

Thic	ic the	peer reviewed	version o	f tha	following ar	ticle.
11115	is the	beer reviewed	version o	ı uıe	IOHOWING at	ucie.

Cluster investigation of mixed O76:H19 Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli and atypical enteropathogenic E. coli infection in a Spanish household

S Sánchez, M García Cenoz, C Martín, X Beristain, M T Llorente, S Herrera-León.

Epidemiol Infect. 2014 May;142(5):1029-33.

which has been published in final form at

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268813001842

- 1 **TITLE:** Cluster investigation of mixed O76:H19 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia*
- 2 coli and atypical enteropathogenic E. coli infection in a Spanish household

3

- 4 S. SÁNCHEZ¹*, M. GARCÍA CENOZ², C. MARTÍN³, X. BERISTAIN³, M. T.
- 5 LLORENTE¹ AND S. HERRERA-LEÓN¹

6

- 7 ¹ Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Majadahonda, Spain
- 8 ² Instituto de Salud Pública de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain
- 9 ³ Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

10

- * Author of correspondance: Dr S. Sánchez, DVM, Ph.D., Laboratorio de
- 12 Enterobacterias, Servicio de Bacteriología, Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto
- de Salud Carlos III, Ctra. Majadahonda-Pozuelo km 2, 28220 Majadahonda (Madrid),
- 14 Spain. (Email: sergio.sanchez@isciii.es)

15

16 **RUNNING HEAD:** O76:H19 STEC and aEPEC infection in a household

SUMMARY

18

19 A Spanish household was identified through a Public Health follow up on a Shiga toxin-20 producing Escherichia coli (STEC) positive 14-month-old girl reporting bloody 21 diarrhea, with the four household members experiencing either symptomatic or 22 asymptomatic STEC and/or atypical enteropathogenic E. coli (aEPEC) shedding. In 23 total, two different O76:H19 STEC strains and six aEPEC strains belonging to multiple 24 serotypes were isolated and characterised in the household during a five months period. 25 Prolonged asymptomatic shedding of O76:H19 STEC and O51:H49 aEPEC was 26 detected in two family members. Although there was no conclusive evidence, 27 consumption of vegetables fertilised with sheep manure was the suspected source of 28 infection. This study highlights the risk of cross-infections posed by prolonged 29 asymptomatic carriage and close household contact among family members, and 30 illustrates the importance of molecular epidemiology in understanding disease clusters. 31 32 Key words: Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC), atypical enteropathogenic 33 E. coli (aEPEC), household transmission, prolonged shedding, sheep manure. 34 35 36 Shiga toxin (Stx)-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) can cause a broad spectrum of 37 clinical symptoms in humans, ranging from haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS) to 38 mild non-bloody diarrhoea or even asymptomatic carriage [1]. Particularly, non-O157 39 STEC are considered emerging pathogens, despite being currently underrecognised 40 because methods for their detection and isolation are not widely implemented. STEC 41 infection is commonly acquired through the consumption of faecally contaminated food 42 or water, through direct or indirect contact with animal carriers, mainly ruminants, or

via secondary person-to-person transmission [1]. Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) are one of the most common causes of infantile diarrhoea worldwide and are further divided into two subtypes, typical and atypical EPEC, depending on the presence or absence of the bundle-forming pilus (BFP) [2]. Particularly, atypical EPEC (aEPEC) are more prevalent compared to STEC in industrialised countries, where aEPEC are frequently identified both in children with diarrhoea and in healthy children [2, 3]. Although there is no evidence of direct transmission from animals to humans, animal carriers have been suggested to be reservoirs for aEPEC infecting humans [2]. On May 30, 2012, the clinical microbiological laboratory of the Hospital Complex of Navarre (CHNa) submitted a Stx1-positive stool culture to the Spanish National Reference Laboratory (SNRL) for further STEC diagnostic assays. The sample had been obtained from a 14-month-old girl reporting bloody diarrhoea. At the SNRL, both an O76:[H19] STEC and an O168:H6 aEPEC were recovered. Although STEC infections are not notifiable in Spain, since O76:H19 STEC has been associated with HUS [4] an epidemiological investigation was conducted. The girl's parents were interviewed by telephone, using a structured trawling questionnaire. The questionnaire included questions related to general food handling and hygienic procedures, as well as specific risk factors, including consumption of raw food, especially unpasteurized dairy products and potentially faecally contaminated vegetables, and non-disinfected water, as well as contacts with farm animals or pets and recent history of travel. The hypothesisgenerating interview only identified as a potential source of girl's infection consumption of vegetables grown in a family garden irrigated with well water and fertilised with sheep manure. As a consequence, single stool samples from the four household members, consisting of the index girl, her mother (32 years of age), father (33 years) and older sister (3 years), were obtained on days 36, 74, 137 and 201 (counted from the

