O, Elevli M, Ergin S, Turan N, et al. The first clinical case due to AP92 like strain of Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever virus and a field survey. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2009;9(1):90. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-9-90> PMID: 19515251
 75. Elata AT, Karsany MS, Elageb RM, Hussain MA, Eltom KH, Elbashir MI, et al. A nosocomial transmission of crimean-congo hemorrhagic fever to an attending physician in North Kordufan, Sudan. *Virol J*. 2011;8(1):303. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-8-303> PMID: 21672268
 76. Yapar M, Aydogan H, Pahsa A, Besirbellioglu BA, Bodur H, Basustaoglu AC, et al. Rapid and quantitative detection of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus by one-step real-time reverse transcriptase-PCR. *Jpn J Infect Dis*. 2005;58(6):358-62. PMID: 16377867
 77. Duh D, Saksida A, Petrovec M, Dedushaj I, Avsic-Zupanc T. Novel one-step real-time RT-PCR assay for rapid and specific diagnosis of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever encountered in the Balkans. *J Virol Methods*. 2006;133(2):175-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2005.11.006> PMID: 16343650
 78. Garrison AR, Alakbarova S, Kulesh DA, Shezmukhamedova D, Khodjaev S, Endy TP, et al. Development of a TaqMan minor groove binding protein assay for the detection and quantification of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2007;77(3):514-20. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2007.77.514> PMID: 17827370
 79. Wölfel R, Paweska JT, Petersen N, Grobbelaar AA, Leman PA, Hewson R, et al. Low-density microarray for rapid detection and identification of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2009;47(4):1025-30. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01920-08> PMID: 19225100
 80. Atkinson B, Chamberlain J, Logue CH, Cook N, Bruce C, Dowall SD, et al. Development of a real-time RT-PCR assay for the detection of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2012;12(9):786-93. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2011.0770> PMID: 22217175
 81. Jääskeläinen AJ, Kallio-Kokko H, Ozkul A, Bodur H, Korukruoglu G, Mousavi M, et al. Development and evaluation of a real-time RT-qPCR for detection of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus representing different genotypes. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2014;14(12):870-2. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2014.1577> PMID: 25514124
 82. Kamboj A, Pateriya AK, Mishra A, Ranaware P, Kulkarni DD, Raut AA. Novel molecular beacon probe-based real-time RT-PCR assay for diagnosis of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever encountered in India. *BioMed Res Int*. 2014;2014(496219):4. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/496219> PMID: 24877102
 83. Pang Z, Li A, Li J, Qu J, He C, Zhang S, et al. Comprehensive multiplex one-step real-time TaqMan qRT-PCR assays for detection and quantification of hemorrhagic fever viruses. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(4):e95635. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095635> PMID: 24752452
 84. Schneeberger PHH, Pothier JF, Bühlmann A, Duffy B, Beuret C, Utzinger J, et al. Development and evaluation of a bioinformatics approach for designing molecular assays for viral detection. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(5):e0178195. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178195> PMID: 28542435
 85. Osman HA, Eltom KH, Musa NO, Bilal NM, Elbashir MI, Aradaib IE. Development and evaluation of loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for detection of Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever virus in Sudan. *J Virol Methods*. 2013;190(1-2):4-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2013.03.004> PMID: 23542058
 86. Bonney LC, Watson RJ, Afrough B, Mullojonova M, Dzuraeva V, Tishkova F, et al. A recombinase polymerase amplification assay for rapid detection of Crimean-Congo Haemorrhagic fever Virus infection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2017;11(10):e0006013. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006013> PMID: 29028804

License and copyright

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) Licence. You may share and adapt the material, but must give appropriate credit to the source, provide a link to the licence, and indicate if changes were made.

This article is copyright of the authors or their affiliated institutions, 2019.