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

day the first STEC-positive sample was collected). Stool samples from four other relatives of the family, not sharing the same household but consuming the suspected vegetables, were also screened for STEC and EPEC on day 74. However, neither the suspected vegetables nor the sheep herd providing manure for the family garden could be sampled and no further action was taken. At the CHNa, the production of Stx1 and Stx2 toxins in the stool culture from the index girl was investigated by using the Duopath Verotoxins immunochromatographic rapid test (Merck, Germany). The stool culture from the index girl, as well as all the stool samples from the follow up on the family members, were submitted to the SNLR and screened for STEC and EPEC. For this purpose, samples were cultured on MacConkey agar (Becton Dickinson, USA) after a broth enrichment step. Bacterial growth from the first streaking area of the culture plate was tested for stx1, stx2 and eae genes by PCR [5]. When culture tested positive, individual E. coli-like colonies were tested using the same PCR to obtain the STEC or EPEC isolate, which was further confirmed biochemically as E. coli by the API 20E system (BioMérieux, France). All recovered STEC isolates were tested for the additional virulence genes ehxA and subAB by PCR [5], and the identification of stx1 and stx2 subtypes was performed using a recently developed PCR-based method [6]. All recovered EPEC isolates were tested for the presence of bfpA gene [7], in order to classify them as typical or atypical EPEC. STEC and EPEC isolates were further typed by conventional O:H serotyping, genetic H serotyping by PCR amplifying and sequencing the *fliC* gene [8] in non-motile isolates (results denoted in square brackets) and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) with XbaI according to the PulseNet protocol for E. coli O157:H7 [9]. Additionally, STEC isolates were typed by multilocus sequence typing (MLST) [10]. Cluster analysis was

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

92 performed using the Dice coefficient and the unweighted pair group method with 93 arithmetic averages (UPGMA) in InfoQuestFP v4.5 (Bio-Rad, United Kingdom). 94 On day 36, no more STEC were isolated from the girl's stool sample, but EPEC 95 isolates were obtained. STEC and EPEC isolates were obtained from the father's stool 96 sample and a single STEC isolate was identified in the stool sample from the mother. A 97 single EPEC isolate was obtained from the older sister (Table 1). During the follow-up 98 period, on day 74 the father still presented with STEC and the girl with EPEC. On day 99 137, only the girl with EPEC remained positive (Table 1). Finally on day 201, stool 100 samples from all four family members tested negative for both STEC and EPEC. All the 101 other relatives were found to be negative for STEC and EPEC on day 74. All recovered 102 STEC isolates tested negative for eae but positive for ehxA and subAB and belonged to 103 serotype O76:H19/[H19] (Table 1). Subtyping of the stx genes resulted in the detection 104 of subtypes stx2b and/or stx1c (Table 1). The EPEC isolates belonged to multiple 105 serotypes (O8:H25, O51:H49, O168:H6, O180:[H2], ONT:H6 and ONT:H29) and were 106 classified as aEPEC, as all of them tested negative for bfpA (Table 1). 107 PFGE results showed two different profiles for the O76:[H19] STEC isolate from 108 the symptomatic girl (profile 2) and for the three O76:H19 STEC isolates from her 109 asymptomatic parents (profile 1) (Fig. 1). It has been widely demonstrated that the loss 110 of stx genes due to spontaneous curing of stx-carrying phages in STEC clinical isolates 111 involves changes in the PFGE patterns, with isolates differing by two to five bands [11]. 112 As the STEC O76:H19 isolates in the present study differed only by five bands (88.4% 113 similarity), the two different PFGE profiles found among them could be explained by 114 the loss of the stx2b-carrying phage from profile 2 (stx2b-positive) to profile 1 (stx2b-115 negative). Nevertheless, STEC 076:H19 isolates also differed in their motility (the 116 single profile 2 isolate was non-motile while all three profile 1 isolates were motile),

117 thus contradicting the idea that all STEC O76:H19 isolates in the present study could 118 belong to a single strain. Anyway, MLST analysis classified all O76:H19/[H19] STEC 119 isolates as belonging to sequence type 675 (Table 1), as do the O76:H19 reference strain 120 (HUSEC039) in the German collection of representative HUS-associated 121 enterohemorrhagic E. coli (HUSEC) [4]. The seven aEPEC isolates revealed six 122 different PFGE profiles, with one being identified on two occasions, 101 days apart, in 123 the girl's stool samples (profile 6) (Table 1 and Fig. 1). 124 This study represents the first description of both an O76:H19 STEC infection and a 125 mixed infection with aEPEC in Spain. In total, two different STEC strains and six 126 aEPEC strains were isolated and characterised in a household during a five months 127 period. Among STEC-infected family members, only the 14-month-old girl developed 128 bloody diarrhoea but neither required hospitalisation nor antibiotic treatment, and her 129 symptoms resolved between the first and second stool sampling. None of the other 130 STEC-infected family members developed clinically symptomatic disease. The 131 O76:[H19] isolate from the index girl carried both stx1 and stx2 while O76:H19 isolates 132 from the parents only carried stx1, shown to be less frequently associated with severe 133 human disease than stx2 [1]. Both serotypes were eae-negative and ehxA, subAB-134 positive. Despite intimin production representing a common feature of STEC strains 135 associated with severe human disease, eae-negative STEC strains have also been 136 implicated in outbreaks and serious disease [12]. Moreover, it has been reported that the 137 subtilase cytotoxin, encoded by subAB, might contribute to the virulence of eae-138 negative STEC strains in synergy with Shiga toxins [13], which could explain the 139 clinical relevance in our index case. Additionally, STEC 076:H19 has been recognised 140 to be an important non-O157 STEC associated with human illness and in particular with 141 causing HUS [4]. Apart from the index girl, her older sister was the only aEPEC-

infected family member reporting diarrhoea (before the first STEC-positive stool sample was collected), but symptoms rapidly resolved and she did not required medical care. Although the epidemiological association of aEPEC with diarrhoea is still controversial, their high prevalence worldwide and involvement in diarrhoeal outbreaks [3] support the idea that some aEPEC strains are diarrhoeagenic.

The questionnaire identified consumption of vegetables fertilised with sheep manure as a likely source of infection. Sheep have been reported as a common reservoir for STEC infection and O76:H19 STEC strains with the same virulence profiles have previously been isolated from sheep [13]. Although there is no evidence of direct transmission from animals to humans, aEPEC have also been isolated from sheep and exposure to faecal pollution from a sheep herd was the suspected source of infection in a recently reported outbreak of mixed STEC and aEPEC infection among Norwegian children in a day-care centre [3].

The PFGE analysis revealed prolonged carriage in two family members.

Concretely, the father asymptomatically shed STEC (profile 1) at least for 38 days (from day 36 to day 74), with the mother being infected with the same strain on day 36 (Table 1). The index girl asymptomatically shed aEPEC (profile 6) for 101 days after resolving her STEC-associated bloody diarrhoea episode (Table 1). Prolonged asymptomatic STEC carriage has been best characterised in children, but also reported in adults, even over a 1-year period [14, 15].

Family clusters of STEC infection have been reported to be common, with up to 50% of STEC infections being family-related for example in Finland [16]. In addition, both family clusters and outbreaks of mixed STEC and EPEC infection have previously been reported [3, 14]. Although there was no conclusive evidence regarding the source of infection in this family cluster, prolonged asymptomatic carriage and close household

167	contact among the family members pose a risk of cross-infections. This circumstance is
168	underlined by the fact that those relatives who consumed the same vegetables but did
169	not share the same household were not infected. Therefore, handwashing when handling
170	food or young babies is particularly necessary to prevent STEC and other
171	diarrhoeagenic E. coli infections in households.
172	
173	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank José Manuel Luquin and Gemma Poignon for facilitating the follow-up sampling of the household members and relatives. We thank Daniel Eibach for critically reviewing the manuscript. We also thank Flemming Scheutz for conventional O:H serotyping the strains. Sergio Sánchez acknowledges the Juan de la Cierva programme from the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad for his research contract. This study was supported by the Madrid Regional Government (P2009/AGR-1489).

180

181

179

174

175

176

177

178

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

182 None.

183

184

REFERENCES

- 185 1. Caprioli A, Morabito S, Brugere H, et al. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia
- coli: emerging issues on virulence and modes of transmission. Veterinary Research
- 187 2005; **36**: 289-311.
- 188 2. Trabulsi LR, Keller R, Tardelli Gomes TA. Typical and atypical
- enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. Emerging Infectious Diseases 2002; 8: 508-513.
- 190 3. Wahl E, Vold L, Lindstedt BA, et al. Investigation of an Escherichia coli O145
- outbreak in a child day-care centre extensive sampling and characterization of eae-

- and stx_1 -positive E. coli yields epidemiological and socioeconomic insight. BMC
- 193 Infectious Diseases 2011; **11**: 238.
- 194 4. **Mellmann A, Bielaszewska M, Kock R, et al.** Analysis of collection of
- 195 hemolytic uremic syndrome-associated enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli. Emerging
- 196 Infectious Diseases 2008; **14**: 1287-1290.
- 5. Sánchez S, Beristain X, Martínez R, et al. Subtilase cytotoxin encoding genes
- are present in human, sheep and deer intimin-negative, Shiga toxin-producing
- 199 Escherichia coli O128:H2. Veterinary Microbiology 2012; 159: 531-535.
- 200 6. Scheutz F, Teel LD, Beutin L, et al. Multicenter evaluation of a sequence-
- based protocol for subtyping Shiga toxins and standardizing Stx nomenclature. *Journal*
- 202 of Clinical Microbiology 2012; **50**: 2951-2963.
- 203 7. **Gunzburg ST, Tornieporth NG, Riley LW.** Identification of enteropathogenic
- 204 Escherichia coli by PCR-based detection of the bundle-forming pilus gene. Journal of
- 205 *Clinical Microbiology* 1995; **33**: 1375-1377.
- 206 8. **Machado J, Grimont F, Grimont PA.** Identification of *Escherichia coli*
- flagellar types by restriction of the amplified *fliC* gene. *Research in Microbiology* 2000;
- 208 **151**: 535-546.
- 9. **PulseNet.** One-Day (24-28 h) Standardized Laboratory Protocol for Molecular
- 210 Subtyping of Escherichia coli O157:H7, Salmonella serotypes, Shigella sonnei, and
- 211 Shigella flexneri by Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)
- 212 (http://www.pulsenetinternational.org/SiteCollectionDocuments/pfge/5 1_5 2_5
- 4_PNetStand_Ecoli_with_Sflexneri.pdf). Accessed 1 February 2013.
- 214 10. Wirth T, Falush D, Lan R, et al. Sex and virulence in Escherichia coli: an
- evolutionary perspective. *Molecular Microbiology* 2006; **60**: 1136-1151.

- 216 11. **Bielaszewska M, Prager R, Kock R, et al.** Shiga toxin gene loss and transfer in
- vitro and in vivo during enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O26 infection in humans.
- 218 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2007; 73: 3144-3150.
- 219 12. Newton HJ, Sloan J, Bulach DM, et al. Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli
- strains negative for locus of enterocyte effacement. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2009;
- 221 **15**: 372-380.
- 222 13. Michelacci V, Tozzoli R, Caprioli A, et al. A new pathogenicity island
- 223 carrying an allelic variant of the Subtilase cytotoxin is common among Shiga toxin
- producing Escherichia coli of human and ovine origin. Clinical Microbiology and
- 225 Infection 2013; **19**: E149-156.
- 226 14. Staples M, Graham RM, Doyle CJ, et al. Prolonged and mixed non-O157
- 227 Escherichia coli infection in an Australian household. Clinical Microbiology and
- 228 Infection 2012; **18**: E140-143.
- 229 15. Kuusi M, Eklund M, Siitonen A, et al. Prolonged shedding of Shiga toxin-
- producing Escherichia coli. Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal 2007; **26**: 279.
- 231 16. Lienemann T, Salo E, Rimhanen-Finne R, et al. Shiga toxin-producing
- 232 Escherichia coli serotype O78:H- in family, Finland, 2009. Emerging Infectious
- 233 *Diseases* 2012; **18**: 577-581.

Table 1. Characteristics and molecular typing results for STEC and aEPEC isolates

238 from symptomatic and asymptomatic family members

Isolate	Family member	Day collected*	Serotype†	Virulence genes profile	Pathogenic group	PFGE profile	MLST
1482/12	Girl‡	0	O76:[H19]	stx1c, stx2b, ehxA, subAB	STEC	2	ST675
1545/12	Girl	0	O168:H6	eae	aEPEC	5	ND
1898/12	Girl	36	O8:H25	eae	aEPEC	3	ND
2188/12	Girl	36	O51:H49	eae	aEPEC	6	ND
1899/12	Mother	36	O76:H19	stx1c, ehxA, subAB	STEC	1	ST675
1901/12	Father	36	O76:H19	stx1c, ehxA, subAB	STEC	1	ST675
2189/12	Father	36	ONT:H6	eae	aEPEC	7	ND
1903/12	Older sister	36	O180:[H2]	eae	aEPEC	4	ND
2376/12	Girl	74	ONT:H29	eae	aEPEC	8	ND
2378/12	Father	74	O76:H19	stx1c, ehxA, subAB	STEC	1	ST675
3467/12	Girl	137	O51:H49	eae	aEPEC	6	ND

239

237

- 240 PFGE, pulsed field gel electrophoresis; MLST, multilocus sequence typing; STEC, Shiga toxin-producing
- 241 Escherichia coli; aEPEC, atypical enteropathogenic E. coli; ST, sequence type; ND, not done; ONT, O
- antigen non-typeable.
- * Days counted from the day the first STEC-positive stool sample was collected.
- † Genetic H serotyping results in non-motile isolates are denoted in square brackets ([H]).
- 245 ‡ Symptomatic when the stool sample was collected.

- **Fig. 1.** PFGE profiles of STEC and aEPEC isolates obtained from the stool samples of a
- girl and her asymptomatic family members. The scales at the top indicate the similarity
- indices (in percentages) and molecular sizes (in kilobases).